

Digest of decisions of the Committee on Freedom of Association

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Preliminary considerations

Procedure in respect of the Committee on Freedom of Association and the social partners

- Function of the ILO and mandate of the Committee on Freedom of Association
- Fundamental obligations of member States in respect of human and trade union rights
- Obligations of governments relating to the procedure of the Committee on Freedom of Association
- Principles of the Committee on Freedom of Association relating to the functions of organizations of workers and of employers

Trade union rights and civil liberties

- General principles
- Right to life, security and the physical and moral integrity of the person
- The sentencing of trade unionists to imprisonment
- System of education through labour
- Arrest and detention of trade unionists
- Preventive detention and judicial safeguards
- Detentions during a state of emergency
- Guarantee of due process of law
- Special bodies and summary procedures
- Freedom of movement
- Rights of assembly and demonstration
- Freedom of opinion and expression
- Freedom of speech at the International Labour Conference
- Protection against disclosure of information on trade union membership and activities
- Protection of trade union premises and property
- State of emergency and the exercise of trade union rights
- Questions of a political nature affecting trade union rights

Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization

- General principles

- Distinctions based on race, political opinion or nationality
- Distinctions based on occupational category
- Other distinctions
- Requirement of previous authorization
- Legal formalities for the establishment of organizations
- Requirements for the establishment of organizations
- Registration of organizations

Right of workers and employers freely to establish and join organizations of their own choosing

- Principles of trade union structure and unity
- Sanctions imposed for attempting to establish organizations
- Favouritism or discrimination in respect of particular organizations
- Admissible privileges for most representative unions
- Right to join organizations of their own choosing
- Union security clauses

Free functioning of organizations. Right to draw up constitutions and rules

- Principles relating to legislation in this respect and interference by the authorities
- Model constitutions
- Racial discrimination
- Relations between first-level trade unions and higher-level organizations

Right to elect representatives in full freedom

- General principles
- Racial discrimination
- Employment in the profession or enterprise
- Duration of membership of the organization
- Political opinions or activities
- Moral standing of candidates for office
- Nationality
- Criminal record
- Re-election
- Obligation to participate in ballots
- Intervention by the authorities in trade union elections
- Challenges to trade union elections
- Removal of executive committees and the placing of trade unions under control

Right of organizations to organize their administration

- General principles
- Internal administration of organizations
- Control over the internal activities of trade unions
- Financial administration of organizations

Right of organizations freely to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes

- General principles
- Political activities and relations
- Other activities of trade union organizations

Right to strike

- Importance of the right to strike and entitlement to exercise the right
- Objective of the strike
- Scope of the strike
- Various types of strike action
- Prerequisites
- Recourse to compulsory arbitration
- Responsibility for declaring a strike illegal
- Cases in which strikes may be restricted or even prohibited, and compensatory guarantees
- Situations in which a minimum service may be imposed to guarantee the safety of persons and equipment
- Situations and conditions under which a minimum operational service could be required
- Examples of when the Committee has considered that the conditions were met for requiring a minimum operational service
- Non-compliance with minimum service
- Back-to-work orders, the hiring of workers during a strike, requisitioning orders
- Interference by the authorities during the course of the strike
- Police intervention during the course of the strike
- Pickets
- Wage deductions
- Sanctions
- Discrimination in favour of non-strikers

Right of workers' and employers' organizations to establish federations and

confederations and to affiliate with international organizations of employers and workers

- Establishment of federations and confederations
- Rights of federations and confederations
- Affiliation with international organizations of workers and employers
- Participation in ILO meetings

Dissolution and suspension of organizations

- Voluntary dissolution
- Dissolution on account of insufficient membership
- Dissolution and suspension by administrative authority
- Dissolution by legislative measures or decree
- Intervention by the judicial authorities
- Use made of the assets of organizations that are dissolved

Protection against anti-union discrimination

- General principles
- Acts of discrimination
- Trade union leaders and representatives
- Need for rapid and effective protection
- Reinstatement of trade unionists in their jobs
- Discrimination against employers

Protection against acts of interference

- General principles
- Solidarist associations

Collective bargaining

- The right to bargain collectively
- Collective bargaining with representatives of non-unionized workers
- The workers and matters covered by collective bargaining
- The principle of bargaining in good faith
- Recognition of the most representative organizations
- The principle of free and voluntary negotiation
- Restrictions on the principle of free and voluntary bargaining
- Time-limits for bargaining
- Duration of collective agreements

- Extension of collective agreements
- Relationship between individual employment contracts and collective agreements
- Incentives to workers to give up the right to collective bargaining
- Closure of the enterprise and application of the collective agreement
- Relationship between ILO Conventions

Consultation with the organizations of workers and employers

- General principles
- Consultation during the preparation and formulation of legislation
- Consultation and employment flexibility
- Consultation and processes of restructuring, rationalization and staff reduction
- Consultation and the modification of bargaining structures

Participation of organizations of workers and employers on various bodies and in various procedures

Facilities for workers' representatives

- General principles
- Representation functions
- Collection of dues
- Access to the workplace
- Facilities on plantations
- Facilities in the event of staff reductions

Conflicts within the trade union movement

Annex I: Procedures of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission and the Committee on Freedom of Association for the examination of complaints alleging violations of freedom of association

Annex II: Chronological index of cases

Introduction to the Digest of Decisions and Principles of the Freedom of Association Committee, 1996

By making it possible to establish organizations of workers and employers and by providing them with the means to further and defend the interests of their members, freedom of association is a factor in the achievement of social justice and one of the principle elements in the achievement of lasting peace. At the same time, freedom of association is the *conditio sine qua non* of the tripartism that the Constitution of the ILO enshrines in its own structures and advocates for member States: without freedom of association, the concept of tripartism would be meaningless.

This explains why, from the outset, the Constitution of the ILO has affirmed the principle of freedom of association and why, over the years, the International Labour Conference has adopted a considerable number of Conventions, (Note 1) Recommendations and resolutions, which constitute the most important source of international law in this field and the principles of which, it should be recalled in this context, have been broadly assimilated into the legislation of many countries.

In addition to this standard-setting function of the ILO, which in itself shows how vital freedom of association is for the Organization, it should be emphasized that, pursuant to negotiations and agreements between the Governing Body of the ILO and the United Nations Economic and Social Council, a special procedure was established in 1950-51 for the protection of freedom of association, supplementing the general procedures for the supervision of the application of ILO standards, under the responsibility of two bodies: the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association and the Freedom of Association Committee of the Governing Body of the ILO. Under this special procedure, governments or organizations of workers and of employers can submit complaints concerning violations of trade union rights by States (irrespective of whether they are Members of the ILO, or Members of the United Nations without being Members of the ILO). The procedure can be applied even when the Conventions on freedom of association and collective bargaining have not been ratified.

The Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association is a permanent body and is the highest instance of the special machinery for the protection of freedom of association. It was established in 1950 and is composed of independent persons. Its mandate is to examine any complaint concerning alleged infringements of trade union rights which may be referred to it by the Governing Body of the ILO. Although it is essentially a fact-finding body, it is authorized to discuss with the government concerned the possibilities of securing the adjustment of difficulties by agreement. This Commission, which has dealt with six complaints to date, only requires

the consent of the government concerned for its intervention when the country has not ratified the Conventions on freedom of association. The procedure which is set in motion is determined on a case-by-case basis by the Commission itself, and generally includes the hearing of witnesses and a visit to the country concerned. Consisting as it does of a procedure which respects traditional, procedural, oral and written guarantees, it is relatively long and costly and has only been used in a limited number of cases. Although this Digest does not specifically cover the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission, it is only right to emphasize its important contribution in the field of human and trade union rights.

The Committee on Freedom of Association, as is widely known, is a tripartite body set up in 1951 by the Governing Body. It is composed of nine members and their deputies from the Government, Workers' and Employers' groups of the Governing Body, and has an independent Chairman. The Committee on Freedom of Association meets three times a year and, taking into account the observations made by governments, is responsible for carrying out a preliminary examination of the complaints submitted under the special procedure, and for recommending to the Governing Body, as appropriate: that a case requires no further examination; that it should draw the attention of the government concerned to the problems that have been found and invite it to take the appropriate measures to resolve them; or, finally, that it should endeavour to obtain the agreement of the government concerned for the case to be referred to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission.

It should be emphasized that the experience acquired through the examination of over 1,800 cases in its 44 years of existence has enabled the Committee on Freedom of Association to build up a very full, balanced and coherent body of principles on freedom of association and collective bargaining, based on the provisions of the Constitution of the ILO and of the relevant Conventions, Recommendations and resolutions. This body of principles has been created by a specialized and impartial international body with a high reputation, which adopts a tripartite perspective and whose work is based on real situations, namely concrete, varied and frequently very serious and complex allegations of violations of trade union rights throughout the world; it has therefore acquired a broadly recognized authority at both the international and national levels, where it is increasingly being used for the development of national legislation, as well as in the various bodies responsible for the application of trade union law, for the resolution of major collective disputes and in publications on jurisprudence.

Herein lies the value of this Digest, which summarizes and brings up to date the decisions and principles of the Committee up to its 298th Report (February-March 1995) and once again gives effect, in this fourth edition, (Note 2) to the resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, adopted unanimously by the International Labour Conference at its 54th Session (Geneva, 1970), in which the Governing Body was invited to instruct the Director-General to publish and distribute

widely in a concise form the supplementary decisions taken by the Committee on Freedom of Association.

It is right to emphasize the value of the extraordinary work of the Committee on Freedom of Association and its very important contribution in this respect to human and trade union rights throughout the world. This laudable achievement is largely attributable to the work of Professor Roberto Ago, Chairman of the Committee on Freedom of Association from 1961 until his death in February 1995. The Committee paid tribute to him in the following words:

It is with great emotion and deep sorrow that the Committee has learned of the death of Mr. Roberto Ago, Judge of the International Court of Justice in The Hague and former Chairman of the ILO Governing Body. Chairman of the Freedom of Association Committee without interruption since 1961, Mr. Roberto Ago blessed the Committee with his exceptional talents as an international lawyer and his extraordinary capability as a man of dialogue and as a conciliator. His lively intelligence, his keen sense of diplomacy, his exemplary courtesy and his constant concern for social justice have made a contribution to the Committee's work without compare. The work accomplished by the Committee over these years and, more specifically, the positive influence which it has been able to have on respect for human rights in general and trade union rights in particular are to a large degree due to the imagination and unlimited energies devoted by Chairman Ago. (Note 3)

Notes

Note 1

The basic Conventions on freedom of association and collective bargaining have received a very high number of ratifications: the Freedom of Association and Protection of the Right to Organise Convention, 1948 (No. 87), has received 113 ratifications (as of 1 April 1995), and the Right to Organise and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98), 125 (as of 1 April 1995).

Note 2

The third edition was prepared in 1985.

Note 3

See 297th Report, para. 2.

Preliminary considerations

This Digest contains the decisions which have been adopted by the Committee on Freedom of Association classified according to the particular features of the individual case, which therefore have to be considered in their specific context. It also contains principles which are more general in scope, sometimes developed on the basis of previous decisions which showed some similarity with the case under examination. Through its use of these principles as a basis for its reasoning, the Committee has been able to maintain a continuity in the criteria employed in reaching its conclusions and, as appropriate to the individual case, in finding that the allegations are well-founded or require no further action. Consequently, the application of a principle in a particular case in relation to a country does not necessarily imply that the government of that country has not respected it.

The decisions and principles of the Committee have been developed on the basis of complaints made by organizations of workers or of employers. In this respect, it should be noted that the immense majority of the complaints examined by the Committee to date have been submitted by organizations of workers. This explains why the wording of most of the Committee's principles and decisions refers explicitly to organizations of workers. Nevertheless, many of these principles are of a general nature and could also be applied, were the case to arise, to organizations of employers.

In view of the interrelated nature of certain sections of this Digest, it was considered appropriate in some cases to reproduce identical principles or decisions by the Committee in different sections of the Digest.

To guide the reader, each of the principles and decisions of the Committee contained in this Digest, the corresponding references are given to the previous Digest of 1985 or to the reports, cases and appropriate paragraphs of the Committee's Reports, up to its 298th Report (February-March 1995).

Function of the ILO and mandate of the Committee on Freedom of Association (Procedure in respect of the Committee on Freedom of Association and the social partners: Function of the ILO and mandate of the Committee on Freedom of Association)

1. The function of the International Labour Organization in regard to freedom of association and the protection of the individual is to contribute to the effectiveness of the general principles of freedom of association, as one of the primary safeguards of peace and social justice. In fulfilling its responsibility in the matter, the Organization must not hesitate to discuss at the international level cases which are of such a character as to affect substantially the attainment of the aims and purposes of the ILO as set forth in the Constitution of the Organization, the Declaration of Philadelphia and the various Conventions concerning freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, paras. 23 and 53; and the 256th Report, Case No. 1309, para. 275.)

2. By virtue of its Constitution, the ILO was established in particular to improve working conditions and to promote freedom of association in the various countries. Consequently, the matters dealt with by the Organization in this connection no longer fall within the exclusive sphere of States and the action taken by the Organization for the purpose cannot be considered to be interference in internal affairs, since it falls within the terms of reference that the ILO has received from its Members with a view to attaining the aims assigned to it.

(See 268th and 286th Reports, Cases Nos. 1500 and 1652, paras. 692 and 706, respectively.)

3. The matters dealt with by the ILO in respect of working conditions and promotion of freedom of association cannot be considered to be undue interference in the internal affairs of a sovereign State since such issues fall within the terms of reference that the ILO has received from its Members, who have committed themselves to cooperate with a view to attaining the objectives that they have assigned to it.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1590, para. 213.)

4. The purpose of the procedure of the Committee is to promote respect for trade union rights in law and in fact.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 59.)

5. Complaints lodged with the Committee can be submitted whether or not the country concerned has ratified the freedom of association Conventions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 34.)

6. The mandate of the Committee consists in determining whether any given legislation or practice complies with the principles of freedom of association and collective bargaining laid down in the relevant Conventions.

(See the 283rd and 287th Reports, Cases Nos. 1596 and 1627, paras. 373 and 32, respectively.)

7. Within the terms of its mandate, the Committee is empowered to examine to what extent the exercise of trade union rights may be affected in cases of allegations of the infringement of civil liberties.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1309, para. 795.)

8. Where national laws, including those interpreted by the high courts, violate the principles of freedom of association, the Committee has always considered it within its mandate to examine the laws, provide guidelines and offer the ILO's technical assistance to bring the laws into compliance with the principles of freedom of association, as set out in the Constitution of the ILO and the applicable Conventions.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1590, para. 213.)

9. While it is not for the Committee to decide upon questions concerning the occupation or administration of territories, as a Member of the ILO, the Government of the occupying country is bound to respect the principle of freedom of association as contained in the ILO Constitution in respect of the occupied territories where its national legislation does not apply and in respect of which the ratification of the international Conventions on freedom of association does not of itself create an obligation vis-à-vis the ILO. The Committee recalls, in this respect, that its competence in the matter is independent of the ratification of the Conventions on freedom of association.

(See 251st Report, Case No. 1390, para 224.)

Fundamental obligations of member States in respect of human and trade union rights (Procedure in respect of the Committee on Freedom of Association and the social partners: Function of the ILO and mandate of the Committee on Freedom of Association)

10. When a State decides to become a Member of the Organization, it accepts the fundamental principles embodied in the Constitution and the Declaration of Philadelphia, including the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 53; 275th and 279th Reports, Case No. 1500, paras. 351 and 630, respectively.)

11. All governments are obliged to respect fully the commitments undertaken by ratification of ILO Conventions.

(See 240th Report, Case No. 1304, para. 85.)

12. The Committee has referred to the Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy, adopted by the Governing Body of the ILO in November 1977, which states that (paragraph 45): "where governments of host countries offer special incentives to attract foreign investment, these incentives should not include any limitation of the workers' freedom of association or the right to organize and bargain collectively".

(See 265th Report, Case No. 1480, para 585.)

13. A State cannot use the argument that other commitments or agreements can justify the non-application of ratified ILO Conventions.

(See the 240th Report, Case No. 1304, para. 85.)

14. The level of protection for exercising trade union rights which results from the provisions and principles of Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 constitutes a minimum standard which may be complemented and it is desirable that other supplementary guarantees should be added resulting from the constitutional and legal system of any given country, its traditions as regards labour relations, trade union action or bargaining between the parties.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1403, para. 74.)

15. It should be the policy of every government to ensure observance of human rights.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1273, para. 321.)

16. Governments must endeavour to meet their obligations regarding the respect of individual rights and freedoms.

(See the 275th Report, Case No. 1512, para. 398.)

17. Trade union rights, like other basic human rights, should be respected no matter what the level of development of the country concerned.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1581, para. 462; and the 281st Report, Case No. 1552, para. 324.)

18. Faced with allegations against one government for violations of trade union rights, the Committee recalled that a successive government in the same State cannot, for the mere reason that a change has occurred, escape the responsibility deriving from events that occurred under a former government. In any event, the new government is responsible for any continuing consequences which these events may have. Where a change of regime has taken place in a country, the new government should take all necessary steps to remedy any continuing effects which the events on which a complaint is based may have had since its accession to power, even though those events took place under its predecessor.

(See 279th Report, Cases Nos. 1512 and 1539, para. 657.)

19. Facts imputable to individuals incur the responsibility of States because of their obligation to remain vigilant and take action to prevent violations of human rights.

(See the 275th and 278th Reports, Case No. 1512, paras. 398 and 394, respectively.)

Obligations of governments relating to the procedure of the Committee on Freedom of Association (Procedure in respect of the Committee on Freedom of Association and the social partners: Function of the ILO and mandate of the Committee on Freedom of Association)

20. Governments should recognize the importance for their own reputation of formulating detailed replies to the allegations brought by complainant organizations, so as to allow the Committee to undertake an objective examination.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 59.)

21. In all the cases presented to it since it was first set up, the Committee has always considered that the replies of governments against whom complaints are made should not be limited to general observations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 59.)

22. In all cases, whether or not they are urgent, if the first reply from the government in question is of too general a character, the Committee requests the Director-General to obtain all necessary additional information from the government, on as many occasions as it judges appropriate.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 57.)

23. When the Committee requests a government to furnish records of judicial proceedings, such a request does not reflect in any way on the integrity or independence of the judiciary. The essence of judicial procedure is that its results are known, and confidence in its impartiality rests on their being known.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1423, para. 397; see also 74th Report, Case No. 298, para. 51.)

Principles of the Committee on Freedom of Association relating to the functions of organizations of workers and of employers (Procedure in respect of the Committee on Freedom of Association and the social partners: Function of the ILO and mandate of the Committee on Freedom of Association)

24. The development of free and independent organizations and negotiation with all those involved in social dialogue is indispensable to enable a government to confront its social and economic problems and resolve them in the best interests of the workers and the nation.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1327, para. 357.)

25. Development needs should not justify maintaining the entire trade union movement of a country in an irregular legal situation, thereby preventing the workers from exercising their trade union rights, as well as preventing organizations from carrying out their normal activities. A balanced economic and social development requires the existence of strong and independent organizations which can participate in the process of development.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 495.)

26. The Committee has stressed the importance, for the preservation of a country's social harmony, of regular consultations with employers' and workers' representatives; such consultations should involve the whole trade union movement, irrespective of the philosophical or political beliefs of its leaders.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 653.)

27. The fundamental objective of the trade union movement should be to ensure the development of the social and economic well-being of all workers.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1699, para. 544.)

28. In a situation in which workers' organizations consider that they do not enjoy the freedoms essential for the performance of their functions, they should be entitled to demand the recognition of these freedoms and such claims should be considered to form part of legitimate trade union activities.

(See 270th and 275th Reports, Case No. 1500, paras. 326 and 356, respectively; see also 297th Report, Case No. 1773, para. 533.)

29. The occupational and economic interests which workers and their organizations defend do not only concern better working conditions or collective claims of an occupational nature, but also the seeking of solutions to economic and social policy questions and problems facing the undertaking which are of direct concern to the workers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 368.)

30. A trade union's activities cannot be restricted solely to occupational questions. The choice of a general policy, notably in economic affairs, is bound to have consequences on the situation of workers (remuneration, holidays, working conditions).

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1699, para. 544.)

31. The solution to the social and economic problems of any country cannot possibly lie in the suppression of important sections of the trade union movement.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1327, para. 354.)

General principles (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

32. The Committee has considered it appropriate to emphasize the importance to be attached to the basic principles set out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, considering that their infringement can adversely affect the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 71.)

33. On many occasions, the Committee has emphasized the importance of the principle affirmed in 1970 by the International Labour Conference in its resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, which recognizes that "the rights conferred upon workers' and employers' organizations must be based on respect for those civil liberties which have been enunciated, in particular in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and that the absence of these civil liberties removes all meaning from the concept of trade union rights".

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 72; and 241st Report, Case No. 1309, para. 795.)

34. The Committee has considered that a system of democracy is fundamental for the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 69.)

35. A genuinely free and independent trade union movement can only develop where fundamental human rights are respected.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 68.)

36. All appropriate measures should be taken to guarantee that irrespective of trade union affiliation, trade union rights can be exercised in normal conditions with respect for basic human rights and in a climate free of violence, pressure, fear and threats of any kind.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1343, para. 394.)

37. For the contribution of trade unions to be properly useful and credible, they must be able to carry out their activities in a climate of freedom and security. This implies that, in so far as they may consider that they do not have the basic freedom to fulfil their mission

directly, trade unions would be justified in demanding that these freedoms and the right to exercise them be recognized and that these demands be considered as coming within the scope of legitimate trade union activities.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 326; and 297th Report, Case No. 1773, para. 533.)

38. A free trade union movement can develop only under a regime which guarantees fundamental rights, including the right of trade unionists to hold meetings in trade union premises, freedom of opinion expressed through speech and the press and the right of detained trade unionists to enjoy the guarantees of normal judicial procedure at the earliest possible moment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 73.)

39. The International Labour Conference has pointed out that the right of assembly, freedom of opinion and expression and, in particular, freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media constitute civil liberties which are essential for the normal exercise of trade union rights (resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, adopted at the 54th Session, 1970).

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 74.)

40. It should be the policy of every government to ensure observance of human rights.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1273, para. 321.)

41. Trade union rights, like other basic human rights, should be respected no matter what the level of development of the country concerned

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1581, para. 462; and 281st Report, Case No. 1552, para. 324.)

42. Although holders of trade union office do not, by virtue of their position, have the right to transgress legal provisions in force, these provisions should not infringe the basic guarantees of freedom of association, nor should they sanction activities which, in accordance with the principles of freedom of association, should be considered as legitimate trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 77.)

43. Allegations of criminal conduct should not be used to harass trade unionists by reason of their union membership or activities.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1514, para. 306.)

44. With regard to charges brought against trade union leaders on the grounds of their trade union activities, the Committee has pointed out the danger to the free exercise of trade union rights of sentences passed against representatives of workers within the framework of activities related to the defence of the interests of those they represent.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1309, para. 312.)

Right to life, security and the physical and moral integrity of the person (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

45. The right to life is a fundamental prerequisite for the exercise of the rights contained in Convention No. 87.

(See 265th Report, Cases Nos. 1434 and 1477, para. 493.)

46. Freedom of association can only be exercised in conditions in which fundamental rights, and in particular those relating to human life and personal safety, are fully respected and guaranteed.

(See, for example, 233rd Report, Case No. 1233, para. 682; 238th Report, Cases Nos. 1199, para. 267; 1262, para. 280; 239th Report, Cases Nos. 1176, 1195 and 1215, para. 225(c); and 294th Report, Case No. 1761, para. 726.)

47. The rights of workers' and employers' organizations can only be exercised in a climate that is free from violence, pressure or threats of any kind against the leaders and members of these organizations, and it is for governments to ensure that this principle is respected.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1700, para. 310; and 294th Report, Case No. 1761, para. 726.)

48. A genuinely free and independent trade union movement cannot develop in a climate of violence and uncertainty.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 75.)

49. A climate of violence such as that surrounding the murder or disappearance of trade union leaders, or one in which the premises and property of workers and employers are attacked, constitutes a serious obstacle to the exercise of trade union rights; such acts require severe measures to be taken by the authorities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 76; and 291st Report, Case No. 1700, para. 309.)

50. Facts imputable to individuals bring into play the State's responsibility owing to the State's obligation to prevent violations of human rights. Consequently, governments should endeavour to meet their obligations regarding the respect of individual rights and freedoms, as well as their obligation to guarantee the right to life of trade unionists.

(See 275th Report, Case No. 1512, para. 398.)

51. The killing, disappearance or serious injury of trade union leaders and trade unionists requires the institution of independent judicial inquiries in order to shed full light, at the earliest date, on the facts and the circumstances in which such actions occurred and in this way, to the extent possible, determine where responsibilities lie, punish the guilty parties and prevent the repetition of similar events.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 78; and 236th Report, Case No. 1192, para. 299; 297th Report, Case No. 1629, para. 23; and 297th Report, Cases Nos. 1527, 1541 and 1598, para. 161.)

52. In cases in which the dispersal of public meetings by the police has involved loss of life or serious injury, the Committee has attached special importance to the circumstances being fully investigated immediately through an independent inquiry and to a regular legal procedure being followed to determine the justification for the action taken by the police and to determine responsibilities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 79.)

53. In the event of assaults on the physical or moral integrity of individuals, the Committee has considered that an independent judicial inquiry should be instituted immediately with a view to fully clarifying the facts, determining responsibility, punishing those responsible and preventing the repetition of such acts.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1341, para. 378(e).)

54. In the event that judicial investigations into the murder and disappearance of trade unionists are rarely successful, the Committee has considered it indispensable that measures be taken to identify, bring to trial and convict the guilty parties and has pointed out that such a situation means that, in practice, the guilty parties enjoy impunity which reinforces the climate of violence and insecurity and thus has an extremely damaging effect on the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 283rd Report, Cases Nos. 1434 and 1477, para. 246(a); 283rd Report, Cases Nos. 1478 and 1484, para. 72; 284th Report, Case No. 1538, para. 743; 284th Report, Case No. 1572, para. 832; and 284th Report, Case No. 1598, para. 968.)

55. The absence of judgements against the guilty parties creates, in practice, a situation of impunity, which reinforces the climate of violence and insecurity, and which is extremely damaging to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 288th Report, Cases Nos. 1273, 1441, 1494 and 1524, para. 30; 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1273, 1441, 1494 and 1524, para. 241; 292nd Report, Cases Nos. 1434 and 1477, para. 255; 294th Report, Case No. 1761, para. 727; and 297th Report, Cases Nos. 1527, 1541 and 1598, para. 162.)

56. Justice delayed is justice denied.

(See 268th Report, Cases Nos. 988 and 1003, para. 14; and 284th Report, Case No. 1508, para. 427.)

57. In cases of alleged torture or ill-treatment while in detention, governments should carry out inquiries into complaints of this kind so that appropriate measures, including compensation for damages suffered and sanctioning of those responsible, are taken to ensure that no detainee is subjected to such treatment.

(See 277th Report, Case No. 1508, para. 355.)

58. As regards allegations relating to the ill-treatment or any other punitive measures said to have been taken against workers who took part in strikes, the Committee has pointed out the importance that it attaches to the right of trade unionists, like all other persons, to enjoy the guarantees afforded by due process of law in accordance with the principles enunciated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 82.)

59. As regards allegations of the physical ill-treatment and torture of trade unionists, the Committee has recalled that governments should give precise instructions and apply effective sanctions where cases of ill-treatment are found, so as to ensure that no detainee is subjected to such treatment. It has also emphasized the importance that should be attached to the principle laid down in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights according to which all persons deprived of their liberty must be treated with humanity and with respect for the inherent dignity of the human person.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1527, para. 238; see also the Digest of 1985, para. 86; 268th Report, Case No. 1425, para. 448; and 295th Report, Case No. 1732, para. 356.)

60. The Committee has considered that detained trade unionists, like all other persons, should enjoy the guarantees enunciated in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 83.)

61. A climate of violence aimed at trade union leaders and their families does not encourage the free exercise of the trade union rights set out in Conventions Nos. 87 and 98, and all States have the duty to guarantee their respect.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1739, para. 396.)

62. A climate of violence, coercion and threats of any type aimed at trade union leaders and their families does not encourage the free exercise and full enjoyment of the rights and freedoms set out in Conventions Nos. 87 and 98. All States have the undeniable duty to promote and defend a social climate where respect of the law reigns as the only way of guaranteeing respect for and protection of life.

(See 283rd Report, Case No. 1538, para. 252.)

63. The environment of fear induced by threats to the life of trade unionists has inevitable repercussions on the exercise of trade union activities, and the exercise of these activities is possible only in a context of respect for basic human rights and in an atmosphere free of violence, pressure and threats of any kind.

(See 259th Report, Cases Nos. 1429, 1434, 1436, 1457 and 1465, para. 660.)

The sentencing of trade unionists to imprisonment (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

64. The sentencing of trade unionists to long periods of imprisonment, very often on grounds of "disturbance of public order", in view of the general nature of the charges, might make it possible to repress activities of a trade union nature.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 635; 286th Report, Case No. 1652, para. 725; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1652, para. 399.)

65. In cases involving the arrest, detention or sentencing of a trade union official, the Committee, taking the view that individuals have the right to be presumed innocent until found guilty, has considered that it was incumbent upon the government to show that the measures it had taken were in no way occasioned by the trade union activities of the individual concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 122.)

66. Any sentences passed on trade unionists on the basis of the ordinary criminal law should not cause the authorities to adopt a negative attitude towards the organization of which these persons and others are members.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 126.)

System of education through labour (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

67. The "system of education through labour" with regard to persons who have already been released, constitutes a form of forced labour and administrative detention of people who have not been convicted by the courts and who, in some cases, are not even liable to sanctions imposed by the judicial authorities. This form of detention and forced labour constitutes without any doubt a violation of basic ILO standards which guarantee compliance with human rights and, when applied to people who have engaged in trade union activities, a blatant violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1500, para. 81.)

68. The subjection of workers to the education through labour system without any court judgement is a form of administrative detention which constitutes a clear infringement of basic human rights, the respect of which is essential for the exercise of trade union rights, as pointed out by the International Labour Conference in 1970.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 637; and 286th Report, Case No. 1652, para. 723.)

Arrest and detention of trade unionists (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

Description:(CFA: Digest of Decisions 1996)
Subject classification: Freedom of Association
Document:0205

Arrest and detention of trade unionists

69. The detention of leaders of workers' and employers' organizations for activities connection with the exercise of their right to organize is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 87; and 233rd Report, Cases Nos. 1007, 1129, 1169, 1185 and 1208, para. 233.)

70. The arrest, even if only briefly, of trade union leaders and trade unionists for exercising legitimate trade union activities constitutes a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 88.)

71. The detention of trade union leaders or members for reasons connected with their activities in defence of the interests of workers constitutes a serious interference with civil liberties in general and with trade union rights in particular.

(See 243rd Report, Case No. 1281, para. 396.)

72. The detention of trade unionists for trade union activities or membership is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 243rd Report, Cases Nos. 953, 973, 1016, 1150, 1168, 1233, 1258, 1269, 1273 and 1281, para. 387.)

73. The detention of trade unionists and trade union leaders for reasons connected with their activities to defend the interests of workers is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1508, para. 412(c).)

74. Measures designed to deprive trade union leaders and members of their freedom entail a serious risk of interference in trade union activities and, when such measures are taken on trade union grounds, they constitute an infringement of the principles of freedom of association.

(See, for example, 233rd Report, Case No. 1169, para. 292; 238th Report, Case No. 1169, para. 229; and 246th Report, Cases Nos. 1129, 1169, 1298, 1344 and 1351, para. 253.)

75. The detention of trade unionists on the grounds of trade union activities constitutes a serious obstacle to the exercise of trade union rights and an infringement of freedom of association.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1524, para. 290.)

76. The arrest of trade unionists may create an atmosphere of intimidation and fear prejudicial to the normal development of trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 92.)

77. Measures depriving trade unionists of their freedom on grounds related to their trade union activity, even where they are merely summoned or questioned for a short period, constitute an obstacle to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1285, para. 215(a).)

78. The apprehension and systematic or arbitrary interrogation by the police of trade union leaders and unionists involves a danger of abuse and could constitute a serious attack on trade union rights.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1414, para. 129.)

79. The arrest and detention of trade unionists without any charges being laid or court warrants being issued constitutes a serious violation of trade union rights.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1642, para. 986; and 295th Report, Case No. 1732, para. 356.)

80. The arrest of trade union leaders against whom no charge is brought involves restrictions on freedom of association, and governments should adopt measures for issuing appropriate instructions to prevent the danger involved for trade union activities by such arrests. Furthermore, it is clear that such arrests create an atmosphere of

intimidation and fear prejudicial to the normal development of trade union activities.

(See 243rd Report, Case No. 1308, para. 70.)

81. The arrest by the authorities of trade unionists concerning whom no grounds for conviction are found or charges made involves restrictions on trade union rights. Governments should take steps to ensure that the authorities concerned have appropriate instructions to eliminate the danger which arrest for trade union activities implies.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 97; and 217th Report, Case No. 1031, para. 120.)

82. The arrest of trade union leaders against whom no criminal charges are laid involves restrictions on the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 89.)

83. While persons engaged in trade union activities or holding trade union office, cannot claim immunity in respect of the ordinary criminal law, trade union activities should not in themselves be used by the public authorities as a pretext for the arbitrary arrest or detention of trade unionists.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 90.)

84. The arrest and detention of trade unionists, even for reasons of internal security, may constitute a serious interference with trade union rights unless attended by appropriate judicial safeguards.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 94.)

Preventive detention and judicial safeguards (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

85. Measures of preventive detention may involve a serious interference with trade union activities which can only be justified by the existence of a serious situation or emergency and which would be open to criticism unless accompanied by adequate judicial safeguards applied within a reasonable period.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 100.)

86. The preventive detention of trade unionists on the ground that breaches of the law may take place in the course of a strike involves a serious danger of infringement of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 101.)

87. Preventive detention should be limited to very short periods of time intended solely to facilitate the course of a judicial inquiry.

(See Digest of 1985, para. 103.)

88. In all cases in which trade union leaders are preventively detained, this can involve a serious interference with the exercise of trade union rights and the Committee has always emphasized the right of all detained persons to receive a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 104.)

89. Preventive detention should be accompanied by safeguards and limitations:

(1) to ensure, in particular, that it is not extended beyond the time absolutely necessary and that it is not accompanied by measures of intimidation;

(2) to prevent it being used for purposes other than those for which it is designed and, in particular, to exclude torture and ill-treatment and give protection against situations where the detention is unsatisfactory from the viewpoint of sanitation, unnecessary hardship or the right to defence.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 102; and 216th Report, Case No. 1084, para. 38.)

90. The prolonged detention of persons without bringing them to trial because of the difficulty of securing evidence under the normal procedure is a practice which involves an inherent danger of abuse; for this reason it is subject to criticism.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 106.)

91. Although the exercise of trade union activity or the holding of trade union office does not provide immunity as regards the application of ordinary criminal law, the continued detention of trade unionists without bringing them to trial may constitute a serious impediment to the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 105.)

92. Because of the fact that detention may involve serious interference with trade union rights and because of the importance which it attaches to the principle of fair trial, the Committee has pressed governments to bring detainees to trial in all cases, irrespective of the reasons put forward by governments for prolonging the detention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 95.)

93. It is one of the fundamental rights of the individual that a detained person should be brought without delay before the appropriate judge, this right being recognized in such instruments as the United Nations International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man. In the case of persons engaged in trade union activities, this is one of the civil liberties which should be ensured by the authorities in order to guarantee the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 96.)

94. It is one of the fundamental rights of the individual that a detainee be brought without delay before the appropriate judge and, in the case of unionists, freedom from arbitrary arrest and detention and the right to a fair and rapid trial are among the civil liberties which should be ensured by the authorities in order to guarantee the normal exercise of trade union rights.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1337, para. 353.)

95. Anyone who is arrested should be informed, at the time of the arrest, of the reasons for the arrest and should be promptly notified of any charges brought against her or him.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 98.)

96. It should be the policy of every government to ensure observance of human rights and especially of the right of all detained or accused persons to receive a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 108.)

97. The Committee has stressed the importance which should be attached to the principle that all arrested persons should be subject to normal judicial procedure in accordance with the principles enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and in accordance with the principle that it is a fundamental right of the individual that a detained person should be brought without delay before the appropriate judge, this right being recognized in such instruments as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man and the American Convention of Human Rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 109.)

98. In cases where the complainants alleged that trade union leaders or workers had been arrested for trade union activities, and the governments' replies amounted to general denials of the allegation or were simply to the effect that the arrests were made for subversive activities, for reasons of internal security or for common law crimes, the Committee followed the rule that the governments concerned should be requested to submit further and as precise information as possible concerning the arrests, particularly in connection with the legal or judicial proceedings instituted as a result thereof and the result of such proceedings, in order to be able to make a proper examination of the allegation.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 115.)

Detentions during a state of emergency (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

99. The Committee, while refraining from expressing an opinion on the political aspects of a state of emergency, has always emphasized that measures involving detention must be accompanied by adequate judicial safeguards applied within a reasonable period and that all detained persons must receive a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 128.)

100. Where circumstances approximate to a situation of a civil war, the Committee has emphasized the importance attached to all detained persons receiving a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 129.)

101. Due process would not appear to be ensured if, under the national law, the effect of a state of emergency is that a court cannot examine, and does not examine, the merits of the case.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 130.)

Guarantee of due process of law (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

102. Detained trade unionists, like anyone else, should benefit from normal judicial proceedings and have the right to due process, in particular, the right to be informed of the charges brought against them, the right to have adequate time and facilities for the preparation of their defence and to communicate freely with counsel of their own choosing, and the right to a prompt trial by an impartial and independent judicial authority.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 110.)

103. Respect for due process of law should not preclude the possibility of a fair and rapid trial and, on the contrary, an excessive delay may intimidate the leaders concerned, thus having repercussions on the exercise of their activities.

(See 262nd Report, Case No. 1419, para. 263.)

104. As concerns allegations that legal proceedings are overly lengthy, the Committee has recalled the importance it attaches to such proceedings being concluded expeditiously, as justice delayed is justice denied.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1742, para. 523.)

105. Justice delayed is justice denied.

(See 265th Report, Cases Nos. 988 and 1003, para. 14; and 284th Report, Case No. 1508, para. 427.)

106. The absence of guarantees of due process of law may lead to abuses and result in trade union officials being penalized by decisions that are groundless. It may also create a climate of insecurity and fear which may affect the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 111.)

107. The safeguards of normal judicial procedure should not only be embodied in the law, but also applied in practice.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 112.)

108. Due process of law should include the non-retroactive application of the criminal law.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 127.)

109. The Committee has always attached great importance to the principle of prompt and fair trial by an independent and impartial judiciary in all cases, including cases in which trade unionists are charged with political or criminal offences.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 113.)

110. If a government has sufficient grounds for believing that the persons arrested have been involved in subversive activity, these persons should be rapidly tried by the courts with all the safeguards of a normal judicial procedure.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 114.)

111. In cases where the complainants alleged that trade union leaders or workers had been arrested for trade union activities, and the governments' replies amounted to general denials of the allegation or were simply to the effect that the arrests were made for subversive activities, for reasons of internal security or for common law crimes, the Committee has always followed the rule that the governments concerned should be requested to submit further and as precise information as possible concerning the arrests, particularly in connection with the legal or judicial proceedings instituted as a result thereof and the result of such proceedings, in order to be able to make a proper examination of the allegation.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 115.)

112. In many cases, the Committee has asked the governments concerned to communicate the texts of any judgements that have been delivered together with the grounds adduced therefor.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 116.)

113. The Committee has emphasized that when it requests a government to furnish judgements in judicial proceedings, such a request does not reflect in any way on the integrity or independence of the judiciary. The very essence of judicial procedure is that its results are known, and confidence in its impartiality rests on their being known.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 117.)

114. The Committee has pointed out that, where persons have been sentenced on grounds that have no relation to trade union rights, the matter falls outside its competence. It has, however, emphasized that whether a matter is one that relates to the criminal law or to the exercise of trade union rights is not one which can be determined unilaterally by the government concerned. This is a question to be determined by the Committee after examining all the available information and, in particular, the text of the judgement.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 118.)

115. If in certain cases the Committee has reached the conclusion that allegations relating to measures taken against trade unionists did not warrant further examination, this was only after it had received information from the governments showing sufficiently precisely that the measures were in no way occasioned by trade union activities, but solely by activities outside the trade union sphere that were either prejudicial to public order or political in nature.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 119.)

116. When it appeared from the information available that the persons concerned had been judged by the competent judicial authorities, with the safeguards of normal procedure, and sentenced on account of actions which were not connected with normal trade union activities or which went beyond the scope of such activities, the Committee has considered that the case called for no further examination.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 120.)

117. Any trade unionist who is arrested should be presumed innocent until proven guilty after a public trial during which he or she has enjoyed all the guarantees necessary for his or her defence.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 123.)

118. The Committee has recalled that the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, in Article 14, states that everyone charged with a criminal offence shall have the right to adequate time and the necessary facilities for the preparation of his defence and to communicate with counsel of his own choosing.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 124.)

119. The Committee is not required to express an opinion on the question of the granting of permission for a foreign lawyer to plead.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 125.)

Special bodies and summary procedures (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

120. In all cases where trade unionists have been the subject of measures or decisions emanating from bodies of a special nature, the Committee has emphasized the importance which it attaches to the guarantees of a normal judicial procedure.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 131.)

121. The Committee has considered that, when trade unionists have been sentenced under summary procedures, they have not enjoyed all the safeguards of a normal procedure. Accordingly, the Committee has suggested that it should be possible to review cases of trade unionists sentenced under such procedures so as to ensure that no one is deprived of their liberty without the benefit of a normal procedure before an impartial and independent judicial authority.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 132.)

Freedom of movement (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

122. Forced exile of trade union leaders and unionists constitutes a serious infringement of human rights and trade union rights since it weakens the trade union movement as a whole when it is deprived of its leaders.

(See 230th Report, Case No. 1170, para. 21; and 239th Report, Case No. 1297, para. 167.)

123. The imposition of sanctions, such as restricted movement, house arrest or banishment for trade union reasons constitutes a violation of the principles of freedom of association. The Committee has considered it unacceptable that sanctions of this nature should be imposed by administrative action.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 138; and 217th Report, Case No. 1096, para. 298.)

124. As regards the exile, banishment or the placing under house arrest of trade unionists, the Committee, while recognizing that this procedure may be occasioned by a crisis in a country, has drawn attention to the appropriateness of this procedure being accompanied by all the safeguards necessary to ensure that it shall not be utilized for the purpose of impairing the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 133.)

125. The exile of trade unionists, which is in violation of human rights, is particularly grave since it deprives the persons concerned of the possibility of working in their country and of maintaining contacts with their families. It is also a violation of freedom of association since it undermines the trade union organizations by depriving them of their leaders.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 134.)

126. The granting of freedom to a trade unionist on condition that he leave the country is not compatible with the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 136.)

127. The expulsion of trade union leaders from their country for activities connected with the exercise of their functions is not only contrary to human rights but is, furthermore, an interference in the activities of the organization to which they belong.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 135.)

128. The restriction of a person's movements to a limited area, accompanied by the prohibition of entry into the area in which his trade union operates and in which he normally carries on his trade union functions, is inconsistent with the normal enjoyment of the right of association and with the exercise of the right to carry on trade union activities and functions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 137.)

129. Legislation which permits the Minister at his discretion to impose restrictions on the movement of trade union leaders for a 90-day period, which can be renewed, without trial or without even being charged, is incompatible with the right to perform trade union activities or functions and the right to a fair trial at the earliest possible moment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 107.)

Rights of assembly and demonstration (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

1. Meetings of organizations in their premises and in relation to labour disputes

130. The right of occupational organizations to hold meetings in their premises to discuss occupational questions, without prior authorization and interference by the authorities, is an essential element of freedom of association and the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede its exercise, unless public order is disturbed thereby or its maintenance seriously and imminently endangered.

(See 211th Report, Case No. 1014, para. 512; 233rd Report, Case No. 1217, paras. 109 and 110; and 246th Report, Cases Nos. 1129, 1169, 1298, 1344 and 1351, para. 260.)

131. The right to strike and to organize union meetings are essential aspects of trade union rights, and measures taken by the authorities to ensure the observance of the law should not, therefore, prevent unions from organizing meetings during labour disputes.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 169.)

2. Public meetings and demonstrations

132. Workers should enjoy the right to peaceful demonstration to defend their occupational interests.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1510, para. 524.)

133. The right to organize public meetings constitutes an important aspect of trade union rights. In this connection, the Committee has always drawn a distinction between demonstrations in pursuit of purely trade union objectives, which it has considered as falling within the exercise of trade union rights, and those designed to achieve other ends.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 154.)

134. The right to organize public meetings and processions, particularly on the occasion of May Day, constitutes an important aspect of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 155.)

135. The holding of public meetings and the voicing of demands of a social and economic nature on the occasion of May Day are traditional forms of trade union action. Trade unions should have the right to organize freely whatever meetings they wish to celebrate on May Day, provided that they respect the measures taken by the authorities to ensure public order.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 156.)

136. Trade union rights include the right to organize public demonstrations. Although the prohibition of demonstrations on the public highway in the busiest parts of a city, when it is feared that disturbances might occur, does not constitute an infringement of trade union rights, the authorities should strive to reach agreement with the organizers of the demonstration to enable it to be held in some other place where there would be no fear of disturbances.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1285, para. 176.)

137. The authorities should resort to the use of force only in situations where law and order is seriously threatened. The intervention of the forces of law and order should be in due proportion to the danger to law and order that the authorities are attempting to control and governments should take measures to ensure that the competent authorities receive adequate instructions so as to eliminate the danger entailed by the use of excessive violence when controlling demonstrations which might result in a disturbance of the peace.

(See 297th Report, Cases Nos. 1527, 1541 and 1598, para. 168.)

138. The requirement of administrative permission to hold public meetings and demonstrations is not objectionable per se from the standpoint of the principles of freedom of association. The maintenance of public order is not incompatible with the right to hold demonstrations so long as the authorities responsible for public order reach agreement with the organizers of a demonstration concerning the place where it will be held and the manner in which it will take place.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1285, para. 212.)

139. Permission to hold public meetings and demonstrations, which is an important trade union right, should not be arbitrarily refused.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 157.)

140. Although the right of holding trade union meetings is an essential aspect of trade union rights, the organizations concerned must observe the general provisions relating to public meetings, which are applicable to all. This principle is contained in Article 8 of Convention No. 87, which provides that workers and their organizations, like other persons or organized collectivities, shall respect the law of the land.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 158.)

141. Trade unions must conform to the general provisions applicable to all public meetings and must respect the reasonable limits which may be fixed by the authorities to avoid disturbances in public places.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 159.)

142. The right to hold trade union meetings cannot be interpreted as relieving organizations from the obligation to comply with reasonable formalities when they wish to make use of public premises.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 160.)

143. It is for the government, which is responsible for the maintenance of public order, to decide whether meetings, including trade union meetings, may, in particular circumstances, endanger public order and security, and to take any necessary preventive measures.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 161.)

144. Trade unions should respect legal provisions which are intended to ensure the maintenance of public order; the public authorities should, for their part, refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of trade unions to organize the holding and proceedings of their meetings in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 162.)

145. The obligation on a procession to follow a predetermined itinerary does not constitute a violation of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 166.)

146. In general, the use of the forces of order during trade union demonstrations should be limited to cases of genuine necessity.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 167.)

147. The police authorities should be given precise instructions, in cases where public order is not seriously threatened, so that people are not arrested simply for having organized or participated in a demonstration.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 168.)

148. In cases in which the dispersal of public meetings or demonstrations by the police for reasons of public order or other similar reasons has involved loss of life or serious injury, the Committee has attached special importance to the circumstances being fully investigated immediately through an independent inquiry and to a regular legal procedure being followed to determine the justification for the action taken by the police and to determine responsibilities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 79.)

149. The enactment of emergency regulations which empower the government to place restrictions on the organization of public meetings and which are applicable not only to public trade union meetings but also to all public meetings and which are occasioned by events which the government considered so serious as to call for the declaration of a state of emergency, does not in itself constitute a violation of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 165.)

3. International trade union meetings

150. Trade union meetings of an international character may give rise to special problems, not only because of the nationality of the participants, but also because of the international policy and commitments, of the country in which these meetings are to take place. As a result of such commitments, the government of a particular country may consider it necessary to adopt restrictive measures on the grounds of certain special circumstances prevailing at a particular time. Such measures might be justified in exceptional cases, having more regard to specific situations, and provided they conform to the laws of the country. However, it should never be possible to apply measures of a general nature against particular trade union organizations unless in each case sufficient grounds exist to justify the government decision, such as genuine dangers which may arise for the international relations of a State or for security and public order. Otherwise, the right of assembly, the exercise of which by international organizations should also be recognized, would be seriously restricted.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 171.)

151. Participation by trade unionists in international trade union meetings is a fundamental trade union right and governments should therefore abstain from any measure, such as withholding travel documents, that would prevent representatives of workers' organizations from exercising their mandate in full freedom and independence.

(See 254th Report, Case No. 1406, para. 470; and 283rd Report, Case No. 1590, para. 346.)

Freedom of opinion and expression (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

1. General principles

152. The full exercise of trade union rights calls for a free flow of information, opinions and ideas, and to this end workers, employers and their organizations should enjoy freedom of opinion and expression at their meetings, in their publications and in the course of other trade union activities. Nevertheless, in expressing their opinions, trade union organizations should respect the limits of propriety and refrain from the use of insulting language.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 175; 244th Report, Case No. 1309, para. 336(f); 254th Report, Case No. 1400, para. 198; and 295th Report, Case No. 1729, para. 34.)

153. The right to express opinions through the press or otherwise is an essential aspect of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 172.)

154. The right to express opinions without previous authorization through the press is one of the essential elements of the rights of occupational organizations.

(See 255th Report, Cases Nos. 1129, 1298, 1344, 1351 and 1372, para. 53.)

155. The freedom of expression which should be enjoyed by trade unions and their leaders should also be guaranteed when they wish to criticize the government's economic and social policy.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1640, para. 606.)

156. The right of an employers' or workers' organization to express its opinion uncensored through the independent press should in no way differ from the right to express opinions in exclusively occupational or trade union journals.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 174; and 255th Report, Cases Nos. 1129, 1298, 1344, 1351 and 1372, para. 58.)

157. In a case in which the major communications media had been closed down for months, the Committee stressed that the right of workers' and employers' organizations to express their views in the press or through other media is one of the essential

elements of freedom of association; consequently the authorities should refrain from unduly impeding its lawful exercise.

(See 262nd Report, Case No. 1419, para. 267(d).)

158. With regard to legislation which allowed the temporary or definitive suspension of journals and publications which "compromise the economic stability of the nation", the Committee considered that such restrictions, which amount to a constant threat of suspension of publications, cannot but impede considerably the right of trade union and professional organizations to express their views in the press, in their own publications or through other media, which is one of the essential elements of trade union rights and consequently governments should refrain from unduly impeding the lawful exercise thereof.

(See 261st Report, Cases Nos. 1129, 1298, 1344, 1442 and 1454, para. 36.)

2. Authorization and censorship of publications

159. If before being able to publish a newspaper trade unions are required to furnish a substantial bond, this would constitute, especially in the case of smaller unions, such an unreasonable condition as to be incompatible with the exercise of the right of trade unions to express their opinions through the press.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 178.)

160. The fear of the authorities of seeing a trade union newspaper serve political ends unrelated to trade union activities or which, at least, lie far outside their normal scope, is not a sufficient reason to refuse to allow such a newspaper to appear.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 179.)

161. The publication and distribution of news and information of general or special interest to trade unions and their members constitutes a legitimate trade union activity and the application of measures designed to control publication and means of information may involve serious interference by administrative authorities with such activity. In such cases, the exercise of administrative authority should be subject to judicial review at the earliest possible moment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 180.)

162. The discretionary power of the public authorities to revoke the licence granted to a trade union newspaper, without it being possible to appeal against such decisions to a

court of law, is not compatible with the provisions of Convention No. 87, which provides that workers' organizations have the right to organize their activities without interference on the part of the public authorities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 181.)

163. While the imposition of general censorship is primarily a matter that relates to civil liberties rather than to trade union rights, the censorship of the press during an industrial dispute may have a direct effect on the conduct of the dispute and may prejudice the parties by not allowing the true facts surrounding the dispute to become known.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 182.)

3. Publications of a political character

164. It is only in so far as trade union organizations take care not to allow their occupational demands to assume a clearly political character that they can legitimately claim that there should be no interference in their activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 173.)

165. When issuing their publications, trade union organizations should have regard, in the interests of the development of the trade union movement, to the principles enunciated by the International Labour Conference at its 35th Session (1952) for the protection of the freedom and independence of the trade union movement and the safeguarding of its fundamental task which is to ensure the social and economic well-being of all workers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 183.)

166. In one case where a trade union newspaper, in its allusions and accusations against the government, seemed to have exceeded the admissible limits of controversy, the Committee pointed out that trade union publications should refrain from extravagance of language. The primary role of publications of this type should be to deal with matters essentially relating to the defence and furtherance of the interests of the unions' members in particular and with labour questions in general. The Committee, nevertheless, recognized that it is difficult to draw a clear distinction between what is political and what is strictly trade union in character. It pointed out that these two notions overlap, and it is inevitable and sometimes normal for trade union publications to take a stand on questions having political aspects, as well as on strictly economic or social questions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 185.)

167. In one case where the distribution of all the publications of a trade union organization was prohibited, the Committee suggested that the order in question be re-examined in the light of the principle that trade union organizations should have the right to distribute the publications in which their programme is formulated, and so as to distinguish between those trade union publications which deal with problems normally regarded as falling directly or indirectly within the competence of trade unions and those which are obviously political or anti-national in character.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 186.)

4. Seizure of publications

168. The confiscation of May Day propaganda material or other trade union publications may constitute a serious interference by the authorities in trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 187.)

169. The attitude adopted by the authorities in systematically seizing a trade union newspaper does not seem to be compatible with the principle that the right to express opinions through the press or otherwise is one of the essential aspects of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 188.)

Freedom of speech at the International Labour Conference (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

170. The Committee has pointed out that delegates of workers' and employers' organizations to the International Labour Conference deal, in their speeches, with questions which are of direct or indirect concern to the ILO. The functioning of the Conference would risk being considerably hampered and the freedom of speech of the workers' and employers' delegates being paralysed if they were to be threatened with prosecution based, directly or indirectly, on the contents of their speeches at the Conference. Article 40 of the Constitution of the Organization provides that delegates to the Conference shall enjoy "such immunities as are necessary for the independent exercise of their functions in connection with the Organization". The right of delegates to the Conference to express freely their point of view on questions within the competence of the Organization implies that delegates of employers' and workers' organizations have the right to inform their members in their respective countries of their speeches. The arrest and sentencing of a delegate following a speech to the Conference jeopardize freedom of speech for delegates as well as the immunities they should enjoy in this regard.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 189.)

Protection against disclosure of information on trade union membership and activities (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

171. ampering with correspondence is an offence which is incompatible with the free exercise of trade union rights and civil liberties; the International Labour Conference in its 1970 resolution on trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties stated that particular attention should be given to the right to the inviolability of correspondence and telephonic conversations.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1769, para. 480.)

172. In one case where it was alleged that the military police had sent out a questionnaire to undertakings in which it was asked whether there were any natural leaders among the employees, strike instigators, trade union delegates or workers' organizations in the undertaking, the Committee considered that such an inquiry could involve a risk of being put to improper use by the military authorities or the police in the event of a labour dispute. For example, workers might be taken into custody simply because they were on a list of persons thus established, even though they had not committed any offence. The Committee also considered that, because of the atmosphere of mistrust that it created, such a procedure was hardly favourable for the development of harmonious industrial relations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 139.)

173. Workers face many practical difficulties in proving the real nature of their dismissal or denial of employment, especially when seen in the context of blacklisting, which is a practice whose very strength lies in its secrecy. While it is true that it is important for employers to obtain information about prospective employees, it is equally true that employees with past trade union membership or activities should be informed about the information held on them and given a chance to challenge it, especially if it is erroneous and obtained from an unreliable source. Moreover, in these conditions, the employees concerned would be more inclined to institute legal proceedings since they would be in a better position to prove the real nature of their dismissal or denial of employment.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1618, paras. 264 and 265.)

Protection of trade union premises and property (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

174. The occupation of trade union premises may constitute a serious interference by the authorities in trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 202.)

175. The right of the inviolability of trade union premises also necessarily implies that the public authorities may not insist on entering such premises without prior authorization or without having obtained a legal warrant to do so.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 203; 230th Report, Case No. 1200, para. 610; 238th Report, Case No. 1169, para. 227; and 241st Report, Case No. 1285, para. 192.)

176. The entry by police or military forces into trade union premises without a judicial warrant constitutes a serious and unjustifiable interference in trade union activities.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1642, para. 987; 295th Report, Case No. 1769, para. 476; and 297th Report, Case No. 1795, para. 547.)

177. Any search of trade union premises, or of unionists' homes, without a court order constitutes an extremely serious infringement of freedom of association.

(See 286th Report, Cases Nos. 1273, 1441, 1494 and 1524, para. 342; 288th Report, Cases Nos. 1273, 1441, 1494 and 1524, para. 32; and 295th Report, Case No. 1769, para. 476.)

178. With regard to searches of trade union premises, it is stated in the resolution on trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, adopted by the International Labour Conference at its 54th Session (1970), that the right to adequate protection of trade union property is one of those civil liberties which are essential for the normal exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 204.)

179. When examining allegations of attacks carried out against trade union premises and threats against trade unionists, the Committee has recalled that activities of this kind create among trade unionists a climate of fear which is extremely prejudicial to the exercise of trade union activities and that the authorities, when informed of such

matters, should carry out an immediate investigation to determine who is responsible and punish the guilty parties.

(See 261st Report, Cases Nos. 1129, 1298, 1344, 1422 and 1454, para. 48(g).)

180. Searches of trade union premises should be made only following the issue of a warrant by the ordinary judicial authority where that authority is satisfied that there are reasonable grounds for supposing that evidence exists on the premises material to a prosecution for a penal offence and on condition that the search be restricted to the purpose in respect of which the warrant was issued.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 205.)

181. If trade union premises are used as a refuge by persons who have committed serious crimes, or as a meeting place for a political organization, the trade unions concerned cannot claim any immunity against the entry of the authorities into these premises.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 206.)

182. Even if police intervention in trade union premises may be justified in particularly serious circumstances, such intervention should in no case entail the ransacking of the premises and archives of an organization.

(See 258th Report, Cases Nos. 1129 and 1298, para. 46.)

183. The occupation or sealing of trade union premises should be subject to independent judicial review before being undertaken by the authorities in view of the significant risk that such measures may paralyse trade union activities.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1793, para. 606.)

184. The Committee has drawn attention to the importance of the principle that the property of trade unions should enjoy adequate protection.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 338.)

185. A climate of violence, in which attacks are made against trade union premises and property may constitute serious interference with the exercise of trade union rights; such situations call for severe measures being taken by the authorities, and in particular, the arraignment of those presumed to be responsible before an independent judicial

authority.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 339.)

State of emergency and the exercise of trade union rights (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

186. The Committee on Freedom of Association has recalled that the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has emphasized that the freedom of association Conventions do not contain any provision permitting derogation from the obligations arising under the Convention, or any suspension of their application, based on a plea that an emergency exists.

(See 248th Report, Cases Nos. 1129 and 1351, para. 434.)

187. In cases of repeated renewals of the state of emergency, the Committee has pointed out that the resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, adopted by the International Labour Conference in 1970, states that "the rights conferred upon workers' and employers' organizations must be based on respect for (...) civil liberties (...) and that the absence of these civil liberties removes all meaning from the concept of trade union rights".

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1642, para. 985.)

188. Where a state of emergency exists, it is desirable that the government in its relations with occupational organizations and their representatives, should rely, as far as possible, on the ordinary law rather than on emergency measures which are liable, by their very nature, to involve certain restrictions on fundamental rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 194.)

189. When a state of emergency has continued over a period of several years, entailing serious restrictions on trade union rights and civil liberties that are essential for the exercise of such rights, the Committee has considered that it is necessary to safeguard the exercise specifically of trade union rights such as the establishment of employers' and workers' organizations, the right to hold trade union meetings in trade union premises and the right to strike in non-essential services.

(See 248th Report, Cases Nos. 1129 and 1351, para. 434.)

190. The enactment of emergency regulations which empower the government to replace restrictions on the organization of public meetings and which are applicable not only to public trade union meetings, but also to all public meetings, and which are occasioned by events which the government considered so serious as to call for the

declaration of a state of emergency, does not in itself constitute a violation of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 165.)

191. Where restrictions imposed by a revolutionary government on certain publications during a period of emergency appeared mainly to have been imposed for reasons of a general political character, the Committee, while taking account of the exceptional nature of these measures, drew the attention of the government to the importance of ensuring respect for the freedom of trade union publications.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 191.)

192. Restrictions on the right to strike and on freedom of expression imposed in the context of an attempted coup d'état against the constitutional government, which gave rise to a state of emergency called in accordance with the constitution, do not violate freedom of association on the grounds that such restrictions are justified in the event of an acute national emergency.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1626, paras. 90 and 91.)

193. Any measures of suspension or dissolution by administrative authority, when taken during an emergency situation, should be accompanied by normal judicial safeguards, including the right of appeal to the courts against such dissolution or suspension.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 497.)

194. In a case in which emergency measures had been extended over many years, the Committee pointed out that martial law was incompatible with the full exercise of trade union rights.

(See 235th Report, Cases Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, para. 33.)

195. When examining cases of detention under emergency regulations, the Committee has pointed out that measures of preventive detention should be limited to very short periods intended solely to facilitate the course of a judicial inquiry.

(See 234th Report, Cases Nos. 953, 973, 1016, 1150 and 1168, para. 416.)

196. Emergency legislation aimed at anti-social disruptive elements should not be applied against workers for exercising their legitimate trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 192.)

197. As regards countries which are in a state of political crisis or have just undergone grave disturbances (civil war, revolution, etc.), the Committee has considered it necessary, when examining the various measures taken by the governments, including some against trade union organizations, to take account of such exceptional circumstances when examining the merits of the allegations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 193.)

198. As regards special provisions against terrorism, although the Committee is aware of the serious situation of violence which may affect a country, it has to point out that as far as possible recourse should be made to the provisions of the ordinary law rather than emergency measures which are liable, by their very nature, to involve certain restrictions on fundamental rights.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1686, para. 301.)

199. If a revolutionary government suspends constitutional safeguards this may constitute serious interference by the authorities in trade union affairs, contrary to Article 3 of Convention No. 87, except where such measures are necessary because the organizations concerned have diverged from their trade union objectives and have defied the law. In any case, such measures should be subject to appropriate judicial guarantees that may be invoked without delay.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 195.)

Questions of a political nature affecting trade union rights (Trade union rights and civil liberties)

200. The Committee is not competent to consider purely political allegations; it can, however, consider measures of a political character taken by governments in so far as these may affect the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 199.)

201. It is important to distinguish between the evolution of a country's political institutions and matters relating to the exercise of freedom of association, if, as was emphasized by the International Labour Conference in 1970 in the resolution concerning trade union rights and their relation to civil liberties, respect for freedom of association is closely bound up with respect for civil liberties. In general, workers' and employers' organizations nevertheless have their own specific functions to perform, irrespective of the country's political system.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 200.)

202. Measures which, although of a political nature and not intended to restrict trade union rights as such, may nevertheless be applied in such a manner as to affect the exercise of such rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 197.)

203. As stated by the International Labour Conference in 1970, although respect for freedom of association is closely bound up with respect for civil liberties in general, it is nevertheless important to distinguish between the recognition of freedom of association and questions relating to a country's political evolution.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 198.)

204. Political matters which do not impair the exercise of freedom of association are outside the competence of the Committee. The Committee is not competent to deal with a complaint that is based on subversive acts, and it is likewise incompetent to deal with political matters that may be referred to in a government's reply.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 201.)

General principles (Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization)

205. Article 2 of Convention No. 87 is designed to give expression to the principle of non-discrimination in trade union matters, and the words "without distinction whatsoever" used in this Article mean that freedom of association should be guaranteed without discrimination of any kind based on occupation, sex, colour, race, beliefs, nationality, political opinion, etc., not only to workers in the private sector of the economy, but also to civil servants and public service employees in general.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 210.)

206. All public employees (with the sole possible exception of the armed forces and the police, as indicated in Article 9 of Convention No. 87), showed, like workers in the private sector, be able to establish organizations of their own choosing to further and defend the interests of their members.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1706, para. 484.)

207. The principle of freedom of association would often remain a dead letter if workers and employers were required to obtain any kind of previous authorization to enable them to establish an organization. Such authorization could concern the formation of the trade union organization itself, the need to obtain discretionary approval of the constitution or rules of the organization or, again, authorization for taking steps prior to the establishment of the organization. This does not mean that the founders of an organization are freed from the duty of observing formalities concerning publicity or other similar formalities which may be prescribed by law. However, such requirements must not be such as to be equivalent in practice to previous authorization, or as to constitute such an obstacle to the establishment of an organization that they amount in practice to outright prohibition.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 263.)

Distinctions based on race, political opinion or nationality (Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization)

208. A law which prohibits African workers from establishing trade unions which can be registered and participate in industrial councils for the purpose of negotiating agreements and settling disputes constitutes a form of discrimination which is inconsistent with the principle accepted in the majority of countries, and embodied in Convention No. 87, that workers without distinction whatsoever should have the right to establish and, subject only to the rules of the organization concerned, to join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization. It is also inconsistent with the principle that all workers' organizations should enjoy the right of collective bargaining.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 211.)

209. The prohibition of registration of mixed trade unions (consisting of workers of different races) is not compatible with the generally accepted principle that workers, without distinction whatsoever, should have the right to establish and, subject only to the rules of the organizations concerned, to join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 255.)

210. Workers should have the right, without distinction whatsoever, in particular without discrimination on the basis of political opinion, to join the organization of their own choosing.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 212.)

211. With regard to the granting of trade union rights to aliens, the requirement of reciprocity is not acceptable under Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1444, para. 522.)

Distinctions based on occupational category (Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization)

212. The standards contained in Convention No. 87 apply to all workers "without distinction whatsoever", and are therefore applicable to employees of the State. It was indeed considered inequitable to draw any distinction in trade union matters between workers in the private sector and public servants, since workers in both categories should have the right to organize for the defence of their interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 213.)

213. Public servants, like all other workers, without distinction whatsoever, have the right to form and join organizations of their own choosing, without previous authorization, for the promotion and defence of their occupational interests.

(See 238th Report, Case No. 1189, para. 260(a).)

214. Public employees should, like workers in the private sector, be able to establish organizations of their own choosing to further and defend the interests of their members, and these organizations should be entitled to organize their activities and, in particular, to hold meetings without interference by the public authorities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 214.)

215. In view of the importance of the right of employees of the State and local authorities to constitute and register trade unions, the prohibition of the right of association for workers in the service of the State is incompatible with the generally accepted principle that workers, without distinction whatsoever, should have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 215.)

216. The denial of the right of workers in the public sector to set up trade unions, where this right is enjoyed by workers in the private sector, with the result that their "associations" do not enjoy the same advantages and privileges as "trade unions", involves discrimination as regards government-employed workers and their organizations as compared with private sector workers and their organizations. Such a situation gives rise to the question of compatibility of these distinctions with Article 2 of Convention No. 87, according to which workers "without distinction whatsoever" shall

have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization, as well as with Articles 3 and 8(2) of the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 216.)

217. Local public service employees should be able effectively to establish organizations of their own choosing, and these organizations should enjoy the full right to further and defend the interests of the workers whom they represent.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 218.)

218. In one case where the port employees of a country were, by custom and agreement, classified as government officials and were therefore outside the coverage of the Trade Unions Act, and the government had considered that Convention No. 87 (ratified by the country concerned) did not apply to them, the Committee pointed out that the government had assumed an international obligation to apply the Convention to "workers without distinction whatsoever" and that in these circumstances, the provisions of the Convention could not be modified as regards particular categories of workers because of any private or national agreement, custom or other arrangement between such categories of workers and the government.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 217.)

2. Members of the armed forces and the police

219. The members of the armed forces who can be excluded from the application of Convention No. 87 should be defined in a restrictive manner.

(See 238th Report, Case No. 1279, para. 137.)

220. Article 9(1) of Convention No. 87 provides that "the extent to which the guarantees provided for in this Convention shall apply to the armed forces and the police shall be determined by national laws or regulations"; under this provision, it is clear that the International Labour Conference intended to leave it to each State to decide on the extent to which it was desirable to grant members of the armed forces and of the police the rights covered by the Convention, which means that States having ratified the Convention are not required to grant these rights to the said categories of persons.

(See 145th Report, Case No. 778, para. 19; and 278th Report, Case No. 1536, para. 33.)

221. The fact that Article 9(1) of Convention No. 87 stipulates that the extent to which the guarantees provided for in the Convention shall apply to the armed forces and the

police shall be determined by national laws and regulations cannot warrant the assumption that any limitations or exclusions imposed by the legislation of a State as regards the trade union rights of the armed forces and the police are contrary to the Convention; this is a matter which has been left to the discretion of the States Members of the ILO.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 221.)

222. Article 2 of Convention No. 87 provides that workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, shall have the right to establish and to join organizations of their own choosing. While Article 9 of the Convention does authorize exceptions to the scope of its provisions for the police and the armed forces, the Committee would recall that the members of the armed forces who can be excluded should be defined in a restrictive manner. Furthermore, the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has observed that, since this Article of the Convention provides only for exceptions to the general principle, workers should be considered as civilians in case of doubt.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1771, para. 499.)

3. Civilian staff in the armed forces

223. Civilian workers in the manufacturing establishments of the armed forces should have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization, in conformity with Convention No. 87.

(See 238th Report, Case No. 1279, para. 140(a).)

224. The civilian staff working at the Army Bank should enjoy the right to establish and join trade union organizations, and adequate protection against acts of anti-union discrimination, in the same way as other trade union members and leaders in the country.

(See 284th Report, Cases Nos. 1588 and 1595, para. 737(a).)

4. Agricultural workers

225. Agricultural workers should enjoy the right to organize.

(See 211th Report, Case No. 1053, para. 163; 241st Report, Case No. 1285, para. 213; and 241st Report, Case No. 1293, para. 273.)

226. Legislation which lays down that not less than 60 per cent of the members of a trade union must be literate is incompatible with the principle established in Convention No. 87 that workers, without distinction whatsoever, shall have the right to establish organizations of their choosing. Article 1 of Convention No. 11 confirms this principle and lays down that each Member of the International Labour Organization which ratifies this Convention undertakes to secure to all those engaged in agriculture the same rights of association and combination as to industrial workers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 219.)

5. Plantation workers

227. In the resolution adopted by the Plantations Committee at its First Session in 1950, it is provided that employers should remove existing hindrances, if any, in the way of the organization of free, independent and democratically controlled trade unions by plantation workers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 220.)

6. Employees of airlines

228. The prohibition of trade union activities in international airlines constitutes a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See 238th Report, Case No. 1175, para. 190(a).)

7. Hospital personnel

229. The right to form and to join organizations for the promotion and defence of workers' interests without previous authorization is a fundamental right which should be enjoyed by all workers without distinction whatsoever, including hospital personnel.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1792, para. 541.)

8. Managerial and supervisory staff

230. As concerns persons exercising senior managerial or policy-making responsibilities, the Committee is of the opinion that while these public servants may be barred from joining trade unions which represent other workers, such restrictions should be strictly limited to this category of workers and they should be entitled to establish their own organizations.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1792, para. 546.)

231. It is not necessarily incompatible with the requirements of Article 2 of Convention No. 87 to deny managerial or supervisory employees the right to belong to the same trade unions as other workers, on condition that two requirements are met: first, that such workers have the right to form their own associations to defend their interests and, second, that the categories of such staff are not defined so broadly as to weaken the organizations of other workers in the enterprise or branch of activity by depriving them of a substantial proportion of their present or potential membership.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1534, para. 170.)

232. As regards provisions which prohibit supervisory employees from joining workers' organizations, the Committee has taken the view that the expression "supervisors" should be limited to cover only those persons who genuinely represent the interests of employers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 260.)

233. An excessively broad interpretation of the concept of "worker of confidence", which denies such workers their right of association, may seriously limit trade union rights and even, in small enterprises, prevent the establishment of trade unions, which is contrary to the principle of freedom of association.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1751, para. 373.)

234. Legal provisions which permit employers to undermine workers' organizations through artificial promotions of workers constitute a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1534, para. 472(b).)

9. Self-employed workers and the liberal professions

235. By virtue of the principles of freedom of association, all workers - with the sole exception of members of the armed forces and police - should have the right to establish and to join organizations of their own choosing. The criterion for determining the persons covered by that right, therefore, is not based on the existence of an employment relationship, which is often non-existent, for example in the case of agricultural workers, self-employed workers in general or those who practise liberal professions, who should nevertheless enjoy the right to organize.

(See 211th Report, Case No. 1053, para. 163; 241st Report, Case No. 1285, para. 213; and 241st Report, Case No. 1293, para. 213.)

10. Temporary workers

236. All workers, without distinction whatsoever, whether they are employed on a permanent basis, for a fixed term or as contract employees, should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1615, para. 327; see also 273rd Report, Case No. 1521, para. 33.)

11. Workers undergoing a period of work probation

237. Workers undergoing a period of work probation should be able to establish and join organizations of their choosing, if they so wish.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 456.)

238. The denial of the right to organize to workers undergoing a period of work probation could raise problems with regard to the application of Convention No. 87.

(See 294th Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 22.)

12. Concessionaries (sales agents)

239. The Committee does not have the competence to express an opinion concerning the legal relationship (labour or commercial) of certain distributors and sales agents of an enterprise including on the question of whether the absence of a recognized employment relationship implies that they are not covered by the Labour Act. Nevertheless, in view of the fact that Convention No. 87 permits the exclusion only of the armed forces and the police, the sales agents in question should be able to establish organizations of their own choosing (Convention No. 87, Article 2).

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1578, paras. 395 and 396.)

13. Workers in export processing zones

240. Workers in export processing zones - despite the economic arguments often put forward - like other workers, without distinction whatsoever, should enjoy the trade union rights provided for by the freedom of association Conventions.

(See 253rd Report, Case No. 1383, para. 98.)

241. In a case relating to violations of trade union rights in export processing zones, the Committee recalled that the standards contained in Convention No. 87 apply to all workers, "without distinction whatsoever", and requested the government to amend the legislation in order to guarantee the workers concerned the right of association and collective bargaining in accordance with Conventions Nos. 87 and 98.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1726, para. 419, and Case No. 1719, para. 675.)

Other distinctions (Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization)

242. The Committee requested a government to take measures to repeal a provision of the Universities Act which empowered the employer to determine the persons who could be members of academic staff associations. The Committee also recommended that consideration be given to the possibility of introducing an independent system for the designation, where necessary, of academic staff members, either through third party arbitration or some form of informal machinery.

(See 241st Report, Cases Nos. 1172, 1234, 1247 and 1260, para. 128.)

243. The requirement for the establishment of a trade union that workers need to be employees of only one employer is a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1622, para. 691.)

Requirement of previous authorization (Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization)

244. The principle of freedom of association would often remain a dead letter if workers and employers were required to obtain any kind of previous authorization to enable them to establish an organization. Such authorization could concern the formation of the trade union organization itself, the need to obtain discretionary approval of the constitution or rules of the organization, or, again, authorization for taking steps prior to the establishment of the organization. This does not mean that the founders of an organization are freed from the duty of observing formalities concerning publicity or other similar formalities which may be prescribed by law. However, such requirements must not be such as to be equivalent in practice to previous authorization, or as to constitute such an obstacle to the establishment of an organization that they amount in practice to outright prohibition. Even in cases where registration is optional but where such registration confers on the organization the basic rights enabling it to "further and defend the interests of its members", the fact that the authority competent to effect registration has discretionary power to refuse this formality is not very different from cases in which previous authorization is required.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 263.)

245. A law providing that the right association is subject to authorization granted by a government department purely in its discretion is incompatible with the principle of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 264.)

246. The absence of recourse to a judicial authority against any refusal by the Ministry to grant an authorization to establish a trade union violates the principles of freedom of association.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1704, para. 152.)

Legal formalities for the establishment of organizations (Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization)

247. In its report to the 1948 International Labour Conference, the Committee on Freedom of Association and Industrial Relations declared that "the States would remain free to provide such formalities in their legislation as appeared appropriate to ensure the normal functioning of occupational organizations". Consequently, the formalities prescribed by national regulations concerning the constitution and functioning of workers' and employers' organizations are compatible with the provisions of that Convention provided, of course, that the provisions in such regulations do not impair the guarantees laid down in Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 262.)

248. Although the founders of a trade union should comply with the formalities prescribed by legislation, these formalities should not be of such a nature as to impair the free establishment of organizations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 270; and 244th Report, Cases Nos. 1176, 1195, 1215 and 1262, para. 275.)

249. The formalities prescribed by law for the establishment of a union should not be applied in such a way as to delay or prevent the setting up of occupational organizations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 271; and 244th Report, Cases Nos. 1176, 1195, 1215 and 1262, para. 275.)

250. If there is grave suspicion that trade union leaders have committed acts which are punishable by law, they should be subject to normal judicial proceedings in order to determine their responsibilities, and their detention should not in itself constitute an obstacle to the granting of legal personality to the organization concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 272.)

Requirements for the establishment of organizations (minimum number of members, etc.) (Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization)

251. The formalities prescribed by law for the establishment of a trade union should not be applied in such a manner as to delay or prevent the establishment of trade union organizations. Any delay caused by authorities in registering a trade union constitutes an infringement of Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See 285th Report, Case No. 1594, para. 47.)

252. "Employers' occupational associations" should not be restricted by excessively detailed provisions which discourage their establishment, contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 87, which provides that employers, as well as workers, shall have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See 298th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 18.)

253. The requirement that a trade union shall have a registered office is a normal requirement in a large number of countries.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 273.)

254. A minimum requirement of 100 workers to establish unions by branch of activity, occupation or for various occupations must be reduced in consultation with the workers' and employers' organizations.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 451.)

255. The establishment of a trade union may be considerably hindered, or even rendered impossible, when legislation fixes the minimum number of members of a trade union at obviously too high a figure, as is the case, for example, where legislation requires that a union must have at least 50 founder members.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 256.)

256. The legal requirement that there be a minimum number of 20 members to form a union does not seem excessive and, therefore, does not in itself constitute an obstacle to the formation of a trade union.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 257.)

257. Even though the minimum number of 30 workers would be acceptable in the case of sectoral trade unions, this minimum number should be reduced in the case of works councils so as not to hinder the establishment of such bodies, particularly when it is taken into account that the country has a very large proportion of small enterprises and that the trade union structure is based on enterprise unions.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1617, para. 1006; and 294th Report, Case No. 1746, para. 541.)

258. A provision which requires ten or more employers engaged in the same industry or activity, or similar or related industries or activities, to establish an employers' association imposes an excessively high minimum number and violates the right of employers to establish organizations of their own choosing.

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 15.)

Registration of organizations (Right of workers and employers, without distinction whatsoever, to establish organizations without previous authorization)

259. If the conditions for the granting of registration are tantamount to obtaining previous authorization from the public authorities for the establishment or functioning of a trade union, this would undeniably constitute an infringement of Convention No. 87. This, however, would not seem to be the case when the registration of trade unions consists solely of a formality where the conditions are not such as to impair the guarantees laid down by the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 275.)

260. Although registration procedure very often consists in a mere formality, there are a number of countries in which the law confers on the relevant authorities more or less discretionary powers in deciding whether or not an organization meets all the conditions required for registration, thus creating a situation which is similar to that in which previous authorization is required. Similar situations can arise where a complicated and lengthy registration procedure exists, or where the competent administrative authorities may exercise their powers with great latitude; these factors are such as to create a serious obstacle for the establishment of a trade union and lead to a denial of the right to organize without previous authorization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 281.)

261. The administrative authorities should not be able to refuse registration of an organization simply because they consider that the organization could exceed normal union activities or that it might not be able to exercise its functions. Such a system would be tantamount to subjecting the compulsory registration of trade unions to the previous authorization of the administrative authorities.

(See 275th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 352.)

262. A provision whereby registration of a trade union may be refused if the union "is about to engage" in activities likely to cause a serious threat to public safety or public order could give rise to abuse, and it should therefore be applied with the greatest caution. The refusal to register should only take place under the supervision of the competent judicial authorities where serious acts have been committed, and have been duly proven.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 280.)

263. The obligation for trade unions to obtain the consent of a central trade union organization in order to be registered must be removed.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1773, para. 530.)

264. An appeal should lie to the courts against any administrative decision concerning the registration of a trade union. Such a right of appeal constitutes a necessary safeguard against unlawful or ill-founded decisions by the authorities responsible for registration.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 276.)

265. A decision to prohibit the registration of a trade union which has received legal recognition should not become effective until the statutory period of lodging an appeal against this decision has expired without an appeal having been lodged, or until it has been confirmed by the courts following an appeal.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 282.)

266. Where a registrar has to form his own judgement as to whether the conditions for the registration of a trade union have been fulfilled, although an appeal lies against his decisions to the courts, the Committee has considered that the existence of a procedure of appeal to the courts does not appear to be a sufficient guarantee; in effect, this does not alter the nature of the powers conferred on the authorities responsible for effecting registration, and the judges hearing such an appeal would only be able to ensure that the legislation has been correctly applied. The Committee has drawn attention to the desirability of defining clearly in the legislation the precise conditions which trade unions must fulfil in order to be entitled to registration and on the basis of which the registrar may refuse or cancel registration, and of prescribing specific statutory criteria for the purpose of deciding whether such conditions are fulfilled or not.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 277.)

267. Judges should be able to deal with the substance of a case concerning a refusal to register so that they can determine whether the provisions on which the administrative measures in question are based constitute a violation of the rights accorded to occupational organizations by Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 278.)

268. Normal control of the activities of trade unions should be effected a posteriori and by the judicial authorities; and the fact that an organization which seeks to enjoy the status of an occupational organization might in certain cases engage in activities unconnected with trade union activities would not appear to constitute a sufficient reason for subjecting trade union organizations a priori to control with respect to their composition and with respect to the composition of their management committees. The refusal to register a union because the authorities, in advance and in their own judgement, consider that this would be politically undesirable, would be tantamount to submitting the compulsory registration of trade unions to previous authorization on the part of the authorities, which is not compatible with the provisions of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 279.)

269. In a legal system where registration of a workers' organization is optional, the act of registration may confer on an organization a number of important advantages such as special immunities, tax exemption, the right to obtain recognition as exclusive bargaining agent, etc. In order to obtain such recognition, an organization may be required to fulfil certain formalities which do not amount to previous authorization and which do not normally pose any problem as regards the requirements of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 283.)

270. The minimum membership requirement of 10,000 members for the registration of trade unions at the federal level could influence unduly the workers' free choice of union to which they wish to belong, even when federal registration is only one of the alternatives available for protecting their rights.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1559, para. 263(a).)

Principles of trade union structure and unity (Right of workers and employers freely to establish and join organizations of their own choosing)

271. The right of workers to establish and join organizations of their own choosing cannot be said to exist unless such freedom is fully established and respected in law and in fact.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 654.)

272. Appropriate measures should be taken to ensure the free exercise of the right to organize of workers and employers even in their relations with other organizations or third parties.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 655.)

273. The right of workers to establish organizations of their own choosing implies, in particular, the effective possibility of forming, in a climate of full security, organizations independent both of those which exist already and of any political party.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 324; 275th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 353; 284th Report, Case No. 1628, para. 1026; and 287th Report, Case No. 1628, para. 279.)

274. The Committee has emphasized the importance that it attaches to the fact that workers and employers should in practice be able to establish and join organizations of their own choosing in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 222.)

275. The free exercise of the right to establish and join unions implies the free determination of the structure and composition of unions.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1326, para. 818.)

276. The existence of an organization in a determined occupation should not constitute an obstacle to the establishment of another organization, if the workers so wish.

(See 241st Report, Cases Nos. 1204, 1275, 1301, 1328 and 1341, para. 534.)

277. The provisions contained in a national constitution concerning the prohibition of

creating more than one trade union for a given occupational or economic category, regardless of the level of organization, in a given territorial area which, in no case may be smaller than a municipality, are not compatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 265th Report, Case No. 1487, para. 374(c).)

278. To establish a limited list of occupations with a view to recognizing the right to associate would be contrary to the principle that workers, without distinction whatsoever, should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 259.)

279. Workers should be free to decide whether they prefer to establish, at the primary level, a works union or another form of basic organization, such as an industrial or craft union.

(See 200th Report, Case No. 763, para. 18.)

280. The right of workers to establish organizations of their own choosing implies, in particular, the effective possibility to create - if the workers so choose - more than one workers' organization per enterprise.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1751, para. 373.)

281. A provision of the law which does not authorize the establishment of a second union in an enterprise fails to comply with Article 2 of the Convention, which guarantees workers the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See 218th Report, Case No. 1133, para. 111.)

282. Provisions which require a single union for each enterprise, trade or occupation are not in accordance with Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See 218th Report, Case No. 1088, para. 149.)

283. Under Article 2 of Convention No. 87, workers have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing, including organizations grouping together workers from different workplaces and different cities.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1492, para. 631.)

284. The restriction established under a local public service law that negotiation must take place at the regional level, and that the negotiating organization must therefore only exist at the regional level, constitutes a limitation of the right of workers to establish and join organizations of their own choosing and to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 258.)

285. With regard to restrictions limiting all public servants to membership of unions confined to that category of workers, it is admissible for first-level organizations of public servants to be limited to that category of workers on condition that their organizations are not also restricted to employees of any particular ministry, department or service, and that the first-level organizations may freely join the federations and confederations of their own choosing.

(See 243rd Report, Case No. 1326, para. 151.)

286. The Committee has pointed out that the International Labour Conference, by including the words "organizations of their own choosing" in Convention No. 87, made allowance for the fact that, in certain countries, there are a number of different workers' and employers' organizations which an individual may choose to join for occupational, denominational or political reasons; it did not pronounce, however, as to whether, in the interests of workers and employers, a unified trade union movement is preferable to trade union pluralism. The Conference recognized thereby the right of any group of workers (or employers) to form organizations in addition to the existing organization if they think this desirable to safeguard their material or moral interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 223.)

287. While it may be to the advantage of workers to avoid a multiplicity of trade union organizations, unification of the trade union movement imposed through state intervention by legislative means runs counter to the principle embodied in Articles 2 and 11 of Convention No. 87. The Committee of Experts of the ILO on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations has emphasized on this question that "there is a fundamental difference, with respect to the guarantees of freedom of association and protection of the right to organize, between a situation in which a trade union monopoly is instituted or maintained by legislation and the factual situations which are found to exist in certain countries in which all the trade union organizations join together voluntarily in a single federation or confederation, without this being the direct or indirect result of legislative provisions applicable to trade unions and to the establishment of trade union organizations. The fact that workers and employers generally find it in their

interests to avoid a multiplication of the number of competing organizations does not, in fact, appear sufficient to justify direct or indirect intervention by the State, and especially, intervention by the State by means of legislation". While fully appreciating the desire of any government to promote a strong trade union movement by avoiding the defects resulting from an undue multiplicity of small and competing trade unions, whose independence may be endangered by their weakness, the Committee has drawn attention to the fact that it is more desirable in such cases for a government to seek to encourage trade unions to join together voluntarily to form strong and united organizations than to impose upon them by legislation a compulsory unification which deprives the workers of the free exercise of their right of association and thus runs counter to the principles which are embodied in the international labour Conventions relating to freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 224.)

288. While it is generally to the advantage of workers and employers to avoid the proliferation of competing organizations, a monopoly situation imposed by law is at variance with the principle of free choice of workers' and employers' organizations.

(See 265th Report, Case No. 1431, para. 127.)

289. Unity within the trade union movement should not be imposed by the State through legislation because this would be contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 324.)

290. The government should neither support nor obstruct a legal attempt by a trade union to displace an existing organization. Workers should be free to choose the union which, in their opinion, will best promote their occupational interests without interference by the authorities. It may be to the advantage of workers to avoid a multiplicity of trade unions, but this choice should be made freely and voluntarily. By including the words "organizations of their own choosing" in Convention No. 87, the International Labour Conference recognized that individuals may choose between several workers' or employers' organizations for occupational, denominational or political reasons. It did not pronounce as to whether, in the interests of workers and employers, a unified trade union movement is preferable to trade union pluralism.

(See 275th Report, Case No. 1505, para. 164.)

291. Where one government stated that it was not prepared to "tolerate" a trade union movement split into several tendencies and that it was determined to impose unity on the whole movement, the Committee recalled that Article 2 of Convention No. 87

provides that workers and employers shall have the right to establish and to join organizations "of their own choosing". This provision of the Convention is in no way intended as an expression of support either for the idea of trade union unity or for that of trade union diversity. It is intended to convey, on the one hand, that in many countries there are several organizations among which the workers or the employers may wish to choose freely and, on the other hand, that workers and employers may wish to establish new organizations in a country where no such diversity has hitherto been found. In other words, although the Convention is evidently not intended to make trade union diversity an obligation, it does at least require this diversity to remain possible in all cases. Accordingly, any governmental attitude involving the "imposition" of a single trade union organization would be contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 225.)

292. A situation in which an individual is denied any possibility of choice between different organizations, by reason of the fact that the legislation permits the existence of only one organization in the area in which he carries on his occupation, is incompatible with the principles embodied in Convention No. 87; in fact, such provisions establish, by legislation, a trade union monopoly which must be distinguished both from union security clauses and practices and from situations in which the workers voluntarily form a single organization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 226.)

293. The power to impose an obligation on all the workers in the category concerned to pay contributions to the single national trade union, the establishment of which is permitted by branch of industry and by region, is not compatible with the principle that workers should have the right to join organizations "of their own choosing". In these circumstances, it would seem that a legal obligation to pay contributions to that monopoly trade union, whether workers are members or not, represents a further consecration and strengthening of that monopoly.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 227.)

294. Where the legislation provided that a trade union should consist of more than 50 per cent of the workers, if it was a workers' union; more than 50 per cent of the salaried employees, if it was a union of salaried employees; and more than 50 per cent of both categories if it was a mixed union, the Committee recalled that such a provision was not in conformity with Article 2 of Convention No. 87, and that it placed a major obstacle in the way of the establishment of trade unions capable of "furthering and defending the interests" of their members; moreover, the provision had the indirect result of prohibiting the establishment of a new trade union whenever a trade union already existed in the

undertaking or establishment concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 228.)

295. The Committee has suggested that a State should amend its legislation so as to make it clear that when a trade union already exists for the same employees as those whom a new union seeking registration is organizing or is proposing to organize, or the fact that the existing union holds a bargaining certificate in respect of such class of employees, this cannot give rise to objections of sufficient substance to justify the registrar in refusing to register the new union.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 229.)

296. The Committee has endorsed the position of the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations in taking exception to legislation designed to set up and maintain a single trade union system by expressly mentioning the national trade union confederation. The Committee of Experts has considered that this constitutes an obstacle to the creation of another confederation if the workers so wish.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 230.)

297. A provision authorizing the refusal of an application for registration if another union, already registered, is sufficiently representative of the interests which the union seeking registration proposes to defend, means that, in certain cases, workers may be denied the right to join the organization of their own choosing, contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 231.)

298. Where workers' organizations have themselves requested the unification of the trade unions, and this desire has been confirmed in such a way as to make it equivalent to a legal obligation, the Committee has pointed out that, when a unified trade union movement results solely from the will of the workers, this situation does not require to be sanctioned by legal texts, the existence of which might give the impression that the unified trade union movement is merely the result of existing legislation or is maintained only through such legislation.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 232.)

299. Even in a situation where, historically speaking, the trade union movement has been organized on a unitary basis, the law should not institutionalize this situation by

referring, for example, to the single federation by name, even if it is referring to the will of an existing trade union organization. In fact, the right of workers who do not wish to join the federation or the existing trade unions should be protected, and such workers should have the right to form organizations of their own choosing, which is not the case in a situation where the law has imposed the system of the single trade union.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1652, para. 716.)

300. With regard to legal provisions under which "the trade unions shall organize and educate workers and employees ... in order to ... defend the power of the socialist State", "the trade unions shall mobilize and educate workers and employees so that they ... respect work discipline", they "shall organize workers and employees by conducting socialist emulation campaigns at the workplace" and "the trade union shall educate workers and employees ... in order to strengthen their ideological convictions", the Committee has considered that the functions assigned to the trade unions by this body of provisions must necessarily limit their right to organize their activities, contrary to the principles of freedom of association. It has considered that the obligations thus defined, which the unions must observe, prevent the establishment of trade union organizations that are independent of the public authorities and of the ruling party, and whose mission should be to defend and promote the interests of their constituents and not to reinforce the country's political and economic systems.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1652, paras. 713 and 714.)

Sanctions imposed for attempting to establish organizations (Right of workers and employers freely to establish and join organizations of their own choosing)

301. Measures taken against workers because they attempt to constitute organizations or to reconstitute organizations of workers outside the official trade union organization would be incompatible with the principle that workers should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 233.)

302. The necessary measures have to be taken so that trade unionists who have been dismissed for activities related to the establishment of a union are reinstated in their functions, if they so wish.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1580, para. 158.)

Favouritism or discrimination in respect of particular organizations (Right of workers and employers freely to establish and join organizations of their own choosing)

303. Considering the limited functions which, in one case, were by law open to certain categories of trade unions, the Committee felt that the distinction made between trade unions under the national legislation could have the indirect consequence of restricting the freedom of workers to belong to the organizations of their choosing. The reasons which led the Committee to adopt this position are as follows. As a general rule, when a government can grant an advantage to one particular organization or withdraw that advantage from one organization in favour of another, there is a risk, even if such is not the government's intention, that one trade union will be placed at an unfair advantage or disadvantage in relation to the others, which would thereby constitute an act of discrimination. More precisely, by placing one organization at an advantage or at a disadvantage in relation to the others, a government may either directly or indirectly influence the choice of workers regarding the organization to which they intend to belong, since they will undeniably want to belong to the union best able to serve them, even if their natural preference would have led them to join another organization for occupational, religious, political or other reasons. The freedom of the parties to choose is a right expressly laid down in Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 235.)

304. By according favourable or unfavourable treatment to a given organization as compared with others, a government may be able to influence the choice of workers as to the organization which they intend to join. In addition, a government which deliberately acts in this manner violates the principle laid down in Convention No. 87 that the public authorities shall refrain from any interference which would restrict the rights provided for in the Convention or impeded their lawful exercise; more indirectly, it would also violate the principle that the law of the land shall not be such as to impair, nor shall it be so applied as to impair, the guarantees provided for in the Convention. It would seem desirable that, if a government wishes to make certain facilities available to trade union organizations, these organizations should enjoy equal treatment in this respect.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 254.)

305. In a case in which there was at the very least a close working relationship between a trade union and the labour and other authorities, the Committee emphasized the importance it attaches to the resolution of 1952 concerning the independence of the

trade union movement and urged the government to refrain from showing favouritism towards, or discriminating against, any given trade union, and requested it to adopt a neutral attitude in its dealings with all workers' and employers' organizations, so that they are all placed on an equal footing.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1756, para. 416.)

306. On more than one occasion, the Committee has examined cases in which allegations were made that the public authorities had, by their attitude, favoured or discriminated against one or more trade union organizations:

- (1) pressure exerted on workers by means of public statements made by the authorities;
- (2) unequal distribution of subsidies among unions or the granting to one union, rather than to the others, of premises for holding its meetings or carrying on its activities;
- (3) refusal to recognize the leaders of certain organizations in the performance of their legitimate activities.

Discrimination by such methods, or by others, may be an informal way of influencing the trade union membership of workers. They are, therefore, sometimes difficult to prove. The fact, nevertheless, remains that any discrimination of this kind jeopardizes the right of workers set out in Convention No. 87, Article 2, to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 252.)

307. Both the government authorities and employers should refrain from any discrimination between trade union organizations, especially as regards recognition of their leaders who seek to perform legitimate trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 251.)

308. Generally, the fact that a government is able to offer the use of premises to a particular organization, or to evict a given organization from premises which it has been occupying in order to offer them to another organization, may, even if this is not intended, lead to the favourable or unfavourable treatment of a particular trade union as compared with others, and thereby constitute an act of discrimination.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 253.)

Admissible privileges for most representative unions (Right of workers and employers freely to establish and join organizations of their own choosing)

309. The Committee has pointed out on several occasions, and particularly during discussion on the draft of the Right to Organize and Collective Bargaining Convention, that the International Labour Conference referred to the question of the representative character of trade unions, and, to a certain extent, it agreed to the distinction that is sometimes made between the various unions concerned according to how representative they are. Article 3, paragraph 5, of the Constitution of the ILO includes the concept of "most representative" organizations. Accordingly, the Committee felt that the mere fact that the law of a country draws a distinction between the most representative trade union organizations and other trade union organizations is not in itself a matter for criticism. Such a distinction, however, should not result in the most representative organizations being granted privileges extending beyond that of priority in representation, on the ground of their having the largest membership, for such purposes as collective bargaining or consultation by governments, or for the purpose of nominating delegates to international bodies. In other words, this distinction should not have the effect of depriving trade union organizations that are not recognized as being among the most representative of the essential means for defending the occupational interests of their members, for organizing their administration and activities and formulating their programmes, as provided for in Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 236.)

310. The Committee on Freedom of Association has referred to the opinion of the Committee of Experts (1994 General Survey) concerning the scope of rights and advantages in favour of the most representative trade unions, in which it states that:

In some countries ... legislation establishes the concept of the most representative trade unions, which are generally granted a variety of rights and advantages. The Committee believes that this type of provision is not in itself contrary to the principle of freedom of association, provided that certain conditions are met. First, the determination of the most representative organization must be based on objective, pre-established and precise criteria so as to avoid any possibility of bias or abuse. Furthermore, the distinction should generally be limited to the recognition of certain preferential rights - for example, for such purposes as collective bargaining, consultation by the authorities or the designation of delegates to international organizations. However, the workers' freedom of choice would be jeopardized if the distinction between most representative and minority unions results, in law or in practice, in the prohibition of other trade unions which workers would like to join, or in the granting of privileges such as to influence unduly the choice of organization of workers. Therefore, this distinction should not have

the effect of depriving those trade unions that are not recognized as being amongst the most representative of the essential means for defending the occupational interests of their members (for example, making representations on their behalf, including representing them in case of individual grievances), for organizing their administration and activities, and formulating their programmes, as provided for in Convention No. 87.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1798, para. 123.)

311. The Committee has considered that certain advantages, especially with regard to representation, might be accorded to trade unions by reason of the extent of their representativeness. But it has taken the view that the intervention of the public authorities as regards such advantages should not be of such a nature as to influence unduly the choice of the workers in respect of the organization to which they wish to belong.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 238.)

312. The Committee has considered that a registration system set up by law which grants exclusive negotiation rights to registered unions would not be incompatible with the principles of freedom of association provided that the registration is based on objective and predetermined criteria. However, the granting of exclusive rights to the most representative organization should not mean that the existence of other unions to which certain involved workers might wish to belong is prohibited. Minority organizations should be permitted to carry out their activities and at least to have the right to speak on behalf of their members and to represent them.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1385, paras. 544 and 545.)

313. Minority trade unions that have been denied the right to negotiate collectively should be permitted to perform their activities and especially to speak on behalf of their members and to represent them in the case of an individual claim.

(See 251st Report, Case No. 1250, para. 77.)

314. The determination of the most representative trade union should always be based on objective and pre-established criteria so as to avoid any opportunity for partiality or abuse.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 239.)

315. Pre-established, precise and objective criteria for the determination of the representativity of workers' and employers' organizations should exist in the legislation

and such a determination should not be left to the discretion of governments.

(See 255th Report, Cases Nos. 1129, 1298, 1344, 1351 and 1372, para. 63.)

Right to join organizations of their own choosing (Right of workers and employers freely to establish and join organizations of their own choosing)

316. Workers should have the right, without distinction whatsoever - in particular without discrimination of any kind on the basis of political opinion - to join the organization of their own choosing.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 212.)

317. Workers should be able, if they so wish, to join trade unions at the branch level as well as the enterprise level at the same time.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 456.)

318. As regards provisions which prohibit supervisory employees from joining workers' organizations, the Committee has taken the view that the expression "supervisors" should be limited to cover only those persons who genuinely represent the interests of employers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 260.)

319. In one case where any member of a trade union who wished to resign from his union could only do so in the presence of a notary who had to verify the identity of the person concerned and attest his signature, the Committee considered that this requirement in itself did not constitute an infringement of trade union rights provided that this was a formality which, in practice, could be carried out easily and without delay. However, if such a requirement could, in certain circumstances, present practical difficulties for workers wishing to withdraw from a union, it might restrict the free exercise of their right to join organizations of their own choosing. In order to avoid such a situation, the Committee considered that the government should examine the possibility of introducing an alternative method of resigning from a union which would involve no practical or financial difficulties for the workers concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 261.)

320. The Committee urged a government to withdraw the requirement by the Seamen Employment Control Division that seafarers must sign an affidavit before leaving the country restricting their right to affiliate with or contact an international trade union organization for assistance to protect their occupational interests.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1752, para. 119.)

Union security clauses (Right of workers and employers freely to establish and join organizations of their own choosing)

321. A distinction should be made between union security clauses allowed by law and those imposed by law, only the latter of which appear to result in a trade union monopoly system contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1385, para. 551.)

322. The admissibility of union security clauses under collective agreements was left to the discretion of ratifying States, as evidenced by the preparatory work for Convention No. 98.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1579, para. 65.)

323. Problems related to union security clauses should be resolved at the national level, in conformity with national practice and the industrial relations system in each country. In other words, both situations where union security clauses are authorized and those where these are prohibited can be considered to be in conformity with ILO principles and standards on freedom of association.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1611, para. 339; 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 27; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 736.)

324. In certain cases where the deduction of union contributions and other forms of union protection were instituted, not in virtue of the legislation in force, but as a result of collective agreements or established practice existing between both parties, the Committee has declined to examine the allegations made, basing its reasoning on the statement of the Committee on Industrial Relations appointed by the International Labour Conference in 1949, according to which Convention No. 87 can in no way be interpreted as authorizing or prohibiting union security arrangements, such questions being matters for regulation in accordance with national practice. According to this statement, those countries - and more particularly those countries having trade union pluralism - would in no way be bound under the provisions of the Convention to permit union security clauses either by law or as a matter of custom, while other countries which allow such clauses would not be placed in the position of being unable to ratify the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 246.)

325. When legislation admits trade union security clauses such as the withholding of trade union dues from the wages of non-members benefiting from the conclusion of a collective agreement, those clauses should only take effect through collective agreements.

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 27.)

326. The deduction of trade union dues by employers and their transfer to trade unions is a matter which should be dealt with through collective bargaining between employers and all trade unions without legislative obstruction.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1683, para. 388.)

327. In keeping with the principles of freedom of association, it should be possible for collective agreements to provide for a system for the collection of union dues, without the interference of the authorities.

(See 289th Report, Case No. 1594, para. 24.)

328. A considerable delay in the administration of justice with regard to the remittance of trade union dues withheld by an enterprise is tantamount in practice to a denial of justice.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1718, para. 299.)

329. Basing its reasoning on the declaration made, in 1949, by the Committee on Industrial Relations of the International Labour Conference, the Committee has considered that legislation which provides that no one shall be compelled to join or not to join a trade union, does not in itself infringe Conventions Nos. 87 and 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 247.)

330. Where union security arrangements exist requiring membership of a given organization as a condition of employment, there might be a discrimination if unreasonable conditions were to be imposed upon persons seeking such membership.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 249.)

Principles relating to legislation in this respect and interference by the authorities (Free functioning of organizations. Right to draw up constitutions and rules)

331. Legislative provisions which regulate in detail the internal functioning of workers' and employers' organizations pose a serious risk of interference by the public authorities. Where such provisions are deemed necessary by the public authorities, they should simply establish an overall framework in which the greatest possible autonomy is left to the organizations in their functioning and administration. Restrictions on this principle should have the sole objective of protecting the interests of members and guaranteeing the democratic functioning of organizations. Furthermore, there should be a procedure for appeal to an impartial and independent judicial body so as to avoid any risk of excessive or arbitrary interference in the free functioning of organizations.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1704, para. 156.)

332. In the Committee's opinion, the mere existence of legislation concerning trade unions in itself does not constitute a violation of trade union rights, since the State may legitimately take measures to ensure that the constitutions and rules of trade unions are drawn up in accordance with the law. On the other hand, any legislation adopted in this area should not undermine the rights of workers as defined by the principles of freedom of association. Overly detailed or restrictive legal provisions in this area may in practice hinder the creation and development of trade union organizations.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1704, para. 146.)

333. To guarantee the right of workers' organizations to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom, national legislation should only lay down formal requirements as regards trade union constitutions, and the constitutions and rules should not be subject to prior approval by the public authorities.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1629, para. 265.)

334. A provision that union rules shall comply with national statutory requirements is not in violation of the principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom, provided that such statutory requirements in themselves do not infringe the principle of freedom of association and provided that approval of the rules by the competent authority is not within the discretionary powers of such authorities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 265.)

335. The drafting by the public authorities themselves of the constitutions of central workers' organizations constitutes a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1713, para. 485.)

336. Where the approval of trade union rules is within the discretionary powers of a competent authority, this is not compatible with the generally accepted principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 266.)

337. The existence of a right to appeal to the courts in connection with the approval of by-laws does not in itself constitute a sufficient guarantee. This would not change the nature of the powers conferred on the administrative authorities and the courts would only be able to ensure that the legislation had been correctly applied. The courts should, therefore, be entitled to re-examine the substance of the case, as well as the grounds on which an administrative decision is based.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 268.)

338. A legal provision which authorizes the government in certain circumstances, after consulting the appropriate ministries, to object to the setting up of a trade union within a period of three months from the date of registration of its by-laws is in contradiction with the basic principle that employers and workers should have the right to establish organizations of their own choosing without previous authorization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 269.)

339. The existence of legislation which is designed to promote democratic principles within trade union organizations is acceptable. Secret and direct voting is certainly a democratic process and cannot be criticized as such.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1403, para. 74.)

340. The listing in the legislation of the particulars that must be contained in a union's constitution is not in itself an infringement of the right of workers' organizations to draw up their internal rules in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 285.)

341. A mandatory list of functions and aims that associations must have that is excessively extensive and detailed may in practice hinder the establishment and development of organizations.

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 18.)

342. Amendments to the constitution of a trade union should be debated and adopted by the union members themselves.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 286.)

343. In some countries the law requires that the majority of the members of a trade union - at least at a first vote - decide on certain questions which affect the very existence or structure of the organization (adoption and amendments of the constitution, dissolution, etc.). In such cases involving basic matters relating to the existence and structure of a union or the fundamental rights of its members, the regulation by law of majority votes for the adoption of the decisions involved does not imply interference contrary to the Convention, provided that this regulation is not such as to seriously impede the running of a trade union, thereby making it practically impossible to adopt the required decisions in the prevailing circumstances, and provided that the purpose is to guarantee the members' right to participate democratically in the organization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 288.)

344. The regulation of procedures and methods for the election of trade union officials is primarily to be governed by the trade union's rules themselves. Indeed, the fundamental idea of Article 3 of Convention No. 87 is that workers and employers may decide for themselves the rules which should govern the administration of the organizations and the elections which are held therein.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1403, para. 74.)

345. The insertion in the constitution of a trade union, on the decision of the public authorities, of a clause whereby the trade union must forward annually to the ministry a series of documents - namely a copy of the minutes of the last general assembly indicating precisely the names of the members present, a copy of the general secretary's report, as approved by the assembly, a copy of the treasurer's report, etc. - and where failure to do so within a prescribed period will result in the union being considered as having ceased to exist - is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 290.)

Model constitutions (Free functioning of organizations. Right to draw up constitutions and rules)

346. Any obligation on a trade union to base its constitution on a compulsory model (apart from certain purely formal clauses) would infringe the rules which ensure freedom of association. The case is quite different, however, when a government merely makes model constitutions available to organizations that are being established without requiring them to accept such a model. The preparation of model constitutions and rules for the guidance of trade unions, provided that there is no compulsion or pressure on the unions to accept them in practice, does not necessarily involve any interference with the right of organizations to draw up their constitutions and rules in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 291.)

Racial discrimination (Free functioning of organizations. Right to draw up constitutions and rules)

Description:(CFA: Digest of Decisions 1996)
Subject classification: Freedom of Association
Document:0503

Racial discrimination

347. Laws providing for the organization, in registered mixed trade unions, of separate branches for workers of different races, and the holding of separate meetings by the separate branches, are not compatible with the generally accepted principle that workers' and employers' organizations shall have the right to draw up their constitutions and rules and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 292.)

Relations between first-level trade unions and higher-level organizations (Free functioning of organizations. Right to draw up constitutions and rules)

348. As a rule, the autonomy of trade unions and higher-level organizations, including as regards their various relationships, should be respected by public authorities. Legal provisions impinging on this autonomy should therefore remain an exception and, where deemed necessary by reason of unusual circumstances, should be accompanied by all possible guarantees against undue interference.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1735, para. 468.)

349. The subjection of grass-roots organizations to the control of trade union organizations at a higher level, the approval of their establishment by the latter, and the establishment by the National Congress of Trade Union Members of the constitutions of trade unions constitute major constraints on the right of the unions to establish their own constitutions, organize their activities and formulate their programmes.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1652, para. 717.)

General principles (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

350. Freedom of association implies the right of workers and employers to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 293.)

351. It is the prerogative of workers' and employers' organizations to determine the conditions for electing their leaders and the authorities should refrain from any undue interference in the exercise of the right of workers' and employers' organizations freely to elect their representatives, which is guaranteed by Convention No. 87.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1655, paras. 277 and 278(c).)

352. Workers and their organizations should have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom and the latter should have the right to put forward claims on their behalf.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 294.)

353. The right of workers' organizations to elect their own representatives freely is an indispensable condition for them to be able to act in full freedom and to promote effectively the interests of their members. For this right to be fully acknowledged, it is essential that the public authorities refrain from any intervention which might impair the exercise of this right, whether it be in determining conditions of eligibility of leaders or in the conduct of the elections themselves.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 295.)

354. The regulation of procedures and methods for the election of trade union officials is primarily to be governed by the trade unions' rules themselves. The fundamental idea of Article 3 of Convention No. 87 is that workers and employers may decide for themselves the rules which should govern the administration of their organizations and the elections which are held therein.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1403, para. 74; and 297th Report, Case No. 1797, para. 135.)

355. An excessively meticulous and detailed regulation of the trade union electoral process is an infringement of the right of such organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom, as established in Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1705, para. 324.)

356. Legislation which minutely regulates the internal election procedures of a trade union and the composition of its executive committees, fixes the days on which meetings will take place, the precise date for the annual general assembly and the date on which the mandates of trade union officers shall expire, is incompatible with the rights afforded to trade unions by Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 289.)

357. A provision which gives a broad discretionary power to the minister to regulate minutely the internal election procedures of trade unions, the composition and the date of elections of their various committees, and even the way in which they should function, is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1508, para. 441.)

358. If a government regulates trade union elections too closely, this may be considered as a limitation of the right of trade unions to elect their own representatives freely. However, in general, laws governing the frequency of elections and fixing a maximum period for the terms of office of executive bodies do not affect the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 297.)

359. It should be left to the unions themselves to set the period of terms of office.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1508, para. 434.)

360. The imposition by legislative means of a direct, secret and universal vote for the election of trade union leaders does not raise any problems regarding the principles of freedom of association.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1705, para. 323.)

361. No violation of the principles of freedom of association is involved where the legislation contains certain rules intended to promote democratic principles within trade union organizations or to ensure that the electoral procedure is conducted in a normal manner and with due respect for the rights of members in order to avoid any dispute as to the election results.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1414, para. 126.)

362. Provisions requiring registered organizations to elect their officers by postal vote do not appear to infringe the freedom to elect trade union leaders.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 299.)

363. It should be left to the workers' organizations themselves to make provision, in their constitutions or rules, as to the majority of votes required for the election of trade union leaders.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 300.)

364. The number of leaders of an organization should be a matter for decision by the trade union organizations themselves.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 298.)

365. The registration of the executive boards of trade union organizations should take place automatically when reported by the trade union, and should be contested only at the request of the members of the trade union in question.

(See 251st Report, Cases Nos. 1275 and 1368, para. 92.)

366. In cases where the results of trade union elections are challenged, such questions should be referred to the judicial authorities in order to guarantee an impartial and objective procedure which should also be expeditious.

(See 239th Report, Case No. 1305, para. 297(a).)

367. Since the creation of works councils and councils of employers can constitute a preliminary step towards the setting up of independent and freely established workers' and employers' organizations, all official positions in such councils should, without exception, be occupied by persons who are freely elected by the workers or employers concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 301.)

Racial discrimination (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

368. Legislative provisions which reserve to Europeans the right to be members of the executive committees of mixed trade unions (made up of workers of different races), are incompatible with the principle that workers' and employers' organizations shall have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 302.)

Employment in the profession or enterprise (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

369. If the national legislation provides that all trade union leaders must belong to the occupation in which the organization functions, there is a danger that the guarantees provided for in Convention No. 87 may be jeopardized. In fact, in such cases, the laying off of a worker who is a trade union official can, as well as making him forfeit his position as a trade union official, affect the freedom of action of the organization and its right to freely elect its representatives, and even encourage acts of interference by employers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 303.)

370. The requirement that employees must belong to the establishment in question for election to trade union office is contrary to Article 3 of Convention No. 87. It is necessary to admit as candidates for trade union office persons who have previously been employed in the occupation concerned and to exempt from the occupational requirement a reasonable proportion of the officers of an organization.

(See 243rd Report, Case No. 1326, para. 154.)

371. For the purpose of bringing legislation which restricts union office to persons actually employed in the occupation concerned into conformity with the principle of free election of representatives, it is necessary at least to make these provisions more flexible by admitting as candidates persons who have previously been employed in the occupation concerned and by exempting from the occupational requirement a reasonable proportion of the officers of an organization.

(See 260th Report, Cases Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, para. 28; and 297th Report, Case No. 1788, para. 362.)

372. Provisions which require that trade union leaders shall, at the time of their election, have been engaged in the occupation or trade for more than one year are not in harmony with the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 304.)

373. Given that workers' organizations are entitled to elect their representatives in full freedom, the dismissal of a trade union leader, or simply the fact that he leaves the work which he was carrying out in a given undertaking, should not affect his trade union status or functions unless stipulated otherwise by the constitution of the trade union in

question.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 305.)

374. A requirement that a trade union leader shall continue to carry out his employment during the term of his office prevents the existence of full-time officers. Such a provision may be highly detrimental to the interests of trade unions, in particular those whose size or geographical extent require the contribution of a considerable amount of time by the officers. Such a provision impedes the free functioning of trade unions and is not in conformity with the requirements of Article 3 of the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 306.)

Duration of membership of the organization (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

375. A provision laying down as one of the eligibility requirements for trade union office that the candidate must have belonged to the organization for at least one year could be interpreted as meaning that all trade union leaders must belong to the occupation or work in the undertaking in which the trade union represents the workers. In this event, if the requirement were applied to all office-holders in trade union organizations, it would be incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 307.)

376. A provision requiring any trade union leader to have been a member of the trade union for not less than six months implies an important restriction on the right of workers' organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 308.)

Political opinions or activities (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

377. Legislation which disqualifies persons from trade union office because of their political beliefs or affiliations is not in conformity with the right of trade unionists to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 309.)

378. Where a body representing the workers in a dispute is elected by those workers, the right to elect their representatives in full freedom is restricted if some only of those representatives, on the basis of their political opinions, are considered by a government to be capable of participating in conciliation proceedings. Where the law of the land provides that the government may only deal with those who appear to be the representatives of the workers of an undertaking and, in effect, choose those with whom it will deal, any selection based on the political opinions of those concerned in such a way as to eliminate from negotiations, even indirectly, the leaders of the organization that is the most representative of the category of workers concerned would appear to result in the law of the land being so applied as to impair the right of the workers to choose their representatives freely.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 310.)

379. Legislation which debars from trade union office for a period of ten years "any person taking part in political activities of a Communist character" and which lists a number of "legal presumptions" whereby any person can be held to be responsible for such activities, may involve a violation of the principle laid down in Convention No. 87, which states that workers' and employers' organizations shall have the right "to elect their representatives in full freedom, to organize their administration and activities" and that "the public authorities shall refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof".

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 311.)

380. The Committee has taken the view that a law is contrary to the principles of freedom of association when a trade unionist can be barred from union office and membership because, in the view of the minister, his activities might further the interests of Communism.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 312.)

Moral standing of candidates for office (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

381. A legal requirement that candidates for trade union office must be subjected to a background investigation conducted by the ministry of the interior and the department of justice amounts to prior approval by the authorities of candidates, which is incompatible with Convention No. 87.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1592, para. 179.)

Nationality (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

382. Legislation should be made flexible so as to permit the organizations to elect their leaders freely and without hindrance, and to permit foreign workers access to trade union posts, at least after a reasonable period of residency in the host country.

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 21.)

Criminal record (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

383. A law which generally prohibits access to trade union office because of any conviction is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association, when the activity condemned is not prejudicial to the aptitude and integrity required to exercise trade union office.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1285, para. 184.)

384. Conviction on account of offences the nature of which is not such as to call into question the integrity of the person concerned and is not such as to be prejudicial to the exercise of trade union functions should not constitute grounds for disqualification from holding trade union office, and any legislation providing for disqualification on the basis of any offence is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1414, para. 122; and 295th Report, Case No. 1793, para. 610.)

385. As regards legislation which provides that a sentence by any court whatsoever, except for political offences, to a term of imprisonment of one month or more, constitutes grounds that are incompatible with, or which disqualify from the holding of executive or administrative posts in a trade union, the Committee has taken the view that such a general provision could be interpreted in such a way as to exclude from responsible trade union posts any individuals convicted for activities involving the exercise of trade union rights, such as a violation of the laws governing the press, thereby restricting unduly the right of trade unionists to elect their representatives freely.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 315.)

386. A conviction for an act which is not, by its nature, such as to constitute a real risk for the proper exercise of trade union functions should not constitute grounds for disqualification for trade union office, and any legislation providing for such disqualification for any type of criminal offence may be regarded as inconsistent with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 316.)

387. Ineligibility for trade union office based on "any crime involving fraud, dishonesty or extortion" could run counter to the right to elect representatives freely since "dishonesty" could cover a wide range of conduct not necessarily making it inappropriate for persons

convicted of this crime to hold positions of trust such as trade union office.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1622, para. 693.)

Re-election (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

388. A ban on the re-election of trade union officials is not compatible with Convention No. 87. Such a ban, moreover, may have serious repercussions on the normal development of a trade union movement which does not have a sufficient number of persons capable of adequately carrying out the functions of trade union office.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 313.)

389. Legislation which fixes the maximum length of the terms of trade union officers and which at the same time limits their right of re-election violates the right of organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 314.)

Obligation to participate in ballots (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

390. The obligation for the organization's members to vote should be left to the unions' rules and not imposed by law. (See 294th Report, Case No. 1701, para. 317.)

391. A law which imposes fines on workers who do not participate in trade union elections is not in conformity with the provisions of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 318.)

Intervention by the authorities in trade union elections (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

392. Any intervention by the public authorities in trade union elections runs the risk of appearing to be arbitrary and thus constituting interference in the functioning of workers' organizations, which is incompatible with Convention No. 87, Article 3, which recognizes their right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 455.)

393. The right of workers to elect their representatives freely should be exercised in accordance with the statutes of their occupational associations and should not be subject to the convening of elections by ministerial resolution.

(See 236th Report, Cases Nos. 1207 and 1209, para. 169.)

394. With regard to an internal dispute within the trade union organization between two rival administrations, the Committee considered that, with a view to guaranteeing the impartiality and objectivity of the procedure, the supervision of trade union elections should be entrusted to the competent judicial authorities.

(See 236th Report, Case No. 1238, para. 248.)

395. Any interference by the authorities and the political party in power concerning the presidency of the central trade union organization in a country is incompatible with the principle that organizations shall have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 457.)

396. The nomination by the authorities of members of executive committees of trade unions constitutes direct interference in the internal affairs of trade unions and is incompatible with Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 458.)

397. When the authorities intervene during the election proceedings of a union, expressing their opinion of the candidates and the consequences of the election, this seriously challenges the principle that trade union organizations have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 459.)

398. Where trade union leaders were removed from office, not by the decision of members of the trade unions concerned but by the administrative authority, and not because of infringement of specific provisions of the trade union constitution or of the law, but because the administrative authorities considered these trade union leaders incapable of maintaining "discipline" in their unions, the Committee was of the view that such measures were obviously incompatible with the principle that trade union organizations have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 460.)

399. Legislation which required candidates for trade union office to have obtained the approval of the Provincial Governor, which is given on the basis of a report from the police, is incompatible with the principle that employers' and workers' organizations should have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 461.)

400. The following provisions are incompatible with the right to hold free elections, namely those which involve interference by the public authorities in various stages of the electoral process, beginning with the obligation to submit the candidates' names in advance to the ministry of labour, together with personal particulars, the presence of a representative of the ministry of labour or the civil or military authorities at the elections, and the approval of the elections by ministerial decision, without which they are invalid.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 462.)

401. The presence during trade union elections of an official of the Prefecture is liable to infringe freedom of association and, in particular, to be incompatible with the principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom, and the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 463.)

402. The Committee has observed that, in a number of countries, legal provisions exist whereby an official who is independent of the public authorities - such as a trade union registrar - may take action, subject to an appeal to the courts, if a complaint is made or if there are reasonable grounds for supposing that irregularities have taken place in a

trade union election, contrary to the law or the constitution of the organization concerned. The situation, however, is different when the elections can be valid only after being approved by the administrative authorities. The Committee has considered that the requirement of approval by the authorities of the results of trade union elections is not compatible with the principle of freedom of election.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 464.)

Challenges to trade union elections (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

403. Measures taken by the administrative authorities when election results are challenged run the risk of being arbitrary. Hence, and in order to ensure an impartial and objective procedure, matters of this kind should be examined by the judicial authorities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 456.)

404. In order to avoid the danger of serious limitation on the right of workers to elect their representatives in full freedom, complaints brought before labour courts by an administrative authority challenging the results of trade union elections should not - pending the final outcome of the judicial proceedings - have the effect of suspending the validity of such elections.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 465.)

405. In cases where the results of trade union elections are challenged, such questions should be referred to the judicial authorities in order to guarantee an impartial and objective procedure which should also be expeditious.

(See 239th Report, Case No. 1305, para. 297(a).)

406. In order to avoid the danger of serious limitations on the right of workers to elect their representatives in full freedom, cases brought before the courts by the administrative authorities involving a challenge to the results of trade union elections should not - pending the final outcome of the proceedings - have the effect of paralysing the operations of trade unions.

(See 239th Report, Case No. 1305, para. 297(c).)

Removal of executive committees and the placing of trade unions under control (Right to elect representatives in full freedom)

407. The appointment by the government of persons to administer the central national trade union on the ground that such a measure was rendered necessary by the corrupt administration of the unions would seem to be incompatible with freedom of association in a normal period.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 467.)

408. In a case where an administrator of trade union affairs had been appointed by the government so as to ensure, on behalf of the trade unions, the functions normally carried out by a central workers' organization, the Committee considered that any reorganization of the trade union movement should be left to the trade union organizations themselves and that the administrator should confine himself to coordinating the efforts made by the unions to bring this about. The prerogatives conferred on the administrator should not be such as to restrict the rights guaranteed by Article 3, paragraph 1, of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 468.)

409. Legislation which confers on the public authorities the power to remove the management committee of a union whenever, in their discretion, they consider that they have "serious and justified reasons", and which empowers the government to appoint executive committees to replace the elected committees of trade unions, is not compatible with the principle of freedom of association. Such provisions can in no way be compared with those which, in some countries, make it possible for the courts to declare an election invalid for specific reasons defined by law.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 469.)

410. The setting up by the government, following a change of regime, of a provisional consultative committee of a trade union confederation and the refusal to recognize the executive committee which has been elected at the congress of that organization constitutes a breach of the principle that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of workers' organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 470.)

411. With regard to the placing of certain unions under control, the Committee has drawn attention to the importance which it attaches to the principle that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of workers' organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 471.)

412. The placing of trade union organizations under control involves a serious danger of restricting the rights of workers' organizations to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 472.)

413. While recognizing that certain events were of an exceptional kind and may have warranted intervention by the authorities, the Committee considered that, in order to be admissible, the taking over of a trade union must be temporary and aimed solely at permitting the organization of free elections.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 473.)

414. Measures taken by the administrative authorities, such as the placing of organizations under control, are liable to appear arbitrary, even if they are temporary and may be challenged before the courts.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 474.)

415. The power conferred on a person with a view to facilitating the normal functioning of a trade union organization should not be such as to lead to limitations on the right of trade union organizations to draw up their constitutions, elect their representatives, organize their administration and formulate their programmes.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 475.)

General principles (Right of organizations to organize their administration)

416. Freedom of association implies the right of workers and employers to elect their representatives in full freedom and to organize their administration and activities without any interference by the public authorities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 319.)

417. The fundamental idea of Article 3 of Convention No. 87 is that workers and employers may decide for themselves the rules which should govern the administration of their organizations and the elections which are held therein.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1403, para. 74.)

Internal administration of organizations (Right of organizations to organize their administration)

418. In view of the fact that in every democratic trade union movement the congress of members is the supreme trade union authority which determines the regulations governing the administration and activities of trade unions and which establishes their programme, the prohibition of such congresses would seem to constitute an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 320.)

419. Legislation which minutely regulates the internal election procedures of a trade union and the composition of its executive committees, fixes the days on which meetings will take place, the precise date for the annual general assembly and the date on which the mandates of trade union officers shall expire, is incompatible with the rights afforded to trade unions by Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 289.)

420. When legislation is applied in such a manner as to prevent trade union organizations from using the services of experts who are not necessarily elected officers, such as industrial advisers, lawyers or agents able to represent them in judicial or administrative proceedings, there would be serious doubt as to the compatibility of such provisions with Article 3 of Convention No. 87, according to which workers' organizations shall have the right, inter alia, to organize their administration and activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 321.)

421. A provision prohibiting a trade union leader from receiving remuneration of any kind is not in conformity with the requirements of Article 3 of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 322.)

422. Freedom of association implies the right of workers' and employers' organizations to resolve any disputes by themselves and without interference by the authorities; it is for the government to create an atmosphere conducive to the resolution of such disputes.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 449.)

Control over the internal activities of trade unions (Right of organizations to organize their administration)

423. Legislation which accords to the minister the discretionary right to investigate the internal affairs of a trade union merely if he considers it necessary in the public interest is not in conformity with the principles that workers' organizations should have the right to organize their administration and activities without any interference on the part of the public authorities which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 450.)

424. Events of an exceptional nature may warrant direct intervention by a government in internal trade union matters in order to re-establish a situation in which trade union rights are fully respected.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 451.)

425. The only limitation on the rights set out in Article 3 of Convention No. 87 which might possibly be acceptable should aim solely at ensuring respect for democratic rules within the trade union movement.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 453.)

426. The principles established in Article 3 of Convention No. 87 do not prevent the control of the internal acts of a trade union if those internal acts violate legal provisions or rules. Nevertheless, it is important that control over the internal acts of a trade union and the power to take measures for its suspension or dissolution should be exercised by the judicial authorities, not only to guarantee an impartial and objective procedure and to ensure the right of defence (which normal judicial procedure alone can guarantee), but also to avoid the risk that measures taken by the administrative authorities may appear to be arbitrary.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 452.)

427. There should be outside control only in exceptional cases, when there are serious circumstances justifying such action, since otherwise there would be a risk of limiting the right that workers' organizations have, by virtue of Article 3 of Convention No. 87, to organize their administration and activities without interference by the public authorities which would restrict this right or impede its lawful exercise. The Committee has considered that a law which confers the power to intervene on an official of the judiciary,

against whose decisions an appeal may be made to the Supreme Court, and which lays down that a request for intervention must be supported by a substantial number of those in the occupational category in question, does not violate these principles.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 454.)

Financial administration of organizations (Right of organizations to organize their administration)

1. Financial independence in respect of the public authorities

428. The right of workers to establish organizations of their own choosing and the right of such organizations to draw up their own constitutions and internal rules and to organize their administration and activities presuppose financial independence. Such independence implies that workers' organizations should not be financed in such a way as to allow the public authorities to enjoy discretionary powers over them.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 344.)

429. With regard to systems of financing the trade union movement which made trade unions financially dependent on a public body, the Committee considered that any form of state control is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association and should be abolished since it permitted interference by the authorities in the financial management of trade unions.

(See 283rd Report, Case No. 1584, para. 174.)

430. Provisions governing the financial operations of workers' organizations should not be such as to give the public authorities discretionary powers over them.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 340.)

431. A system in which workers are bound to pay contributions to a public organization which, in turn, finances trade union organizations, constitutes a serious threat to the independence of these organizations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 341.)

432. While trade union training is to be encouraged, it should be provided by the unions themselves; the unions can, of course, take advantage of any material or moral assistance which the government may offer to them.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 342.)

433. Various systems of subsidizing workers' organizations have very different

consequences according to the form which they assume, the spirit in which they are conceived and applied and the extent to which the subsidies are granted as a matter of right, by virtue of statutory provisions, or at the discretion of a public authority. The repercussions which financial aid may have on the autonomy of trade union organizations will depend essentially on circumstances; they cannot be assessed by applying general principles: they are questions of fact which must be examined in the light of the circumstances of each case.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 343.)

2. Union dues

434. Questions concerning the financing of trade union organizations, as regards both their own budgets and those of federations and confederations, should be governed by the by-laws of the trade unions, federations and confederations themselves, and therefore, constitutional or legal provisions which require contributions are incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 265th Report, Case No. 1487, para. 373.)

435. The withdrawal of the check-off facility, which could lead to financial difficulties for trade union organizations, is not conducive to the development of harmonious industrial relations and should therefore be avoided.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 325.)

436. The Committee has drawn attention to the ILO Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), which provides that, in the absence of other arrangements for the collection of trade union dues, workers' representatives authorized to do so by the trade union should be permitted to collect such dues regularly on the premises of the undertaking.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 326.)

437. A legal restriction on the amount which a federation may receive from the unions affiliated to it would appear to be contrary to the generally accepted principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to organize their administration and activities and those of the federations which they form.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 323.)

3. Control and restrictions on the use of trade union funds

438. Provisions which give the authorities the right to restrict the freedom of a trade union to administer and utilize its funds as it wishes for normal and lawful trade union purposes are incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 327.)

439. The freezing of union bank accounts may constitute a serious interference by the authorities in trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 329.)

440. While the legislation in many countries requires that trade union accounts be audited, either by an auditor appointed by the trade union or, less frequently, appointed by the registrar of trade unions, it is generally accepted that such an auditor shall possess the required professional qualifications and be an independent person. A provision which reserves to the government the right to audit trade union funds is, therefore, not consistent with the generally accepted principle that trade unions should have the right to organize their administration and that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 330.)

441. Legislation obliging a trade union to have its books of account stamped and the pages numbered by the ministry of labour before they are opened for use appears only to be aimed at preventing fraud. The Committee has taken the view that such a requirement does not constitute a breach of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 331.)

442. The Committee has observed that, in general, trade union organizations appear to agree that legislative provisions requiring, for instance, financial statements to be annually presented to the authorities in prescribed form and the submission of other data on points which may not seem clear in the said statements, do not per se infringe trade union autonomy. Measures of supervision over the administration of trade unions may be useful if they are employed only to prevent abuses and to protect the members of the trade union themselves against mismanagement of their funds. However, it would seem that measures of this kind may, in certain cases, entail a danger of interference by the public authorities in the administration of trade unions and that this interference may be of such a nature as to restrict the rights of organizations or impede the lawful exercise thereof, contrary to Article 3 of Convention No. 87. It may be considered,

however, to some extent, that a guarantee exists against such interference where the official appointed to exercise supervision enjoys some degree of independence of the administrative authorities and where that official is subject to the control of the judicial authorities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 332.)

443. The control exercised by the public authorities over trade union finances should not normally exceed the obligation to submit periodic reports. The discretionary right of the authorities to carry out inspections and request information at any time entails a danger of interference in the internal administration of trade unions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 333.)

444. As regards certain measures of administrative control over trade union assets, such as financial audits and investigations, the Committee has considered that these should be applied only in exceptional cases, when justified by grave circumstances (for instance, presumed irregularities in the annual statement or irregularities reported by members of the organization), in order to avoid any discrimination between one trade union and another and to preclude the danger of excessive intervention by the authorities which might hamper a union's exercise of the right to organize its administration freely, and also to avoid harmful and perhaps unjustified publicity or the disclosure of information which might be confidential.

(See the Digest of 1985, paras. 334 and 336.)

445. The general principle that there should be judicial control of the internal management of an occupational organization in order to ensure an impartial and objective procedure is particularly important in regard to the administration of trade union property and finances.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 335.)

446. Where the bank accounts of trade union leaders accused of embezzlement of trade union funds are frozen, the Committee has pointed out that if, following investigation, no evidence of misappropriation of trade union funds has been found, it would be unreasonable for the accounts of the trade unionists, whether or not they have remained in the country, to remain frozen.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 337.)

General principles (Right of organizations freely to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes)

447. Freedom of association implies not only the right of workers and employers to form freely organizations of their own choosing, but also the right for the organizations themselves to pursue lawful activities for the defence of their occupational interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 345.)

448. Any provision which gives the authorities, for example, the right to restrict trade union activities in relation to the activities and objects pursued by trade unions in the vast majority of countries for the furtherance and defence of the interests of their members would be incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 346.)

Political activities and relations (Right of organizations freely to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes)

449. In order that trade unions may be sheltered from political vicissitudes, and in order that they may avoid being dependent on the public authorities, it is desirable that, without prejudice to the freedom of opinion of their members, they should limit the field of their activities to the occupational and trade union fields; the government, on the other hand, should refrain from interfering in the functioning of trade unions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 351.)

450. In the interests of the normal development of the trade union movement, it would be desirable to have regard to the principles enunciated in the resolution on the independence of the trade union movement adopted by the International Labour Conference at its 35th Session (1952) that the fundamental and permanent mission of the trade union movement is the economic and social advancement of the workers and that when trade unions, in accordance with the national law and practice of their respective countries and at the decision of their members, decide to establish relations with a political party or to undertake constitutional political action as a means towards the advancement of their economic and social objectives, such political relations or actions should not be of such a nature as to compromise the continuance of the trade union movement or its social or economic functions, irrespective of political changes in the country.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 352.)

451. The Committee has reaffirmed the principle expressed by the International Labour Conference in the resolution concerning the independence of the trade union movement that governments should not attempt to transform the trade union movement into an instrument for the pursuance of political aims, nor should they attempt to interfere with the formal functions of a trade union movement because of its freely established relationship with a political party.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 353.)

452. Provisions imposing a general prohibition on political activities by trade unions for the promotion of their specific objectives are contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 230th Report, Case No. 1194, para. 291.)

453. If trade unions are prohibited in general terms from engaging in any political activities, this may raise difficulties by reason of the fact that the interpretation given to the relevant provisions may, in practice, change at any moment and considerably restrict the possibility of action of the organizations. It would, therefore, seem that States, without prohibiting in general terms political activities of occupational organizations, should be able to entrust to the judicial authorities the task of repressing abuses which might, in certain cases, be committed by organizations which have lost sight of the fact that their fundamental objective should be the economic and social advancement of their members.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 354.)

454. Trade union organizations should not engage in political activities in an abusive manner and go beyond their true functions by promoting essentially political interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 355.)

455. A general prohibition on trade unions from engaging in any political activities would not only be incompatible with the principles of freedom of association, but also unrealistic in practice. Trade union organizations may wish, for example, to express publicly their opinion regarding the government's economic and social policy.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 356.)

456. There should be no confusion between trade unions' performance of their specific functions, i.e. the defence and promotion of the occupational interests of workers, and the possible pursuit by certain of their members of other activities that are unconnected with trade union functions. The penal responsibility which such persons may incur as a result of such acts should in no way lead to measures being taken to deprive the unions themselves or their leaders of their means of action.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 357.)

457. It is only in so far as trade union organizations do not allow their occupational demands to assume a clearly political aspect that they can legitimately claim that there should be no interference in their activities. On the other hand, it is difficult to draw a clear distinction between what is political and what is, properly speaking, trade union in character. These two notions overlap and it is inevitable, and sometimes usual, for trade union publications to take a stand on questions having political aspects, as well as on strictly economic and social questions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 359.)

458. A law obliging leaders of occupational associations to make a declaration "to uphold democracy" could lead to abuses, since such a provision does not include any precise criteria on which a judicial decision could be based were a trade union leader to be accused of not having respected the terms of the declaration.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 358.)

Other activities of trade union organizations (protest activities, sit-ins, public demonstrations, etc.) (Right of organizations freely to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes)

459. In a situation in which workers' organizations consider that they do not enjoy the freedoms essential for the performance of their functions, they would be justified in claiming the recognition and exercise of these freedoms and such claims ought to be regarded as consistent with legitimate trade union action.

(See 270th and 275th Reports, Case No. 1500, paras. 326 and 356 respectively; see also 297th Report, Case No. 1773, para. 533.)

460. The right of petition is a legitimate activity of trade union organizations, and persons who sign such trade union petitions should not be reprimanded or punished for this type of activity.

(See 283rd Report, Case No. 1479, para. 97.)

461. The fact of having presented a list of dispute grievances is a legitimate trade union activity.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1685, para. 446.)

462. If a government takes reprisals, directly or indirectly, against trade unionists or the leaders of workers' or employers' organizations for the simple reason that they protest against the appointment of workers' or employers' delegates to a national or international meeting, this constitutes an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 190.)

463. The legislation which permits the competent authorities to ban any organization which carries on any normal trade union activity, such as campaigning for a minimum wage, is incompatible with the generally accepted principle that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of workers' organizations to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes, or which would impede the lawful exercise of this right.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 350.)

464. The right to organize public meetings constitutes an important aspect of trade union rights. In this connection, the Committee has always drawn a distinction between demonstrations in pursuit of purely trade union objectives, which it has considered as falling within the exercise of trade union rights, and those designed to achieve other ends.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 154.)

465. The expression of an opinion by a trade union organization concerning a court decision relative to the killing of trade union members is in fact a legitimate trade union activity.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1309, para. 312.)

466. By threatening retaliatory measures against workers who had merely expressed their intention to hold a sit-in in pursuance of their legitimate economic and social interests, the employer interfered in the workers' basic right to organize their administration and activities and to formulate their programmes, contrary to Convention No. 87.

(See 277th Report, Case No. 1553, para. 464.)

467. The prohibition of the placing of posters stating the point of view of a central trade union organization is an unacceptable restriction on trade union activities.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1671, para. 99.)

468. The extent to which the part played by the trade unions in organizing work competition and undertaking propaganda for production or the carrying out of economic plans is consistent with the fulfilment by the trade unions of their responsibility for protecting the interests of the workers depends on the degree of freedom enjoyed by the trade unions in other respects.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 348.)

469. The Committee has considered that, while it is not called upon to express an opinion as to the desirability of entrusting the administration of social insurance and the supervision of the application of social legislation to occupational associations rather than to administrative state organs, in so far as such a measure might restrict the free exercise of trade union rights, this might be the case: (1) if the trade unions exercise discrimination in administering the social insurance funds made available to them for the purpose of exercising pressure on unorganized workers; (2) if the independence of the

trade union movement should thereby be compromised.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 349.)

470. The right of workers to be represented by an official of their union in any proceedings involving their working conditions, in accordance with procedures prescribed by laws or regulations, is a right that is generally recognized in a large number of countries. It is particularly important that this right should be respected when workers whose level of education does not enable them to defend themselves adequately without the assistance of a more experienced person, are not permitted to be represented by a lawyer and so can rely only on their union officers for assistance.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 170.)

471. The boycott is a very special form of action which, in some cases, may involve a trade union whose members continue their work and are not directly involved in the dispute with the employer against whom the boycott is imposed. In these circumstances, the prohibition of boycotts by law does not necessarily appear to involve an interference with trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 376.)

472. The choice of unionists to take part in purely union-organized training courses, wherever held, should be left to the workers' organization or educational institution responsible for such activities and not be dictated by any political parties.

(See 254th Report, Case No. 1406, para. 473.)

Importance of the right to strike and entitlement to exercise the right (Right to strike)

473. While the Committee has always regarded the right to strike as constituting a fundamental right of workers and of their organizations, it has regarded it as such only in so far as it is utilized as a means of defending their economic interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 364.)

474. The Committee has always recognized the right to strike by workers and their organizations as a legitimate means of defending their economic and social interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 362.)

475. The right to strike is one of the essential means through which workers and their organizations may promote and defend their economic and social interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 363.)

476. The exclusion from the right to strike of wage-earners in the private sector who are on probation is incompatible with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 389.)

477. It does not appear that making a right to call a strike the sole preserve of trade union organizations is incompatible with the standards of Convention No. 87. Workers, and especially their leaders in undertakings, should however be protected against any discrimination which might be exercised because of a strike and they should be able to form trade unions without being exposed to anti-union discrimination.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 361.)

478. The prohibition on the calling of strikes by federations and confederations is not compatible with Convention No. 87.

(See 265th Report, Cases Nos. 1434 and 1477, para. 495.)

Objective of the strike (strikes on economic and social issues, political strikes, solidarity strikes, etc.) (Right to strike)

479. The occupational and economic interests which workers defend through the exercise of the right to strike do not only concern better working conditions or collective claims of an occupational nature, but also the seeking of solutions to economic and social policy questions and problems facing the undertaking which are of direct concern to the workers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 368.)

480. Organizations responsible for defending workers' socio-economic and occupational interests should be able to use strike action to support their position in the search for solutions to problems posed by major social and economic policy trends which have a direct impact on their members and on workers in general, in particular as regards employment, social protection and standards of living.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1793, para. 603.)

481. Strikes of a purely political nature and strikes decided systematically long before negotiations take place do not fall within the scope of the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 372.)

482. While purely political strikes do not fall within the scope of the principles of freedom of association, trade unions should be able to have recourse to protest strikes, in particular where aimed at criticizing a government's economic and social policies.

(See 238th Report, Case No. 1309, para. 360; 241st Report, Case No. 1309, para. 800; 260th Report, Cases Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, para. 39; and 277th Report, Case No. 1549, para. 445.)

483. In one case where a general strike against an ordinance concerning conciliation and arbitration was certainly one against the government's policy, the Committee considered that it seemed doubtful whether allegations relating to it could be dismissed at the outset on the ground that it was not in furtherance of a trade dispute, since the trade unions were in dispute with the government in its capacity as an important employer following the initiation of a measure dealing with industrial relations which, in the view of the trade unions, restricted the exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 373.)

484. The right to strike should not be limited solely to industrial disputes that are likely to be resolved through the signing of a collective agreement; workers and their organizations should be able to express in a broader context, if necessary, their dissatisfaction as regards economic and social matters affecting their members' interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 388; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 741(m).)

485. The solution to a legal conflict as a result of a difference in interpretation of a legal text should be left to the competent courts. The prohibition of strikes in such a situation does not constitute a breach of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 374.)

486. A general prohibition of sympathy strikes could lead to abuse and workers should be able to take such action provided the initial strike they are supporting is itself lawful.

(See 248th Report, Case No. 1381, para. 417; and 277th Report, Case No. 1549, para. 445.)

487. The fact that a strike is called for recognition of a union is a legitimate interest which may be defended by workers and their organizations.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1792, para. 539.)

488. A ban on strikes related to recognition disputes (for collective bargaining) is not in conformity with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1622, para. 696.)

489. A ban on strike action not linked to a collective dispute to which the employee or union is a party is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1575, para. 911.)

Scope of the strike (Right to strike)

490. Provisions which prohibit strikes if they are concerned with the issue of whether a collective employment contract will bind more than one employer are contrary to the principles of freedom of association on the right to strike; workers and their organizations should be able to call for industrial action in support of multi-employer contracts.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 737.)

491. Workers and their organizations should be able to call for industrial action (strikes) in support of multi-employer contracts (collective agreements).

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1698, para. 259.)

492. The Committee has stated on many occasions that strikes at the national level are legitimate in so far as they have economic and social objectives and not purely political ones; the prohibition of strikes could only be acceptable in the case of public servants exercising authority in the name of the State (Note 1) or of workers in essential services in the strict sense of the term, i.e. services whose interruption could endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1569, para. 143(4).)

493. A declaration of the illegality of a national strike protesting against the social and labour consequences of the government's economic policy and the banning of the strike constitute a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1562, para. 518(a).)

494. As regards the general strike, the Committee has considered that strike action is one of the means of action which should be available to workers' organizations. A 24-hour general strike seeking an increase in the minimum wage, respect of collective agreements in force and a change in economic policy (to decrease prices and unemployment) is legitimate and within the normal field of activity of trade union organizations.

(See 248th Report, Case No. 1381, paras. 412 and 413.)

495. A general protest strike demanding that an end be put to the hundreds of murders

of trade union leaders and unionists during the past few years is a legitimate trade union activity and its prohibition therefore constitutes a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See 265th Report, Cases Nos. 1434 and 1477, para. 495.)

Note 1

It should be emphasized that, since November 1994, the Committee has defined the public servants in respect of whom the right to strike could be prohibited or restricted as "public servants exercising authority in the name of the State". This differs from the manner in which the Committee had defined them previously and the wording used in its Digest of 1985, in which it referred to "public servants acting as agents of the public authority". In the paragraphs below, which are citations, the older definitions have been adapted to the wording adopted in November 1994.

Various types of strike action (Right to strike)

496. Regarding various types of strike action denied to workers (tools-down, go-slow, work-to-rule and sit-down strikes), the Committee considers that these restrictions may be justified only if the strike ceases to be peaceful.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 367; and 260th Report, Cases Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, para. 39.)

497. When examining allegations that the legislation does not protect irregular forms of action, such as wildcat strikes, go-slow strikes, working to rule, etc., the Committee has considered that these restrictions may be justified only if the strike ceases to be peaceful.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 367; and 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 466.)

Prerequisites (Right to strike)

498. The conditions that have to be fulfilled under the law in order to render a strike lawful should be reasonable and in any event not such as to place a substantial limitation on the means of action open to trade union organizations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 377.)

499. The legal procedures for declaring a strike should not be so complicated as to make it practically impossible to declare a legal strike.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1566, para. 89.)

500. Legislation which provides for voluntary conciliation and arbitration in industrial disputes before a strike may be called cannot be regarded as an infringement of freedom of association, provided recourse to arbitration is not compulsory and does not, in practice, prevent the calling of the strike.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 378; and 238th Report, Case No. 1300, para. 292.)

501. The Committee has emphasized that, although a strike may be temporarily restricted by law until all procedures available for negotiation, conciliation and arbitration have been exhausted, such a restriction should be accompanied by adequate, impartial and speedy conciliation and arbitration proceedings in which the parties concerned can take part at every stage.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 390.)

502. The obligation to give prior notice to the employer before calling a strike may be considered acceptable.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 381.)

503. The obligations to give notice and to take strike decisions by secret ballot are acceptable.

(See the Digest of 1985, paras. 381 and 382; and 284th Report, Case No. 1622, para. 700.)

504. The requirement that a 20-day period of notice be given in services of social or public interest does not undermine the principles of freedom of association.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1617, para. 61.)

505. The legal requirement of a cooling-off period of 40 days before a strike is declared in an essential service, in so far as it is designed to provide the parties with a period of reflection, is not contrary to the principles of freedom of association. This clause which defers action may enable both parties to come once again to the bargaining table and possibly to reach an agreement without having a recourse to a strike.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1430, para. 186.)

506. With regard to the majority vote required by one law for the calling of a legal strike (two-thirds of the total number of members of the union or branch concerned), non-compliance with which might entail a penalty by the administrative authorities, including the dissolution of the union, the Committee recalled the conclusions of the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations that such legal provisions constitute an intervention by the public authorities in the activities of trade unions which is of such a nature as to restrict the rights of these organizations, contrary to Article 3 of the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 379.)

507. The requirement of a decision by over half of all the workers involved in order to declare a strike is excessive and could excessively hinder the possibility of carrying out a strike, particularly in large enterprises.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 468.)

508. The requirement that an absolute majority of workers should be obtained for the calling of a strike may be difficult, especially in the case of unions which group together a large number of members. A provision requiring an absolute majority may, therefore, involve the risk of seriously limiting the right to strike.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 380.)

509. The Committee requested a government to take measures to amend the legal requirement that a decision to declare a strike be adopted by more than half of the workers to which it applies, in particular in enterprises with a large union membership.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1759, para. 345.)

510. The obligation to observe a certain quorum and to take strike decisions by secret ballot may be considered acceptable.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 382.)

511. The observance of a quorum of two-thirds of the members may be difficult to reach, in particular where trade unions have large numbers of members covering a large area.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 383.)

512. A provision requiring the agreement of the majority of the members of federations and confederations, or the approval by the absolute majority of the workers of the undertaking concerned for the calling of a strike, may constitute a serious limitation on the activities of trade union organizations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 384.)

513. The Committee has considered to be in conformity with the principles of freedom of association a situation where the decision to call a strike in the local branches of a trade union organization may be taken by the general assembly of the local branches, when the reason for the strike is of a local nature and where, in the higher-level trade union organizations, the decision to call a strike may be taken by the executive committee of these organizations by an absolute majority of all the members of the committee.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 385.)

514. The obligation to hold a second strike vote if a strike has not taken place within three months of the first vote does not constitute an infringement of freedom of association.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1430, para. 191.)

Recourse to compulsory arbitration (Right to strike)

515. Compulsory arbitration to end a collective labour dispute and a strike is acceptable if it is at the request of both parties involved in a dispute, or if the strike in question may be restricted, even banned, i.e. in the case of disputes in the public service involving public servants exercising authority in the name of the State or in essential services in the strict sense of the term, namely those services whose interruption would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1430, para. 181; and 295th Report, Case No. 1718, para. 297.)

516. The right to strike can only be restricted (such as by the imposition of compulsory arbitration to end a strike) or prohibited in essential services in the strict sense of the term; i.e. those services whose interruption would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See 270th, 275th and 284th Reports, Cases Nos. 1434, 1477 and 1631, paras. 256, 199 and 398 respectively; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1625, para. 73.)

517. The Committee has stressed that the imposition of compulsory arbitration is only acceptable in cases of strikes in essential services in the strict sense of the term or in cases of acute national crisis.

(See 275th Report, Cases Nos. 1434 and 1477, para. 197.)

518. Provisions which establish that, failing agreement between the parties, the points at issue must be settled by arbitration by the labour authorities do not conform to the principle of voluntary negotiation contained in Article 4 of Convention No. 98. In as far as compulsory arbitration prevents strike action, it is contrary to the right of trade unions to organize freely their activities and could only be justified in the public service or in essential services in the strict sense of the term.

(See, for example, 236th Report, Case No. 1140, para. 144; and 248th Report, Cases Nos. 1363 and 1367, para. 169.)

519. A provision which permits either party unilaterally to request the intervention of the labour authority to resolve a dispute may effectively undermine the right of workers to call a strike and does not promote voluntary collective bargaining.

(See 265th Report, Cases Nos. 1478 and 1484, para. 547; and 295th Report, Case No. 1718, para. 296.)

520. The right to strike would be affected if a legal provision were to permit employers to submit in every case for compulsory arbitral decision disputes resulting from the failure to reach agreement during collective bargaining, thereby preventing recourse to strike action.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 419.)

521. The Committee considers that a system of compulsory arbitration through the labour authorities, if a dispute is not settled by other means, can result in a considerable restriction of the right of workers' organizations to organize their activities and may even involve an absolute prohibition of strikes, contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 420.)

Responsibility for declaring a strike illegal (Right to strike)

522. Responsibility for declaring a strike illegal should not lie with the government, but with an independent body which has the confidence of the parties involved.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1598, para. 477.)

523. Final decisions concerning the illegality of strikes should not be made by the government, especially in those cases in which the government is a party to the dispute.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1586, paras. 934 and 942; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1679, para. 95.)

524. It is contrary to freedom of association that the right to declare a strike in the public service illegal should lie with the heads of public institutions, which are thus judges and parties to a dispute.

(See 239th Report, Case No. 1190, para. 242(d).)

525. With reference to an official circular concerning the illegality of any strike in the public sector, the Committee has considered that such matters are not within the competence of the administrative authority.

(See 240th Report, Case No. 1304, para. 96.)

Cases in which strikes may be restricted or even prohibited, and compensatory guarantees (Right to strike)

526. The right to strike may be restricted or prohibited: (1) in the public service only for public servants exercising authority in the name of the State; or (2) in essential services in the strict sense of the term (that is, services the interruption of which would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population).

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1629, para. 262; and Digest of 1985, para. 394.)

1. Acute national emergency

527. A general prohibition of strikes can only be justified in the event of an acute national emergency and for a limited period of time.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 423.)

528. The employment of the armed forces or of another group of persons to perform duties which have been suspended as a result of a labour dispute can - if the strike is lawful - be justified only by the need to ensure the operation of services or industries whose suspension would lead to an acute crisis.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 429.)

529. Restrictions on the right to strike and on freedom of expression imposed against the backdrop of an attempted coup d'état against the constitutional government, which gave rise to a state of emergency called in accordance with the national constitution, do not violate freedom of association since such restrictions are justified in the event of an acute national emergency.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1626, para. 91.)

530. Although it is recognized that a stoppage in services or undertakings such as transport companies, railways, telecommunications or electricity might disturb the normal life of the community, it can hardly be admitted that the stoppage of such services could cause a state of acute national emergency. The Committee has therefore considered that measures taken to mobilize workers at the time of disputes in services of this kind are such as to restrict the workers' right to strike as a means of defending their occupational and economic interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 426.)

2. Public service

531. Recognition of the principle of freedom of association in the case of public servants does not necessarily imply the right to strike.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 365.)

532. Public servants in state-owned commercial or industrial enterprises should have the right to negotiate collective agreements, enjoy suitable protection against acts of anti-union discrimination and enjoy the right to strike, provided that the interruption of services does not endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1465, para. 677; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1625, para. 75.)

533. The Committee has acknowledged that the right to strike can be restricted or even prohibited in the public service or in essential services in so far as a strike there could cause serious hardship to the national community and provided that the limitations are accompanied by certain compensatory guarantees.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 393.)

534. The right to strike may be restricted or prohibited only for public servants exercising authority in the name of the State.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1629, para. 262.)

535. Too broad a definition of the concept of public servant is likely to result in a very wide restriction or even a prohibition of the right to strike for these workers. The prohibition of the right to strike in the public service should be limited to public servants exercising authority in the name of the State.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1762, para. 281.)

536. The right to strike may be restricted or even prohibited in the public service - public servants being those who exercise authority in the name of the State - or in essential services in the strict sense of the term, i.e. services the interruption of which would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 394.)

537. Officials working in the administration of justice are officials who exercise authority in the name of the State and whose right to strike could thus be subject to restrictions or even prohibitions.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1706, para. 485.)

538. Staff in the judiciary should be considered as public servants exercising authority in the name of the State and, as a result, the authorities may suspend the exercise of the right to strike of this staff.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1653 and 1660, para. 106.)

539. Action taken by a government to obtain a court injunction to put a temporary end to a strike in the public sector does not constitute an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 411.)

3. Essential services

540. To determine situations in which a strike could be prohibited, the criteria which has to be established is the existence of a clear and imminent threat to the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1576, para. 114.)

541. What is meant by essential services in the strict sense of the term depends to a large extent on the particular circumstances prevailing in a country. Moreover, this concept is not absolute, in the sense that a non-essential service may become essential if a strike lasts beyond a certain time or extends beyond a certain scope, thus endangering the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See 265th Report, Case No. 1438, para. 398.)

542. The principle regarding the prohibition of strikes in essential services might lose its meaning if a strike were declared illegal in one or more undertakings which were not performing an "essential service" in the strict sense of the term, i.e. services whose interruption would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 400.)

543. It would not appear to be appropriate for all state-owned undertakings to be treated on the same basis in respect of limitations of the right to strike, without distinguishing in the relevant legislation between those which are genuinely essential and those which are not.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 395.)

544. The following may be considered to be essential services:

- the hospital sector (see the Digest of 1985, para. 409);
- electricity services (see 238th Report, Case No. 1307, para. 325);
- water supply services (see the Digest of 1985, para. 410; 281st Report, Case No. 1593, para. 268; and 284th Report, Case No. 1601, para. 52);
- the telephone service (see the Digest of 1985, para. 427; 279th Report, Case No. 1532, para. 284; and 296th Report, Case No. 1686, para. 294);
- air traffic control (see the Digest of 1985, para. 412).

545. The following do not constitute essential services in the strict sense of the term:

- radio and television (see 230th Report, Cases Nos. 988 and 1033, para. 370);
- the petroleum sector and ports (loading and unloading) (see 254th Report, Case No. 1417, para. 502; see also the Digest of 1985, para. 405);
- banking (see 230th Report, Cases Nos. 988 and 1033, para. 370);
- computer services for the collection of excise duties and taxes (see 259th Report, Case No. 1443, para. 192);
- department stores and pleasure parks (see 259th Report, Case No. 1431, para. 706);
- the metal and mining sectors (see the Digest of 1985, para. 406);
- transport generally (see the Digest of 1985, para. 407);

- refrigeration enterprises (see 284th Report, Case No. 1656, para. 1063);
- hotel services (see 286th Report, Case No. 1620, para. 380);
- construction (see 291st Report, Case No. 1693, para. 513);
- automobile manufacturing (see 294th Report, Case No. 1629, para. 261);
- aircraft repairs, agricultural activities, the supply and distribution of foodstuffs (see the Digest of 1985, para. 402);
- the Mint, the government printing service and the state alcohol, salt and tobacco monopolies (see the Digest of 1985, para. 403);
- the education sector (see the Digest of 1985, para. 404; and 277th Report, Case No. 1528, para. 285);
- metropolitan transport (see the Digest of 1985, para. 408);
- postal services (see 268th Report, Case No. 1451, para 98; and 291st Report, Case No. 1692, paras. 224 and 225).

4. Compensatory guarantees in the event of the prohibition of strikes in the public service or in essential services

546. Where the right to strike is restricted or prohibited in certain essential undertakings or services, adequate protection should be given to the workers to compensate for the limitation thereby placed on their freedom of action with regard to disputes affecting such undertakings and services.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 396.)

547. As regards the nature of appropriate guarantees in cases where restrictions are placed on the right to strike in essential services and the public service, restrictions on the right to strike should be accompanied by adequate, impartial and speedy conciliation and arbitration proceedings in which the parties concerned can take part at every stage and in which the awards, once made, are fully and promptly implemented.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 397.)

548. The reservation of budgetary powers to the legislative authority should not have the

effect of preventing compliance with the terms of awards handed down by the compulsory arbitration tribunal. Any departure from this practice would detract from the effective application of the principle that, where strikes by workers in essential services are prohibited or restricted, such prohibition should be accompanied by the existence of conciliation procedures and of impartial arbitration machinery, the awards of which are binding on both parties.

(See Digest of 1985, para. 398.)

549. In mediation and arbitration proceedings it is essential that all the members of the bodies entrusted with such functions should not only be strictly impartial but, if the confidence of both sides, on which the successful outcome even of compulsory arbitration really depends, is to be gained and maintained, they should also appear to be impartial both to the employers and to the workers concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 399.)

550. The appointment by the minister of all five members of the Essential Services Arbitration Tribunal calls into question the independence and impartiality of such a tribunal, as well as the confidence of the concerned parties in such a system. The representative organizations of workers and employers should, respectively, be able to select members of the Essential Services Arbitration Tribunal who represent them.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1775, para. 517.)

551. Employees deprived of the right to strike because they perform essential services must have appropriate guarantees to safeguard their interests; a corresponding denial of the right of lockout, provision of joint conciliation procedures and where, and only where, conciliation fails, the provision of joint arbitration machinery.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1526, para. 268.)

552. Referring to its recommendation that restrictions on the right to strike would be acceptable if accompanied by conciliation and arbitration procedures, the Committee has made it clear that this recommendation does not refer to the absolute prohibition of the right to strike, but to the restriction of that right in essential services or in the public service, in relation to which adequate guarantees should be provided to safeguard the workers' interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 386.)

553. Compulsory arbitration to end a collective labour dispute and a strike is acceptable

if it is at the request of both parties involved in the dispute, or if the strike in question may be restricted, or even banned, i.e. in the case of disputes in the public service involving public servants exercising authority in the name of the State or in essential services in the strict sense of the term, namely those services whose interruption would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1430, para. 181.)

Situations in which a minimum service may be imposed to guarantee the safety of persons and equipment (minimum safety service) (Right to strike)

554. Restrictions on the right to strike in certain sectors to the extent necessary to comply with statutory safety requirements are normal restrictions.

(See Digest of 1985, para. 413.)

555. In one case, the legislation provided that occupational organizations in all branches of activity were obliged to ensure that the staff necessary for the safety of machinery and equipment and the prevention of accidents continued to work, and that disagreements as to the definition of "necessary staff" would be settled by an administrative arbitration tribunal. These restrictions on the right to strike were considered to be acceptable.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 414.)

Situations and conditions under which a minimum operational service could be required (Right to strike)

556. The establishment of minimum services in the case of strike action should only be possible in:

(1) services the interruption of which would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population (essential services in the strict sense of the term) (see 254th Report, Case No. 1403, para. 444; and 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 467);

(2) services which are not essential in the strict sense of the term but where the extent and duration of a strike might be such as to result in an acute national crisis endangering the normal living conditions of the population (see the Digest of 1985, para. 415; and 291st Report, Case No. 1692, para. 225); and

(3) in public services of fundamental importance (see 292nd Report, Case No. 1679, paras. 92 and 98; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1731, para. 781).

557. A certain minimum service may be requested in the event of strikes whose scope and duration would cause an acute national crisis, but in this case, the trade union organizations should be able to participate, along with employers and the public authorities, in defining the minimum service.

(See 248th Report, Case No. 1356, para. 144; and 256th Report, Case No. 1430, para. 187.)

558. A minimum service may be set up in the event of a strike, the extent and duration of which might be such as to result in an acute national crisis endangering the normal living conditions of the population. Such a minimum service should be confined to operations that are strictly necessary to avoid endangering the life or normal living conditions of the whole or part of the population; in addition, workers' organizations should be able to participate in defining such a service in the same way as employers and the public authorities.

(See 234th Report, Case No. 1244, paras. 153 and 154; 244th Report, Case No. 1342, para. 150; 279th Report, Case No. 1566, para. 87; and 297th Report, Case No. 1788, para. 360.)

559. The Committee has pointed out that it is important for provisions regarding the

minimum service to be maintained in the event of a strike in an essential service to be established clearly, to be applied strictly and to be made known to those concerned in due time.

(See 233rd Report, Case No. 1203, para. 95.)

560. The determination of minimum services and the minimum number of workers providing them should involve not only the public authorities, but also the relevant employers' and workers' organizations. This not only allows a careful exchange of viewpoints on what in a given situation can be considered to be the minimum services that are strictly necessary, but also contributes to guaranteeing that the scope of the minimum service does not result in the strike becoming ineffective in practice because of its limited impact, and to dissipating possible impressions in the trade union organizations that a strike has come to nothing because of over-generous and unilaterally fixed minimum services.

(See, for example, 244th Report, Case No. 1342, para. 154; and 248th Report, Case No. 1374, para. 270. See also 234th Report, Case No. 1244, paras. 153-155; 244th Report, Case No. 1342, para. 151; and 268th Report, Case No. 1466, para. 148.)

561. As regards the legal requirement that a minimum service must be maintained in the event of a strike in essential public services, and that any disagreement as to the number and duties of the workers concerned shall be settled by the labour authority, the Committee is of the opinion that the legislation should provide for any such disagreement to be settled by an independent body and not by the ministry of labour or the ministry or public enterprise concerned.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 467; 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 467; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1679, para. 93.)

562. A definitive ruling on whether the level of minimum services was indispensable or not - made in full knowledge of the facts - can be pronounced only by the judicial authorities, in so far as it depends, in particular, upon a thorough knowledge of the structure and functioning of the enterprises and establishments concerned and of the real impact of the strike action.

(See 254th Report, Case No. 1403, para. 447; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1679, para. 97.)

Examples of when the Committee has considered that the conditions were met for requiring a minimum operational service (Right to strike)

563. The ferry service is not an essential service. However, in view of the difficulties and inconveniences that the population living on islands along the coast could be subjected to following a stoppage in ferry services, an agreement may be concluded on minimum services to be maintained in the event of a strike.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1680, para. 156.)

564. The services provided by the National Ports Enterprise do not constitute essential services, although they are an important public service in which a minimum service could be required in case of a strike.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1731, para. 781.)

565. In relation to strike action taken by workers in the underground transport enterprise, the establishment of minimum services in the absence of agreement between the parties should be handled by an independent body.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1679, para. 98.)

566. The transportation of passengers and commercial goods is not an essential service in the strict sense of the term; however, this is a public service of primary importance where the requirement of a minimum service in the event of a strike can be justified.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1679, para. 92.)

567. It is legitimate for a minimum service to be maintained in the event of a strike in the rail transport sector.

(See 234th Report, Case No. 1244, para. 153.)

568. The maintenance of a minimum service could be foreseen in the postal services.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1451, para. 98; and 291st Report, Case No. 1692, paras. 224 and 225.)

Non-compliance with minimum service (Right to strike)

569. Even though the final decision to suspend or revoke a trade union's legal status is made by an independent judicial body, such measures should not be adopted in the case of non-compliance with minimum service.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1679, para. 96)

Back-to-work orders, the hiring of workers during a strike, requisitioning orders (Right to strike)

570. The hiring of workers to break a strike in a sector which cannot be regarded as an essential sector in the strict sense of the term, and hence one in which strikes might be forbidden, constitutes a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1282, para. 419.)

571. If a strike is legal, recourse to the use of labour drawn from outside the undertaking to replace the strikers for an indeterminate period entails a risk of derogation from the right to strike, which may affect the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1543, para. 93.)

572. Whenever a total and prolonged strike in a vital sector of the economy might cause a situation in which the life, health or personal safety of the population might be endangered, a back-to-work order might be lawful, if applied to a specific category of staff in the event of a strike whose scope and duration could cause such a situation. However, a back-to-work requirement outside such cases is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1430, para. 189; and 265th Report, Case No. 1438, para. 398.)

573. The use of the military and requisitioning orders to break a strike over occupational claims, unless these actions aim at maintaining essential services in circumstances of the utmost gravity, constitute a serious violation of freedom of association.

(See 239th Report, Case No. 1201, para. 120.)

574. The employment of the armed forces or of another group of persons to perform duties which have been suspended as a result of a labour dispute can, if the strike is lawful, be justified only by the need to ensure the operation of services or industries whose suspension would lead to an acute crisis. The utilization by the government of labour drawn from outside the undertaking, with a view to replacing striking workers, entails a risk of derogation from the right to strike which may affect the free exercise of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 429.)

575. Although it is recognized that a stoppage in services or undertakings such as transport companies and railways might disturb the normal life of the community, it can hardly be admitted that the stoppage of such services could cause a state of acute national emergency. The Committee has therefore considered that measures taken to mobilize workers at the time of disputes in services of this kind are such as to restrict the workers' right to strike as a means of defending their occupational and economic interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 426.)

576. The requisitioning of railway workers in the case of strikes, the threat of dismissal of strike pickets, the recruitment of underpaid workers and a ban on the joining of a trade union in order to break up lawful and peaceful strikes in services which are not essential in the strict sense of the term are not in accordance with freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 425.)

577. Where an essential public service, such as the telephone service, is interrupted by an unlawful strike, a government may have to assume the responsibility of ensuring its functioning in the interests of the community and, for this purpose, may consider it expedient to call in the armed forces or other persons to perform the duties which have been suspended and to take the necessary steps to enable such persons to be installed in the premises where such duties are performed.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 427.)

Interference by the authorities during the course of the strike (Right to strike)

578. In one case where the government had consulted the workers in order to determine whether they wished the strike to continue or be called off, and where the organization of the ballot had been entrusted to a permanent, independent body, with the workers enjoying the safeguard of a secret ballot, the Committee emphasized the desirability of consulting the representative organizations with a view to ensuring freedom from any influence or pressure by the authorities which might affect the exercise of the right to strike in practice.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 375.)

Police intervention during the course of the strike (Right to strike)

579. The Committee has recommended the dismissal of allegations of intervention by the police when the facts showed that such intervention was limited to the maintenance of public order and did not restrict the legitimate exercise of the right to strike; at the same time, the Committee implied that it would have regarded the use of police for strike-breaking purposes as an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 430.)

580. In cases of strike movements, the authorities should resort to the use of force only in situations where law and order is seriously threatened.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 431; see also 292nd Report, Case No. 1714, para. 505; and 294th Report, Case No. 1724, para. 368.)

581. While workers and their organizations have an obligation to respect the law of the land, the intervention by security forces in strike situations should be limited strictly to the maintenance of public order.

(See, for example, 234th Report, Case No. 1227, para. 312; and 239th Report, Case No. 1187, para. 107.)

582. The authorities should resort to calling in the police in a strike situation only if there is a genuine threat to public order. The intervention of the police should be in proportion to the threat to public order and governments should take measures to ensure that the competent authorities receive adequate instructions so as to avoid the danger of excessive violence in trying to control demonstrations that might undermine public order.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1541, para. 255.)

Pickets (Right to strike)

583. The action of pickets organized in accordance with the law should not be subject to interference by the public authorities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 432.)

584. The prohibition of strike pickets is justified only if the strike ceases to be peaceful.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 433.)

585. The Committee has considered legitimate a legal provision that prohibited pickets from disturbing public order and threatening workers who continued work.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 434.)

586. Taking part in picketing and firmly but peacefully inciting other workers to keep away from their workplace cannot be considered unlawful. The case is different, however, when picketing is accompanied by violence or coercion of non-strikers in an attempt to interfere with their freedom to work; such acts constitute criminal offences in many countries.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 435; see also 294th Report, Case No. 1724, para. 367; and 294th Report, Cases Nos. 1687, 1691 and 1712, para. 621.)

587. The requirement that strike pickets can only be set up near an enterprise does not infringe the principles of freedom of association.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1430, para. 192.)

Wage deductions (Right to strike)

588. Salary deductions for days of strike give rise to no objection from the point of view of freedom of association principles.

(See 230th Report, Case No. 1171, para. 170; and 297th Report, Case No. 1770, para. 73.)

589. In a case in which the deductions of pay were higher than the amount corresponding to the period of the strike, the Committee recalled that the imposition of sanctions for strike action was not conducive to harmonious labour relations.

(See 283rd Report, Case No. 1479, para. 99.)

Sanctions (Right to strike)

1. In the event of a legitimate strike

590. No one should be penalized for carrying out or attempting to carry out a legitimate strike.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1755, para. 343.)

591. The dismissal of workers because of a strike, which is a legitimate trade union activity, constitutes serious discrimination in employment and is contrary to Convention No. 98.

(See 239th Report, Case No. 1271, para. 274.)

592. When trade unionists or union leaders are dismissed for having exercised the right to strike, the Committee can only conclude that they have been punished for their trade union activities and have been discriminated against.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 443.)

593. Respect for the principles of freedom of association requires that workers should not be dismissed or refused re-employment on account of their having participated in a strike or other industrial action. It is irrelevant for these purposes whether the dismissal occurs during or after the strike. Logically, it should also be irrelevant that the dismissal takes place in advance of a strike, if the purpose of the dismissal is to impede or to penalize the exercise of the right to strike.

(See 277th Report, Case No. 1540, para. 90.)

594. The Committee could not view with equanimity a set of legal rules which: (i) appears to treat virtually all industrial action as a breach of contract on the part of those who participate therein; (ii) makes any trade union or official thereof who instigates such breaches of contract liable in damages for any losses incurred by the employer in consequence of their actions; and (iii) enables an employer faced with such action to obtain an injunction to prevent the commencement (or continuation) of the unlawful conduct. The cumulative effect of such provisions could be to deprive workers of the capacity lawfully to take strike action to promote and defend their economic and social interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 363; and 277th Report, Case No. 1511, para. 236.)

595. In cases in which deductions of pay were higher than the amount corresponding to the period of the strike, the Committee has recalled that the imposition of sanctions for strike action is not conducive to harmonious labour relations.

(See 283rd Report, Case No. 1479, para. 99.)

596. The announcement by the government that workers would have to do overtime to compensate for the strike might in itself unduly influence the course of the strike.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1466, para. 150.)

597. The use of extremely serious measures, such as dismissal of workers for having participated in a strike and refusal to re-employ them, implies a serious risk of abuse and constitutes a violation of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 444.)

2. Cases of abuse while exercising the right to strike

598. The principles of freedom of association do not protect abuses consisting of criminal acts while exercising the right to strike.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1719, para. 668.)

599. Penal sanctions should only be imposed as regards strikes where there are violations of strike prohibitions which are themselves in conformity with the principles of freedom of association. All penalties in respect of illegitimate actions linked to strikes should be proportionate to the offence or fault committed and the authorities should not have recourse to measures of imprisonment for the mere fact of organizing or participating in a peaceful strike.

(See 265th Report, Case No. 1490, para. 241(b); 277th Report, Case No. 1549, para. 445; and 293rd Report (Measures taken by the Government of the Republic of South Africa to implement the recommendations of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association), para. 47.)

600. The Committee considered that some of the temporary measures taken by the authorities as a result of a strike in an essential service (prohibition of the trade union's activities, cessation of the check-off of trade union dues, etc.) were contrary to the guarantees provided for in Article 3 of Convention No. 87. The Committee drew the

Government's attention to the fact that the measures taken by the authorities to ensure the performance of essential services should not be out of proportion to the ends pursued or lead to excesses.

(See 234th Report, Case No. 1179, para. 299(a).)

3. In cases of peaceful strikes

601. The authorities should not resort to arrests and imprisonment in connection with the organization of or participation in a peaceful strike; such measures entail serious risks of abuse and are a grave threat to freedom of association.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1574, para. 221.)

602. No one should be deprived of their freedom or be subject to penal sanctions for the mere fact of organizing or participating in a peaceful strike.

(See 230th Report, Case No. 1184, para. 282; and 240th Report, Case No. 1304, para. 99.)

603. The peaceful exercise of trade union rights (strike and demonstration) by workers should not lead to arrests and deportations.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1378, para. 138.)

4. Large-scale sanctions

604. Arrests and dismissals of strikers on a large scale involve a serious risk of abuse, and place freedom of association in grave jeopardy. The competent authorities should be given appropriate instructions so as to obviate the dangers to freedom of association that such arrests and dismissals involve.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 442.)

Discrimination in favour of non-strikers (Right to strike)

605. Concerning the measures applied by the ministry of education to compensate the teachers who did not participate in the strike by granting wage increments, the Committee considers that such discriminatory practices constitute a major obstacle to the right of trade unionists to organize their activities.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1503, para. 118.)

Establishment of federations and confederations (Right of workers' and employers' organizations to establish federations and confederations and to affiliate with international organizations of employers and workers)

606. The principle laid down in Article 2 of Convention No. 87 that workers shall have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing implies for the organizations themselves the right to establish and join federations and confederations of their own choosing.

(See the Digest of 1985, para 506.)

607. The acquisition of legal personality by workers' organizations, federations and confederations shall not be made subject to conditions of such a nature as to restrict the exercise of the right referred to in the preceding paragraph.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 507.)

608. A workers' organization should have the right to join the federation and confederation of its own choosing, subject to the rules of the organizations concerned, and without any previous authorization. It is for the federations and confederations themselves to decide whether or not to accept the affiliation of a trade union, in accordance with their own constitutions and rules.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 521.)

609. A provision whereby a minister may, at his discretion, approve or reject an application for the creation of a general confederation is not in conformity with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 508.)

610. The question as to whether a need to form federations and confederations is felt or not is a matter to be determined solely by the workers and their organizations themselves after their right to form them has been legally recognized.

(See the Digest of 1985, para 509.)

611. The requirement of an excessively high minimum number of trade unions to establish a higher-level organization conflicts with Article 5 of Convention No. 87 and

with the principles of freedom of association.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1767, para. 298.)

612. Legislation which prevents the establishment of federations and confederations bringing together the trade unions or federations of different activities in a specific locality or area is incompatible with Article 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para 510.)

613. When only one confederation of workers may exist in a country, and the right to establish federations is limited to such federations as may be established by the unions mentioned in the law, as well as such new unions as might be registered with the consent of the minister, this is incompatible with Article 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para 511.)

614. All workers should have the right to engage freely in the defence and promotion of their economic and social interests through the central organizations of their own choice.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1040, para. 84.)

615. Importance has been attached by the Committee to the right to form federations grouping unions of workers engaged in different occupations and industries. In this connection, the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations pointed out, in respect of a provision of national law prohibiting organizations of public officials from adhering to federations or confederations of industrial or agricultural organizations, that it seemed difficult to reconcile this provision with Article 5 of Convention No. 87. It indicated, in the same observation, that while the legislation permitted organizations of public officials to federate among themselves and that the resulting federation would be the only one recognized by the State, these provisions did not appear to be compatible with Article 6 of the Convention, which refers to Article 2 of the Convention with respect to the establishment of federations and confederations and adherence to these higher organizations. According to these provisions of the Convention, trade union organizations should have the right to establish and to join federations or confederations "of their own choosing without previous authorization".

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 512.)

616. A provision prohibiting the establishment of federations by unions in different departments constitutes a restriction of the right of workers' organizations to establish

federations and confederations, recognized by Article 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 513.)

617. Conditions laid down by law for the establishment of federations, and in particular a condition that founding unions based in different provinces must first ask permission (which may be refused) from the minister, are incompatible with the generally accepted principles of freedom of association, which include the right of trade unions to establish and join federations of their own choosing.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 514.)

618. Any restriction, either direct or indirect, on the right of unions to establish and join associations of unions belonging to the same or different trades, on a regional basis, would not be in conformity with the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 515.)

619. The preferential rights granted to the most representative organizations should not give them the exclusive right to set up federations and affiliate with them.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 516.)

620. A government's refusal to permit agricultural unions to affiliate with a national centre of workers' organizations comprising industrial unions is incompatible with Article 5 of the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 517.)

Rights of federations and confederations (Right of workers' and employers' organizations to establish federations and confederations and to affiliate with international organizations of employers and workers)

621. In order to defend the interests of their members more effectively, workers' and employers' organizations should have the right to form federations and confederations of their own choosing, which should themselves enjoy the various rights accorded to first-level organizations, in particular as regards their freedom of operation, activities and programmes.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1704, para. 158.)

Affiliation with international organizations of workers and employers (Right of workers' and employers' organizations to establish federations and confederations and to affiliate with international organizations of employers and workers)

1. General principles

622. International trade union solidarity constitutes one of the fundamental objectives of any trade union movement and underlies the principle laid down in Article 5 of Convention No. 87 that any organization, federation or confederation shall have the right to affiliate with international organizations of workers and employers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 518.)

623. Unions and confederations should be free to affiliate themselves with international federations or confederations of their own choosing without intervention by the political authorities.

(See 260th Report, Cases Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, para. 31.)

624. Article 5 of the Convention - as is clear from the preparatory work on the instrument - merely gives expression to the fact that workers or employers are united by a solidarity of interests, a solidarity which is not limited either to one specific undertaking or even to a particular industry, or even to the national economy, but extends to the whole international economy. Furthermore, the right to organize corresponds to the practice followed by the United Nations and the International Labour Organization, both of which have formally recognized international organizations of workers and employers by associating them directly with their own activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 519.)

625. The Committee has emphasized the importance that it attaches to the fact that no obstacle should be placed in the way of the affiliation of workers' organizations, in full freedom, with any international organization of workers of their own choosing.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 520.)

626. The Committee considered that there might be justification for one complainant's contention that the principle of the right of workers' organizations to affiliate with

international organizations of workers includes by implication the right to disaffiliate from an international organization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 522.)

2. Intervention by the public authorities

627. Legislation which requires that government permission be obtained for the international affiliation of a trade union is incompatible with the principle of free and voluntary affiliation of trade unions with international organizations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 523.)

628. When a national organization seeks to affiliate with an international organization of workers, the conditions which the national organization attaches to its application and the question as to whether it agrees or disagrees with the international organization in its attitude to any political matter are matters which concern only the respective organizations themselves; while disagreement may influence the national organization in deciding whether to seek, maintain or withdraw from international affiliation, it should not form a basis for government intervention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 524.)

3. Consequences of international affiliation

629. Any assistance or support that an international trade union organization might provide in setting up, defending or developing national trade union organizations is a legitimate trade union activity, even when the trade union tendency does not correspond to the tendency or tendencies within the country.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1628, para. 1028.)

630. Legislation which provides for the banning of any organization where there is evidence that it is under the influence or direction of any outside source, and also for the banning of any organization where there is evidence that it receives financial assistance or other benefits from any outside source, unless such financial assistance or other benefits be approved by and channelled through government, is incompatible with the principles set out in Article 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 525.)

631. The granting of advantages resulting from the international affiliation of a trade

union organization must not conflict with the law, it being understood that the law should not be such as to render any such affiliation meaningless.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 526.)

632. Legislation prohibiting the acceptance by a national trade union of financial assistance from an international organization of workers to which it is affiliated infringes the principles concerning the right to affiliate with international organizations of workers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 527.)

633. Trade unions should not be required to obtain prior authorization to receive international financial assistance in their trade union activities.

(See 289th Report, Case No. 1594, para. 29(g); see also 284th Report, Case No. 1575, para. 915.)

634. The principle that national organizations of workers should have the right to affiliate with international organizations carries with it the right, for these organizations, to make contact with one another and, in particular, to exchange their trade union publications.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 528.)

635. The right to affiliate with international organizations of workers implies the right, for the representatives of national trade unions, to maintain contact with the international trade union organizations with which they are affiliated, to participate in the activities of these organizations and to benefit from the services and advantages which their membership offers.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 529.)

636. The right of national trade unions to send representatives to international trade union congresses is a normal corollary of the right of those national organizations to join international workers' organizations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 530.)

637. Leaders of organizations of workers and employers should enjoy appropriate facilities for carrying out their functions, including the right to leave the country when their activities on behalf of the persons they represent so require; moreover, the free movement of these representatives should be ensured by the authorities.

(See 222nd Report, Case No. 1114, para. 71; and 241st Report, Case No. 1317, para. 309.)

638. Visits to affiliated national trade union organizations and participation in their congresses are normal activities for international workers' organizations, subject to the provisions of national legislation with regard to the admission of foreigners.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 531.)

639. The corollary of the above principle is that the formalities to which trade unionists and trade union leaders are subject in seeking entry to the territory of a State, or in attending to trade union business there, should be based on objective criteria and be free of anti-union discrimination.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 532.)

640. The Committee has recognized that the refusal to grant a passport (or visa) to foreigners, or more generally the right to exclude persons from national territory, are matters which concern the sovereignty of a State.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 533.)

641. Although it recognizes that the refusal to grant visas to foreigners is a matter which falls within the sovereignty of the State, the Committee requests the Government to ensure that the formalities required of international trade unionists to enter the country are based on objective criteria free of anti-trade unionism.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1669, para. 332.)

642. The formalities required before trade unionists can leave a country in order to take part in international meetings should be based on objective criteria that are free of anti-union discrimination, so as not to involve the risk of infringing the right of trade union organizations to send representatives to international trade union congresses.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 534.)

643. In general, the authorities should not withhold official documents by reason of a person's membership in a workers' or employers' organization, as these documents are sometimes a prerequisite for important activities, for instance obtaining or maintaining employment. This is even more essential where persons hold a position in that organization, inasmuch as the refusal may prevent them from exercising their duties,

such as travelling to an official meeting.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1756, para. 418.)

644. The imposition of sanctions, such as banishment or control of overseas travel, for trade union reasons constitutes a violation of freedom of association.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1425, para. 441.)

645. Participation by unionists in international union meetings is a fundamental trade union right, and governments should therefore abstain from any measure, such as withholding travel documents, that would prevent representatives of workers' organizations from exercising their mandate in full freedom and independence.

(See 254th Report, Case No. 1406, para 470; and 283rd Report, Case No. 1590, para. 346.)

646. Participation in the work of international organizations must be based on the principle of the independence of the trade union movement. Within the framework of this principle, full freedom should be given to representatives of trade unions to take part in the work of the international workers' unions to which the organizations they represent are affiliated.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 535.)

647. As regards a prohibition against foreign representatives of international organizations taking the floor at trade union meetings, the Committee has emphasized the importance which it attaches to safeguarding the right of trade union assembly and the right of national trade union organizations to maintain relations with international occupational organizations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 536.)

648. In all cases governments have the right to take the necessary measures to guarantee public order and national security. This includes ascertaining the purpose of visits to the country by persons against whom there are grounds for suspicion from this point of view. The authorities should verify each specific case as quickly as possible and should aim - on the basis of objective criteria - at ascertaining whether or not there exist facts which might have real repercussions on public order and security. It would be desirable, in situations of this kind, to seek an agreement through appropriate discussions in which the authorities, as well as the leaders and organizations concerned, may clarify their positions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 537.)

Participation in ILO meetings (Right of workers' and employers' organizations to establish federations and confederations and to affiliate with international organizations of employers and workers)

649. The Committee strongly regretted that the arrest of a trade unionist as a result of an event arising directly from a strike should have had the effect of preventing a Worker member from attending a session of the Governing Body; it also considered that, once proceedings have been initiated, the independence of the judiciary cannot be invoked by the government as an excuse for the action which it itself has taken. The Committee, therefore, has drawn attention to the importance which the Governing Body attaches to the principle set forth in article 40 of the Constitution that members of the Governing Body shall enjoy such privileges and immunities as are necessary for the independent exercise of their functions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 672.)

650. It is important that no delegate to any organ or Conference of the ILO, and no member of the Governing Body, should in any way be hindered, prevented or deterred from carrying out their functions or from fulfilling their mandate.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 673.)

651. It is the duty of a government to refrain from taking measures calculated to hinder a delegate to an ILO Conference in the exercise of his functions, and to use its influence and take all reasonable steps to ensure that such a delegate is in no way prejudiced by his acceptance of functions as a delegate or by his conduct as a delegate; measures on other grounds should not be envisaged against him in his absence but should await his return, so that he may be in a position to defend himself.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 674.)

652. A government decision which requires workers' representatives wishing to attend an international meeting outside the country to obtain permission from the authorities in order to leave the country is not, in the case of members of the Governing Body, compatible with the principles set forth in article 40 of the ILO Constitution.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 675.)

653. In general, the refusal by a State to grant leave to one of its officials holding trade union office to attend an advisory meeting organized by the ILO does not constitute an

infringement of the principles of freedom of association, unless this refusal is based on the trade union activities or functions of the person concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 676.)

654. Participation as a trade unionist in symposia organized by the ILO is a legitimate trade union activity, and a government should not refuse the necessary exit papers for this reason.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 677.)

655. The Committee has reiterated the special importance it attaches to the right of workers' and employers' representatives to attend and to participate in meetings of international workers' and employers' organizations and of the ILO.

(See 262nd Report, Case No. 1406, para. 32(c).)

656. While the Committee has stated that workers and their organizations should respect the law of the land, it has also emphasized in cases such as the present one that it is important that no delegate to any organ or Conference of the ILO, and no member of the Governing Body, should in any way be hindered, prevented or deterred from carrying out their functions or from fulfilling their mandate.

(See, for example, 61st Report, Case No. 271, para. 50; 83rd Report, Case No. 399, para. 301; 217th Report, Case No. 1104, para. 315.)

657. Apart from the specific protection granted in conformity with article 40 of the Constitution of the ILO to members of the Governing Body so as to enable them to carry out their functions vis-à-vis the Organization in full independence, participation as a trade unionist in meetings organized by the ILO is a fundamental trade union right. It is therefore incumbent on the government of any member State of the ILO to abstain from any measure which would prevent representatives of a workers' or employers' organization from exercising their mandate in full freedom and independence. In particular, a government must not withhold the documents necessary for this purpose.

(See 254th Report, Case No. 1406, para. 470.)

658. The Committee considers that the prohibition on any individual, whether worker or employer, from participating more than once as a delegate or adviser to international labour conferences violates the principles of freedom of association, and particularly Articles 3 and 5 of Convention No. 87.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1544, para. 112.)

659. The question of representation at the International Labour Conference falls within the competence of the Conference Credentials Committee.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1608, para. 509.)

Voluntary dissolution (Dissolution and suspension of organizations)

660. Where the decision to dissolve a trade union organization was freely taken by a congress convened in a regular manner by all the workers concerned, the Committee was of the opinion that this dissolution, or any consequence resulting from it, would not be regarded as an infringement of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 501.)

Dissolution on account of insufficient membership (Dissolution and suspension of organizations)

661. A legal provision which obliges a trade union to dissolve if its membership falls below 20 or 40, depending on whether it is a works union or an occupational union, does not in itself constitute an infringement of the exercise of trade union rights, provided that such winding up is attended by all necessary legal guarantees to avoid any possibility of an abusive interpretation of the provision; in other words, the right of appeal to a court of law.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 502.)

662. In one case where the legislation required that there be at least 20 persons in order to found a union, and where a court had ordered the dissolution of a union of homeopathy workers because of the insufficient number of persons legally qualified to practice this profession, the Committee considered that the dissolution did not appear to constitute a measure which could be considered an infringement of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 503.)

663. In a case in which it concluded that the reduction in the number of union members to below the legal minimum of 25 was the consequence of anti-trade union dismissals or threats, the Committee requested the government, should it be concluded that these were anti-trade union dismissals and that the withdrawal from union membership of trade union leaders resulted from pressure or threats from the employer, to impose the penalties provided by the legislation, reinstate the dismissed workers in their jobs and permit the dissolved trade union to be reconstituted.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1764, para. 460.)

Dissolution and suspension by administrative authority (Dissolution and suspension of organizations)

664. Measures of suspension or dissolution by the administrative authority constitute serious infringements of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1556, para. 59.)

665. The administrative dissolution of trade union organizations constitutes a clear violation of Article 4 of Convention No. 87.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1592, para. 177.)

666. The Committee considers that the dissolution of trade union organizations is a measure which should only occur in extremely serious cases; such dissolutions should only happen following a judicial decision so that the rights of defence are fully guaranteed.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1581, para. 469; see also 279th Report, Case No. 1592, para. 177.)

667. To deprive many thousands of workers of their trade union organizations because of a judgement that illegal activities have been carried out by some leaders or members constitutes a clear violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 249th Report, Cases Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, para. 24.)

668. In a case where trade union status was withdrawn from a trade union organization, mainly because of irregularities in the financial management of the organization, the Committee considered that, if the authorities found irregularities which might be detrimental to the union's social funds, they should have taken legal action based on these irregularities against the persons responsible rather than adopt measures depriving the union of all possibility of action.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 492.)

669. The Committee has emphasized that the cancellation of registration of an organization by the registrar of trade unions is tantamount to the suspension or dissolution of that organization by administrative authority.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 489.)

670. Cancellation of a trade union's registration should only be possible through judicial channels.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 454.)

671. De-registration measures, even when justified, should not exclude the possibility of a union application for registration to be entertained once a normal situation has been re-established.

(See 244th Report, Case No. 1345, para. 186.)

672. Legislation which accords the minister the complete discretionary power to order the cancellation of the registration of a trade union, without any right of appeal to the courts, is contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 493.)

673. The Committee is deeply convinced that in no case does the solution to the economic and social problems besetting a country lie in isolating trade union organizations and suspending their activities. On the contrary, only through the development of free and independent trade union organizations and negotiations with these organizations can a government tackle such problems and solve them in the best interests of the workers and the nation.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 494.)

674. Development needs should not justify maintaining the entire trade union movement of a country in an irregular legal situation, thereby preventing the workers from exercising their trade union rights, as well as preventing organizations from carrying out their normal activities. Balanced economic and social development requires the existence of strong and independent organizations which can participate in this process.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 495.)

Dissolution by legislative measures or decree (Dissolution and suspension of organizations)

675. Dissolution by the executive branch of the government pursuant to a law conferring full powers, or acting in the exercise of legislative functions, like dissolution by virtue of administrative powers, does not ensure the right of defence which normal judicial procedure alone can guarantee and which the Committee considers essential.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 490.)

676. Noting that under a legal provision, the registration of existing trade unions was cancelled, the Committee considered that it is essential that any dissolution of workers' or employers' organizations should be carried out by the judicial authorities, which alone can guarantee the rights of defence. This principle, the Committee has pointed out, is equally applicable when such measures of dissolution are taken even during an emergency situation.

(See 221st Report, Case No. 1097, para. 86.)

Intervention by the judicial authorities (Dissolution and suspension of organizations)

677. In view of the serious consequences which dissolution of a union involves for the occupational representation of workers, the Committee has considered that it would appear preferable, in the interest of labour relations, if such action were to be taken only as the last resort, and after exhausting other possibilities with less serious effects for the organization as a whole.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 486.)

678. The suspension of the legal personality of trade union organizations represents a serious restriction on trade union rights and in matters of this nature the rights of defence can only be fully guaranteed through due process of law.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 496.)

679. Any measures of suspension or dissolution by administrative authority, when taken during an emergency situation, should be accompanied by normal judicial safeguards, including the right of appeal to the courts against such dissolution or suspension.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 497.)

680. Even if they may be justified in certain circumstances, measures taken to withdraw the legal personality of a trade union and the blocking of trade union funds should be taken through judicial and not administrative action to avoid any risk of arbitrary decisions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 498.)

681. If the principle that an occupational organization may not be subject to suspension or dissolution by administrative decision is to be properly applied, it is not sufficient for the law to grant a right of appeal against such administrative decisions; such decisions should not take effect until the expiry of the statutory period for lodging an appeal, without an appeal having been entered, or until the confirmation of such decisions by a judicial authority.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 499.)

682. Any possibility should be eliminated from the legislation of suspension or

dissolution by administrative authority, or at the least it should provide that the administrative decision does not take effect until a reasonable time has been allowed for appeal and, in the case of appeal, until the judicial authority has ruled on the appeal made by the trade union organizations concerned.

(See 265th Report, Cases Nos. 1434 and 1477, para. 496; and 284th Report, Case No. 1633, para. 388(a).)

683. Judges should be able to deal with the substance of a case to enable them to decide whether or not the provisions pursuant to which the administrative measures in question were taken constitute a violation of the rights accorded to occupational organizations by Convention No. 87. In effect, if the administrative authority has a discretionary right to register or cancel the registration of a trade union, the existence of a procedure of appeal to the courts does not appear to be a sufficient guarantee; the judges hearing such an appeal could only ensure that the legislation had been correctly applied. The same problem may arise in the event of the suspension or dissolution of an occupational organization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 500.)

Use made of the assets of organizations that are dissolved (Dissolution and suspension of organizations)

1. General principles

684. The Committee has accepted the criterion that, when an organization is dissolved, its assets should be provisionally sequestered and eventually distributed among its former members or handed over to the organization that succeeds it, meaning the organization or organizations which pursue the aims for which the dissolved union was established, and which pursue them in the same spirit.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 504; and 281st Report, Case No. 1508, para. 307.)

685. When a union ceases to exist, its assets could be handed over to the association that succeeds it or distributed in accordance with its own rules; but where there is no specific rule, the assets should be at the disposal of the workers concerned.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1581, para. 470.)

686. With regard to the transfer of assets in the event of liquidation, they must be, in the end, distributed to the members of the liquidated organization or transferred to the succeeding organization. It must be clearly understood however that this notion implies an organization which pursues, in the same spirit, objectives substantially comparable to those of the liquidated union.

(See 260th Report, Cases Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, para. 34.)

2. Transition to a situation of trade union pluralism

687. With regard to the issue of the distribution of trade union assets among various trade union organizations following a change from a situation of trade union monopoly to a situation of trade union pluralism, the Committee has emphasized the importance it attaches to the principle according to which the devolution of trade union assets (including real estate) or, in the event that trade union premises are made available by the State, the redistribution of this property must aim to ensure that all the trade unions are guaranteed on an equal footing the possibility of effectively exercising their activities in a fully independent manner. It would be desirable for the government and all the trade union organizations concerned to make efforts to conclude as soon as possible a definitive agreement regulating the distribution of the assets of the former trade union organization.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1637, paras. 79, 80 and 82.)

688. When examining a case concerning the devolution of assets of the trade union organizations in a former communist country undergoing democratization, the Committee invited the government and all the trade union organizations concerned to establish, as soon as possible, a formula to settle the question of the assignment of the funds in question so that the government could recover the assets that corresponded to the accomplishment of the social functions which it now exercised and all the trade union organizations were guaranteed on an equal footing the possibility of effectively exercising their activities in a fully independent manner.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1623, para. 513(a).)

689. The devolution of trade union assets and redistribution of trade union property should set out to ensure that all the trade unions are guaranteed on an equal footing the possibility of effectively exercising their activities in a fully independent manner.

(See, for example, 286th Report, Case No. 1623, para. 511; 287th Report, Case No. 1637, para. 80; and 291st Report, Case No. 1717, para. 387.)

General principles (Protection against anti-union discrimination)

690. No person shall be prejudiced in his employment by reason of his trade union membership or legitimate trade union activities, whether past or present.

(See the Digest of 1985, paras. 538 and 551.)

691. Protection against anti-union discrimination applies equally to trade union members and former trade union officials as to current trade union leaders.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1333, para. 855.)

692. The Committee is not called upon to pronounce upon the question of the breaking of a contract of employment by dismissal except in cases in which the provisions on dismissal imply anti-union discrimination.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 572.)

693. No person should be prejudiced in his or her employment by reason of membership of a trade union, even if that trade union is not recognized by the employer as representing the majority of workers concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 552.)

694. Protection against anti-union discrimination should apply more particularly in respect of acts calculated to cause the dismissal of or otherwise prejudice a worker by reason of union membership or because of participation in union activities outside the workplace or, with the employer's consent, during working hours.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 540.)

695. Protection against acts of anti-union discrimination should cover not only hiring and dismissal, but also any discriminatory measures during employment, in particular transfers, downgrading and other acts that are prejudicial to the worker.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 544; see also 294th Report, Case No. 1595, para. 583.)

696. No person should be dismissed or prejudiced in his or her employment by reason of trade union membership or legitimate trade union activities, and it is important to

forbid and penalize in practice all acts of anti-union discrimination in respect of employment.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1460, para. 63; 272nd Report. Case No. 1506, para. 132; and 295th Report, Case No. 1764, para. 461.)

697. Legislation should lay down explicitly remedies and penalties against acts of anti-union discrimination in order to ensure the effective application of Article 1 of Convention No. 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 543.)

698. Where a government has undertaken to ensure that the right to associate shall be guaranteed by appropriate measures, that guarantee, in order to be effective, should, when necessary, be accompanied by measures which include the protection of workers against anti-union discrimination in their employment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 539.)

699. In accordance with Convention No. 98, a government should take measures, whenever necessary, to ensure that protection of workers is effective, which implies that the authorities should refrain from any act likely to provoke, or have as its object, anti-union discrimination against workers in respect of their employment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 541.)

700. Since inadequate safeguards against acts of anti-union discrimination, in particular against dismissals, may lead to the actual disappearance of trade unions composed only of workers in an undertaking, additional measures should be taken to ensure fuller protection for leaders of all organizations, and delegates and members of trade unions, against any discriminatory acts.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 545.)

Acts of discrimination (Protection against anti-union discrimination)

701. No person should be prejudiced in his or her employment by reason of membership of a trade union, even if that trade union is not recognized by the employer as representing the majority of workers concerned.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 552.)

702. The dismissal of workers on grounds of membership of an organization or trade union activities violates the principles of freedom of association.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1510, para. 94.)

703. The necessary measures should be taken so that trade unionists who have been dismissed for activities related to the establishment of a union are reinstated in their functions, if they so wish.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1580, para. 158.)

704. The dismissal of workers because of a legitimate strike constitutes discrimination in employment.

(See 243rd Report, Case No. 1296, para. 276.)

705. Subcontracting accompanied by dismissals of union leaders can constitute a violation of the principle that no one should be prejudiced in his or her employment on the grounds of union membership or activities.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1437, para. 237(a); and 262nd Report, Case No. 1467, para. 224.)

706. In a case in which trade union leaders could be dismissed without an indication of the motive, the Committee requested the government to take steps with a view to punishing acts of anti-union discrimination and to making appeal procedures available to the victims of such acts.

(See 241st Report, Case No. 1287, para. 229.)

707. It would not appear that sufficient protection against acts of anti-union

discrimination, as set out in Convention No. 98, is granted by legislation in cases where employers can in practice, on condition that they pay the compensation prescribed by law for cases of unjustified dismissal, dismiss any worker, if the true reason is the worker's trade union membership or activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 547; 211th Report, Case No. 1053, para. 163; 241st Report, Case No. 1287, para. 227; 292nd Report, Case No. 1625, para. 70; and 295th Report, Case No. 1729, para. 36.)

708. Where public servants are employed under conditions of free appointment and removal from service, the exercise of the right to freely remove public employees from their posts should in no instance be motivated by the trade union functions or activities of the persons who could be affected by such measures.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 553.)

709. All practices involving the blacklisting of trade union officials or members constitute a serious threat to the free exercise of trade union rights and, in general, governments should take stringent measures to combat such practices.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1420, para. 233; 283rd Report, Case No. 1618, para. 452; 287th Report, Case No. 1618, para. 267; and 297th Report, Case No. 1618, para. 22.)

710. Workers face many practical difficulties in proving the real nature of their dismissal or denial of employment, especially when seen in the context of blacklisting, which is a practice whose very strength lies in its secrecy. While it is true that it is important for employers to obtain information about prospective employees, it is equally true that employees with past trade union membership or activities should be informed about the information held on them and given a chance to challenge it, especially if it is erroneous and obtained from an unreliable source. Moreover, in these conditions, the employees concerned would be more inclined to institute legal proceedings since they would be in a better position to prove the real nature of their dismissal or denial of employment.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1618, paras. 264 and 265.)

711. The practice of blacklisting workers seriously undermines the exercise of trade union rights.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1510, para. 522.)

712. A deliberate policy of frequent transfers of persons holding trade union office may seriously harm the efficiency of trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 560.)

713. With regard to special committees set up under a law with a view to granting or refusing the "certificates of loyalty" required of certain workers in public utility undertakings if they were to be engaged or retained in service, the Committee recalled the desirability of ensuring that the special committees in question should not be used in such a manner as to give rise to anti-union discrimination.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 574.)

714. Noting in one case that conditions approaching civil war prevailed, the Committee considered that special restrictions for the purpose of eliminating sabotage in public utility undertakings should not in any case be such as to give rise to anti-union discrimination.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 575.)

715. The government's obligations under Convention No. 98 and the principles on protection against anti-union discrimination cover not only acts of direct discrimination (such as demotion, dismissal, frequent transfer, and so on), but extend to the need to protect unionized employees from more subtle attacks which may be the outcome of omissions. In this respect, proprietorial changes should not remove the right to collective bargaining from employees, or directly or indirectly threaten unionized workers and their organizations.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1495, para. 244.)

716. Not only dismissal, but also compulsory retirement, when imposed as a result of legitimate trade union activities, would be contrary to the principle that no person should be prejudiced in his or her employment by reason of trade union membership or activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 550.)

717. In one case, the Committee found it difficult to accept as a coincidence unrelated to trade union activity that heads of departments should have decided, immediately after a strike, to convene disciplinary boards which, on the basis of service records, ordered the dismissal not only of a number of strikers, but also of the seven members of their union committee.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 555.)

718. Acts of anti-trade union discrimination should not be authorized under the pretext of dismissals based on economic necessity.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 549.)

719. The right of petition is a legitimate activity of trade union organizations and persons who sign such trade union petitions should not be reprimanded or punished for this type of activity.

(See 283rd Report, Case No. 1479, para. 97.)

720. In no case should it be possible to dismiss a trade union officer merely for having presented a list of dispute grievances; this constitutes an extremely serious act of discrimination.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1685, para. 446.)

721. In one case, the Committee expressed its concern at the fact that workers could lose their employment on account of absence from their jobs as a result of their having been arrested or sentenced because they were presumed or proved to have been engaging in activities which, under the national legislation, were deemed offences but which, according to generally recognized principles, should be considered as normal and lawful trade union activities. Not only did the workers lack protection against acts of anti-union discrimination in respect of their employment, but the law of the land itself impaired the essential guarantees in respect of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 548.)

722. It is inconsistent with the right to strike for an employer to be permitted to refuse to reinstate some or all of its employees at the conclusion of the strike, lockout or other industrial action without those employees having the right to challenge the fairness of that dismissal before an independent court or tribunal.

(See 277th Report, Case No. 1540, para. 92.)

723. Bipartite talks and the administrative procedure of permission to dismiss do not accord sufficient protection to workers against acts of anti-union discrimination when the legislation currently in force allows an employer merely to invoke "lack of harmony in the working relationship" to justify the dismissal of workers who only wish to exercise a fundamental right under the principles of freedom of association.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1756, para. 414.)

Trade union leaders and representatives (Protection against anti-union discrimination)

724. One of the fundamental principles of freedom of association is that workers should enjoy adequate protection against all acts of anti-union discrimination in respect of their employment, such as dismissal, demotion, transfer or other prejudicial measures. This protection is particularly desirable in the case of trade union officials because, in order to be able to perform their trade union duties in full independence, they should have a guarantee that they will not be prejudiced on account of the mandate which they hold from their trade unions. The Committee has considered that the guarantee of such protection in the case of trade union officials is also necessary in order to ensure that effect is given to the fundamental principle that workers' organizations shall have the right to elect their representatives in full freedom.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 556.)

725. The principle that a worker or trade union official should not suffer prejudice by reason of his or her trade union activities does not necessarily imply that the fact that a person holds a trade union office confers immunity against dismissal irrespective of the circumstances.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 558.)

726. Although the holder of trade union office does not, by virtue of his position, have the right to transgress legal provisions in force, these provisions should not infringe the basic guarantees of freedom of association, nor should they sanction activities which, in accordance with the principles of freedom of association, should be considered as legitimate trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 77.)

727. The Committee pointed out that one way of ensuring the protection of trade union officials is to provide that these officials may not be dismissed, either during their period of office or for a certain time thereafter except, of course, for serious misconduct.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 557.)

728. The dismissal of trade unionists for absence from work without the employer's permission, for example, to attend a workers' education course, does not appear in itself to constitute an infringement of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 554.)

729. According to the findings of a court, one of the essential reasons for the dismissal of a trade union official was that he performed certain trade union activities in his employer's time, using the personnel of his employer for trade union purposes and using his business position to exercise improper pressure on another employee - all this without the consent of his employer. The Committee considered that, when trade union activities are carried on in this way, it is not possible for the person concerned to invoke the protection of Convention No. 98 or to contend that, in the event of dismissal, his legitimate trade union rights have been infringed.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 559.)

730. In a case in which a trade union leader was dismissed and then reinstated a few days later, the Committee pointed out that the dismissal of trade union leaders by reason of union membership or activities is contrary to Article 1 of Convention No. 98, and could amount to intimidation aimed at preventing the free exercise of their trade union functions.

(See 233rd Report, Case No. 1207, para. 421.)

731. With regard to the reasons for dismissal, the activities of trade union officials should be considered in the context of particular situations which may be especially strained and difficult in cases of labour disputes and strike action.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 561.)

732. The Committee has drawn attention to Convention No. 135 and Recommendation No. 143 concerning the protection and facilities to be afforded to workers' representatives in the undertaking, adopted by the International Labour Conference in 1971, in which it is expressly established that workers' representatives in the undertaking should enjoy effective protection against any act prejudicial to them, including dismissal, based on their status or activities as workers' representatives or on union membership, or participation in union activities in so far as they act in conformity with existing laws or collective agreements or other jointly agreed arrangements.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 563.)

733. A deliberate policy of frequent transfers of persons holding trade union office may seriously harm the efficiency of trade union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 560.)

734. All practices involving the "blacklisting" of trade union officials constitute a serious threat to the free exercise of trade union rights and governments should take stringent measures to combat such practices.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 564; and 295th Report, Case No. 1732, para. 357.)

735. In a case involving a large number of dismissals of trade union leaders and other trade unionists, the Committee considered that it would be particularly desirable for the government to carry out an inquiry in order to establish the true reasons for the measures taken. (See the Digest of 1985, para. 565.)

736. The Committee has drawn attention to the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), which recommends, as one of the measures that should be taken to ensure the effective protection of workers' representatives, the adoption of provisions for laying on the employer, in the case of any alleged discriminatory dismissal or unfavourable change in the conditions of employment of a workers' representative, the burden of proving that such action was in fact justified.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 566.)

Need for rapid and effective protection (Protection against anti-union discrimination)

737. As long as protection against anti-union discrimination is in fact ensured, the methods adopted to safeguard workers against such practices may vary from one State to another; but if there is discrimination, the government concerned should take all necessary steps to eliminate it, irrespective of the methods normally used.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 571.)

738. The government is responsible for preventing all acts of anti-union discrimination and it must ensure that complaints of anti-union discrimination are examined in the framework of national procedures which should be prompt, impartial and considered as such by the parties concerned.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1510, para. 522.)

739. The basic regulations that exist in the national legislation prohibiting acts of anti-union discrimination are inadequate when they are not accompanied by procedures to ensure that effective protection against such acts is guaranteed.

(See 253rd Report, Case No. 1398, para. 242.)

740. The existence of basic legislative provisions prohibiting acts of anti-union discrimination is not sufficient if these provisions are not accompanied by effective procedures ensuring their application in practice. Thus, for example, it may often be difficult, if not impossible, for a worker to furnish proof of an act of anti-union discrimination of which he has been the victim. This shows the full importance of Article 3 of Convention No. 98, which provides that machinery appropriate to national conditions shall be established, where necessary, to ensure respect for the right to organize.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 567.)

741. Respect for the principles of freedom of association clearly requires that workers who consider that they have been prejudiced because of their trade union activities should have access to means of redress which are expeditious, inexpensive and fully impartial.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1471, para. 103.)

742. The existence of legislative provisions prohibiting acts of anti-union discrimination is insufficient if they are not accompanied by efficient procedures to ensure their implementation in practice.

(See 289th Report, Case No. 1594, para. 23; and 297th Report, Case No. 1618, para. 22.)

743. Legislation must make express provision for appeals and establish sufficiently dissuasive sanctions against acts of anti-union discrimination to ensure the practical application of Articles 1 and 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1714, para. 509; 294th Report, Case No. 1724, para. 368; and 295th Report, Case No. 1724, para. 22.)

744. Where a government has undertaken to ensure that the free exercise of trade union rights shall be guaranteed by appropriate measures, that guarantee, in order to be effective, should when necessary be accompanied by measures which include the protection of workers against anti-union discrimination in their employment.

(See 14th Report, Case No. 105, para. 137; and 259th Report, Case No. 1420, para. 234.)

745. The law should make expressed provision for the possibility to appeal against acts of anti-union discrimination by employers against workers and their organizations, as well as for penalties in this respect, in order to ensure the effectiveness in practice of Article 1 of Convention No. 98.

(See, for example, 279th Report, Case No. 1499, para. 203; 281st Report, Case No. 1574, para. 222; and 283rd Report, Case No. 1589, para. 316.)

746. The Committee has recalled the need to ensure by specific provisions accompanied by civil remedies and penal sanctions the protection of workers against acts of anti-union discrimination at the hands of employers.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1499 para. 474(e).)

747. A system of protection against anti-union practices which includes severe fines in the case of anti-union dismissals, administrative orders to reinstate workers so dismissed and the possibility of closing down the enterprise does not infringe Convention No. 98.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1460, para. 60; and 283rd Report, Case No. 1596, para. 372.)

748. No person should be dismissed or prejudiced in his or her employment by reason of trade union membership or legitimate trade union activities, and it is important to forbid and penalize in practice all acts of anti-union discrimination in respect of employment.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1460, para. 63; and 272nd Report, Case No. 1506, para. 132.)

749. Cases concerning anti-union discrimination contrary to Convention No. 98 should be examined rapidly, so that the necessary remedies can be really effective. An excessive delay in processing cases of anti-union discrimination, and in particular a lengthy delay in concluding the proceedings concerning the reinstatement of the trade union leaders dismissed by the enterprise, constitute a denial of justice and therefore a denial of the trade union rights of the persons concerned.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1435, para. 393; 277th Report, Cases Nos. 1435, 1446 and 1519, paras. 146 and 150; and 283rd Report, Case No. 1514, para. 121.)

750. Complaints against acts of anti-union discrimination should normally be examined by national machinery which, in addition to being speedy, should not only be impartial but also be seen to be such by the parties concerned, who should participate in the procedure in an appropriate and constructive manner.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 570.)

751. The Committee has recalled that the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association had stressed the importance of providing expeditious, inexpensive and wholly impartial means of redressing grievances caused by acts of anti-union discrimination; it has drawn attention to the desirability of settling grievances wherever possible by discussion without treating the process of determining grievances as a form of litigation, but the Commission has concluded, in cases where honest differences of opinion or viewpoint exist, that resort should be had to impartial tribunals or individuals as the final step in the grievance procedure.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 568.)

752. Besides preventive machinery to forestall anti-union discrimination (such as, for example, a request for the prior authorization of the labour inspectorate before dismissing a trade union leader) a further means of ensuring effective protection could

be to make it compulsory for each employer to prove that the motive for the decision to dismiss a worker has no connection with the worker's union activities.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 569.)

753. The Committee has considered that governments should take the necessary measures to enable labour inspectors to enter freely and without previous notice any workplace liable to inspection, and to carry out any examination, test or inquiry which they may consider necessary in order to satisfy themselves that the legal provisions - including those relating to anti-union discrimination - are being strictly observed.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1512. para. 559.)

754. Where cases of alleged anti-union discrimination are involved, the competent authorities dealing with labour issues should begin an inquiry immediately and take suitable measures to remedy any effects of anti-union discrimination brought to their attention.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1510, para. 95.)

Reinstatement of trade unionists in their jobs (Protection against anti-union discrimination)

755. No one should be subjected to anti-union discrimination because of his or her legitimate trade union activities and the remedy of reinstatement should be available to those who were victims of anti-union discrimination.

(See 297th Report, Cases Nos. 1678, 1695 and 1781, para. 426.)

756. In cases of the dismissal of trade unionists on the grounds of their trade union membership or activities, the Committee has requested the government to take the necessary measures to enable trade union leaders and members who had been dismissed due to their legitimate trade union activities to secure reinstatement in their jobs and to ensure the application against the enterprises concerned of the corresponding legal sanctions.

(See 297th Report, Cases Nos. 1693, 1754 and 1757, para. 187; 297th Report, Case No. 1784, para. 314; and 297th Report, Case No. 1787, para. 480.)

757. The necessary measures should be taken so that trade unionists who have been dismissed for activities related to the establishment of a union are reinstated in their functions, if they so wish.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1580, para. 158.)

Discrimination against employers (Protection against anti-union discrimination)

758. In relation to allegations concerning discrimination against employers' leaders on the grounds of agrarian reform, the Committee considered that the provisions concerning compensation for land expropriation should be reviewed to make sure that there is real and fair compensation for the losses thus sustained by owners, and that the Government should reopen the compensation files if so requested by persons who consider they have been dispoiled in the agrarian reform process.

(See 261st Report, Cases Nos. 1129, 1298, 1344, 1442 and 1454, para. 29.)

General principles (Protection against acts of interference)

759. Article 2 of Convention No. 98 establishes the total independence of workers' organizations from employers in exercising their activities.

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1568, para. 379.)

760. As regards allegations of anti-union tactics carried out by an enterprise, in the form of bribes offered to union members to encourage their withdrawal from the union and the presentation of statements of resignation to the workers, as well as the alleged efforts made to create puppet unions, the Committee considers such acts to be contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 98, which provides that workers' and employers' organizations shall enjoy adequate protection against any acts of interference by each other or each other's agents in their establishment, functioning or administration.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1726, para. 418.)

761. Respect for the principles of freedom of association requires that the public authorities exercise great restraint in relation to intervention in the internal affairs of trade unions. It is even more important that employers exercise restraint in this regard. They should not, for example, do anything which might seem to favour one group within a union at the expense of another.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1479, para. 387.)

762. Where legislation does not contain specific provisions for the protection of workers' organizations from acts of interference by employers and their organizations (and provides that any case not provided for by the legislation should be decided, *inter alia*, in accordance with the provisions laid down in the Conventions and Recommendations adopted by the International Labour Organization, in so far as they are not contrary to laws of the country, and in accordance with Convention No. 98, by virtue of its ratification), it would be appropriate for the government to examine the possibility of adopting clear and precise provisions ensuring the adequate protection of workers' organizations against these acts of interference.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 576.)

763. The existence of legislative provisions prohibiting acts of interference on the part of the authorities, or by organizations of workers and employers in each other's affairs, is insufficient if they are not accompanied by efficient procedures to ensure their

implementation in practice.

(See 289th Report, Case No. 1594, para. 23; and 297th Report, Case No. 1618, para. 22.)

764. Legislation must make express provision for appeals and establish sufficiently dissuasive sanctions against acts of interference by employers against workers and workers' organizations to ensure the practical application of Article 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 577; 292nd Report, Case No. 1714, para. 509; 294th Report, Case No. 1724, para. 368; and 295th Report, Case No. 1724, para. 22.)

765. With regard to the existence of two executive committees within a trade union, one of which is allegedly manipulated by the employer, the Committee recalled the need to lay down explicitly in legislation remedies and penalties for acts of interference by employers in workers' organizations in order to ensure the effective application of Article 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1435, para. 391.)

766. Attempts by employers to persuade employees to withdraw authorizations given to a trade union could unduly influence the choice of workers and undermine the position of the trade union, thus making it more difficult to bargain collectively, which is contrary to the principle that collective bargaining should be promoted.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 730.)

767. Legal provisions which allow employers to undermine workers' organizations through artificial promotions of workers constitute a violation of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1534, para. 472(b).)

768. In endorsing an observation made by the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations concerning a law, the Committee pointed out that it would be extremely difficult for a worker who was dismissed by an employer invoking, for example, "neglect of duty", to prove that the real motive for his dismissal was to be found in his trade union activities. Further, since lodging an appeal in this case did not suspend the decision taken, the dismissed trade union leader had, by virtue of the law, to resign his trade union post when he was dismissed. The Committee considered that the law therefore made it possible for managements of undertakings to

hinder the activities of a trade union, which is contrary to Article 2 of Convention No. 98, according to which workers' and employers' organizations shall enjoy adequate protection against any acts of interference by each other or each other's agents or members in their establishment, functioning or administration.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 579.)

769. The issue of circulars by a company requesting its employees to state to which trade union they belonged, even though this is not intended to interfere with the exercise of trade union rights, may not unnaturally be regarded as such an interference.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 578.)

770. The fact that one of the members of a government is at the same time a leader of a trade union which represents several categories of workers employed by the State creates a possibility of interference in violation of Article 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 580.)

771. Recalling the importance of the independence of the parties in collective bargaining, negotiations should not be conducted on behalf of employees or their organizations by bargaining representatives appointed by or under the domination of employers or their organizations.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 741(h).)

Solidarist associations (Protection against acts of interference)

Solidarist associations (Note 1)

1. Definition

772. An Act on solidarist associations provides that such associations may be formed by 12 or more workers, and defines them as follows: "Solidarist associations are bodies of indeterminate duration which have their own legal personality and which, to achieve their purposes (the promotion of justice and social peace, harmony between employers and workers and the general advancement of their members), may acquire goods of all kinds, conclude any type of contract and undertake legal operations of any sort aimed at improving their members' social and economic conditions so as to raise their standard of living and enhance their dignity. To this effect they may undertake savings, credit and investment operations and any other financially viable operations. They may also organize programmes in the areas of housing, science, sport, art, education and recreation, cultural and spiritual matters and social and economic affairs and any other programme designed legally to promote cooperation between workers and between workers and their employers." The income of solidarist associations comes from members' minimum monthly savings, the percentage of which shall be determined by the general meeting, and the employers' monthly contribution on behalf of his workers, which shall be determined by common agreement between the two sides.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1483, para. 441.)

773. Solidarist associations are associations of workers which are set up dependent on a financial contribution from the relevant employer and which are financed in accordance with the principles of mutual benefit societies by both workers and employers for economic and social purposes of material welfare (savings, credit, investment, housing and educational programmes, etc.) and of unity and cooperation between workers and employers; their deliberative bodies must be made up of workers, though an employers' representative may be included who may speak but not vote. In the Committee's opinion, although from the point of view of the principles contained in Conventions Nos. 87 and 98, nothing prevents workers and employers from seeking forms of cooperation, including those of a mutualist nature, to pursue social objectives, it is up to the Committee, in so far as such forms of cooperation crystallize into permanent structures and organizations, to ensure that the legislation on and the functioning of solidarist associations do not interfere with the activities and the role of trade unions.

(See 275th Report, Case No. 1483, para. 316.)

2. Safeguards to prevent them carrying out trade union activities

774. The provisions governing "solidarity" associations should respect the activities of trade unions guaranteed by Convention No. 98.

(See 240th Report, Case No. 1304, para. 94; and 259th Report, Case No. 1459, para. 304.)

775. The necessary legislative and other measures should be taken to guarantee that solidarist associations do not get involved in trade union activities, as well as measures to guarantee effective protection against any form of anti-union discrimination and to abolish any inequalities of treatment in favour of solidarist associations.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1483, para. 191(c).)

776. As regards allegations relating to "solidarism", the Committee recalls the importance it attaches, in conformity with Article 2 of Convention No. 98, to protection being ensured against any acts of interference by employers designed to promote the establishment of workers' organizations under the domination of an employer.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1459, para. 306(i).)

777. As regards allegations concerning the activities of solidarist associations aimed at thwarting trade union activities, the Committee drew the Government's attention to Article 2 of Convention No. 98, which provides that workers' and employers' organizations shall enjoy adequate protection against any acts of interference by each other and that measures designed to promote the establishment of workers' organizations under the domination of employers or employers' organizations, or to support workers' organizations by financial and other means, with the object of placing such organizations under the control of employers or employers' organizations, are specifically assimilated to such acts of interference.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1734, para. 384.)

778. The interference of solidarist associations in trade union activities, including collective bargaining, through direct settlements signed between an employer and a group of non-unionized workers, even when a union exists in the undertaking, does not promote collective bargaining as set out in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, which refers to the development of negotiations between employers or their organizations and workers' organizations.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1483, para. 188; and 281st Report, Case No. 1568, para. 188; and 281st Report, Case No. 1568, para. 380.)

779. Since solidarist associations are financed partly by employers, are comprised of workers but also of senior staff or personnel having the employers' confidence and are often started up by employers, they cannot play the role of independent organizations in the collective bargaining process, a process which should be carried out between an employer (or an employer's organization) and one or more workers' organizations totally independent of each other. This situation therefore gives rise to problems in the application of Article 2 of Convention No. 98, which sets out the principle of full independence of workers' organizations in carrying out their activities.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1483, para. 188; and 281st Report, Case No. 1568, para. 380.)

780. In relation to solidarist associations, the Committee emphasized the fundamental importance of the principle of tripartism advocated by the ILO, which presupposes organizations of workers and of employers which are independent of each other and of the public authorities. The Committee requested the Government to take measures, in consultation with the trade union confederations, to create the necessary conditions for strengthening the independent trade union movement and for developing its activities in the social field.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1483, para. 442.)

Note 1

The issues which have arisen in relation to solidarist associations in law and in practice in various countries include questions of anti-union discrimination and interference, and collective bargaining.

The right to bargain collectively (Collective bargaining)

781. Measures should be taken to encourage and promote the full development and utilization of machinery for voluntary negotiation between employers or employers' organizations and workers' organizations, with a view to the regulation of terms and conditions of employment by means of collective agreements.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1391, para. 82; and 295th Report, Case No. 1771, para. 494.)

782. The right to bargain freely with employers with respect to conditions of work constitutes an essential element in freedom of association, and trade unions should have the right, through collective bargaining or other lawful means, to seek to improve the living and working conditions of those whom the trade unions represent. The public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof. Any such interference would appear to infringe the principle that workers' and employers' organizations should have the right to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 583.)

783. Federations and confederations should be able to conclude collective agreements.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 582.)

784. The Committee has pointed out the importance which it attaches to the right of representative organizations to negotiate, whether these organizations are registered or not.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 588.)

Collective bargaining with representatives of non-unionized workers (Collective bargaining)

785. The Collective Agreements Recommendation, 1951 (No. 91), stresses the role of workers' organizations as one of the parties in collective bargaining; it refers to representatives of unorganized workers only when no organization exists. In these circumstances, direct negotiation between the undertaking and its employees, by-passing representative organizations where these exist, might be detrimental to the principle that negotiation between employers and organizations of workers should be encouraged and promoted.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 608.)

786. The Collective Agreements Recommendation, 1951 (No. 91) provides that: "for the purpose of this Recommendation, the term 'collective agreements' means all agreements in writing regarding working conditions and terms of employment concluded between an employer, a group of employers or one or more employers' organizations, on the one hand, and one or more representative workers' organizations, or, in the absence of such organizations, the representatives of the workers duly elected and authorized by them in accordance with national laws and regulations, on the other." In this respect, the Committee emphasized that the said Recommendation stresses the role of workers' organizations as one of the parties in collective bargaining. Direct negotiation between the undertaking and its employees, by-passing representative organizations where these exist, might in certain cases be detrimental to the principle that negotiation between employers and organizations of workers should be encouraged and promoted.

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, paras. 28 and 29.)

787. The Workers' Representatives Convention, 1971 (No. 135), and the Collective Bargaining Convention, 1981 (No. 154), contain explicit provisions guaranteeing that, where there exist in the same undertaking both trade union representatives and elected representatives, appropriate measures are to be taken to ensure that the existence of elected representatives in an enterprise is not used to undermine the position of the trade unions concerned.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1459, para. 305.)

788. The possibility for staff delegates who represent 10 per cent of the workers to conclude collective agreements with an employer, even where one or more

organizations of workers already exist, is not conducive to the development of collective bargaining in the sense of Article 4 of Convention No. 98; in addition, in view of the small percentage required, this possibility could undermine the position of the workers' organizations, contrary to Article 3, paragraph 2, of Convention No. 154.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 584.)

789. Recalling the importance of the independence of the parties in collective bargaining, negotiations should not be conducted on behalf of employees or their organizations by bargaining representatives appointed by or under the domination of employers or their organizations.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 741(h).)

790. The interference of solidarist associations in trade union activities, including collective bargaining, through direct settlements signed between an employer and a group of non-unionized workers, even when a union exists in the undertaking, does not promote collective bargaining as set out in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, which refers to the development of negotiations between employers or their organizations and workers' organizations. The Committee also considers that, since solidarist associations are financed partly by employers, are comprised of workers but also of senior staff or personnel having the employers' confidence and are often started up by employers, they cannot play the role of independent organizations in the collective bargaining process, a process which should be carried out between an employer (or an employers' organization) and one or more workers' organizations totally independent of each other. This situation therefore gives rise to problems in the application of Article 2 of Convention No. 98, which sets out the principle of the full independence of workers' organizations in carrying out their activities.

(See 278th Report, Case No. 1483, para. 188; and 281st Report, Case No. 1568, para. 380.)

791. Where an offer made directly by the company to its workers is merely a repetition of the proposals previously made to the trade union, which has rejected them, and where negotiations between the company and the trade union are subsequently resumed, the Committee considers that the complainants have not demonstrated in such a situation that there has been a violation of trade union rights.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 610.)

The workers and matters covered by collective bargaining (Collective bargaining)

792. Convention No. 98, and in particular Article 4 thereof concerning the encouragement and promotion of collective bargaining, applies both to the private sector and to nationalized undertakings and public bodies.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 597.)

793. All public service workers other than those engaged in the administration of the State should enjoy collective bargaining rights, and priority should be given to collective bargaining as the means to settle disputes arising in connection with the determination of terms and conditions of employment in the public service.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1557, para. 285(a).)

794. A distinction must be drawn between, on the one hand, public servants who by their functions are directly engaged in the administration of the State (that is, civil servants employed in government ministries and other comparable bodies), as well as officials acting as supporting elements in these activities and, on the other hand, persons employed by the government, by public undertakings or by autonomous public institutions. Only the former category can be excluded from the scope of Convention No. 98.

(See 243rd Report, Case No. 1348, para. 289.)

795. It is imperative that the legislation contain specific provisions clearly and explicitly recognizing the right of organizations of public employees and officials who are not acting in the capacity of agents of the state administration to conclude collective agreements. From the point of view of the principles laid down by the supervisory bodies of the ILO in connection with Convention No. 98, this right could only be denied to officials working in the ministries and other comparable government bodies but not, for example, to persons working in public undertakings or autonomous public institutions.

(See 240th Report, Case No. 1304, para. 90.)

796. The workers of state-owned commercial or industrial enterprises should have the right to negotiate collective agreements.

(See 259th Report, Cases Nos. 1429, 1436, 1436, 1457 and 1465, para. 677.)

797. The Committee has pointed out that Convention No. 98 applies to employees of the postal and telecommunications services.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 602.)

798. In a case in which an attempt was being made to give the workers in the National Bank private sector status, the Committee considered that it was not within its purview to express an opinion as to whether the workers should be given public law or private law status. Considering that Conventions Nos. 87 and 98 apply to all workers in the banking sector, however, the Committee expressed the hope that the right of bank employees would be recognized to conclude collective agreements and join the federations of their choosing.

(See 226th Report, Case No. 1181, para. 401.)

799. The preliminary work for the adoption of Convention No. 87 clearly indicates that "one of the main objects of the guarantee of freedom of association is to enable employers and workers to form organizations independent of the public authorities and capable of determining wages and other conditions of work by means of freely concluded collective agreements".

(Freedom of Association and Industrial Relations, Report VII, International Labour Conference, 30th Session, Geneva, 1947, p. 52; see also 262nd Report, Case No. 1458, para. 143.)

800. The staff of a national radio and television institute, a public undertaking, may not be excluded, by reason of their duties, from the principle concerning the promotion of collective bargaining.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 599.)

801. The ILO Tripartite Declaration of Principles concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy provides that special incentives to attract foreign investment should not include any limitation of the workers' freedom of association or the right to organize and bargain collectively. The Committee considers that legal provisions on export processing zones should ensure the right to organize and bargain collectively for workers.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1726, para. 409.)

802. No provision in Convention No. 98 authorizes the exclusion of staff having the

status of contract employee from its scope.

(See 273rd Report, Case No. 1521, para. 36.)

803. When examining legislation which made it possible to exclude seafarers not resident in the country from collective agreements, the Committee called on the Government to take measures to amend the Act so as to ensure that full and voluntary collective bargaining open to all seafarers employed on ships sailing under the national flag was once again a reality.

(See 262nd Report, Case No. 1470, para. 78(b).)

804. The Committee has drawn attention to the importance of promoting collective bargaining, as set out in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, in the education sector.

(See 244th Report, Case No. 1349, para. 205.).

805. Civil aviation technicians working under the jurisdiction of the armed forces cannot be considered, in view of the nature of their functions, as belonging to the armed forces and as such liable to be excluded from the guarantees laid down in Convention No. 98; the standards contained in Article 4 of the Convention concerning collective bargaining should be applied to them.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 603.)

806. Legislation excluding working time from the scope of collective bargaining, unless there is government authorization, would seem to infringe the right of workers' organizations to negotiate freely with employers the working conditions guaranteed under Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See 248th Report, Case No. 1370, para. 224.)

807. As regards the legislative ban on including secondary boycott clauses in collective agreements, the Committee has considered that restrictions on such clauses should not be included in the legislation.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1430, para. 195(g).)

808. In keeping with the principles of freedom of association, it should be possible for collective agreements to provide for a system for the collection of union dues, without interference by the authorities.

(See 289th Report, Case No. 1594, para. 24.)

809. Where job distribution is subject to legal restrictions, the Committee has drawn attention to the fact that such provisions may tend to prevent the negotiation by collective agreement of better terms and conditions, mainly concerning access to particular employment, and thereby to infringe the rights of the workers concerned to bargain collectively and to improve their working conditions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 625.)

810. Legislation amending collective agreements which have already been in force for some time, and which prohibits collective agreements concerning the manning of ships from being concluded in the future, is not in conformity with Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 628.)

811. Legislation establishing that the ministry of labour has powers to regulate wages, working hours, leave and conditions of work, that these regulations must be observed in collective agreements, and that such important aspects of conditions of work are thus excluded from the field of collective bargaining, is not in harmony with Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 629.)

812. With regard to allegations concerning the refusal to bargain collectively on certain matters in the public sector, the Committee has recalled the view of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association that "there are certain matters which clearly appertain primarily or essentially to the management and operation of government business; these can reasonably be regarded as outside the scope of negotiation". It is equally clear that certain other matters are primarily or essentially questions relating to conditions of employment and that such matters should not be regarded as falling outside the scope of collective bargaining conducted in an atmosphere of mutual good faith and trust.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 630.)

813. The determination of the broad lines of educational policy is not a matter for collective bargaining between the competent authorities and teachers' organizations, although it may be normal to consult these organizations on such matters.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 631.)

The principle of bargaining in good faith (Collective bargaining)

814. The Committee recalls the importance which it attaches to the obligation to negotiate in good faith for the maintenance of the harmonious development of labour relations.

(See 239th Report, Case No. 1206, para. 134.)

815. It is important that both employers and trade unions bargain in good faith and make every effort to reach an agreement; moreover genuine and constructive negotiations are a necessary component to establish and maintain a relationship of confidence between the parties.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1619, para. 360(a); 295th Report, Case No. 1718, para. 300; 275th Report, Case No. 1493, para. 142; and 295th Report, Case No. 1771, para. 494.)

816. The principle that both employers and trade unions should negotiate in good faith and make efforts to reach an agreement means that any unjustified delay in the holding of negotiations should be avoided.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1686, para. 292.)

817. While the question as to whether or not one party adopts an amenable or uncompromising attitude towards the other party is a matter for negotiation between the parties, both employers and trade unions should bargain in good faith making every effort to reach an agreement.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 589; 139th Report, Case No. 725, para. 279; 236th Report, Case No. 1275, para. 457, Case No. 1206, para 493 and Case No. 1291, para. 695; 259th Report, Case No. 1403, para. 81; 259th Report, Case No. 1309, para. 418; and 262nd Report, Case No. 1467, para. 226.)

818. Agreements should be binding on the parties.

(See 236th Report, Case No. 1206, para. 511.)

Recognition of the most representative organizations (Collective bargaining)

819. The Collective Bargaining Recommendation, 1981 (No. 163), enumerates various means of promoting collective bargaining, including the recognition of representative employers' and workers' organizations (paragraph 3(a)).

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 729.)

820. In a case where the right to represent all the employees in the sector in question appeared to have been granted to organizations which were representative only to a limited extent at the national level, the Committee considered that, if national legislation establishes machinery for the representation of the occupational interests of a whole category of workers, this representation should normally lie with the organizations which have the largest membership in the category concerned, and the public authorities should refrain from any intervention that might undermine this principle.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 611.)

821. Employers, including governmental authorities in the capacity of employers, should recognize for collective bargaining purposes the organizations representative of the workers employed by them.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 617.)

822. Recognition by an employer of the main unions represented in his undertaking, or the most representative of these unions, is the very basis for any procedure for collective bargaining on conditions of employment in the undertaking.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 618.)

823. Employers should recognize for the purposes of collective bargaining organizations that are representative of workers in a particular industry.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 619.)

824. The competent authorities should, in all cases, have the power to proceed to an objective verification of any claim by a union that it represents the majority of the workers in an undertaking, provided that such a claim appears to be plausible. If the union concerned is found to be the majority union, the authorities should take

appropriate conciliatory measures to obtain the employer's recognition of that union for collective bargaining purposes.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 620.)

825. If a union other than that which concluded an agreement has in the meantime become the majority union and requests the cancellation of this agreement, the authorities, notwithstanding the agreement, should make appropriate representations to the employer regarding the recognition of this union.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 621.)

826. If the authorities have the power to hold polls for determining the majority union which is to represent the workers for the purposes of collective bargaining, such polls should always be held in cases where there are doubts as to which union the workers wish to represent them.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 622.)

827. Where, under the system in force, the most representative union enjoys preferential or exclusive bargaining rights, decisions concerning the most representative organization should be made by virtue of objective and pre-established criteria so as to avoid any opportunities for partiality or abuse.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 623.)

828. While the public authorities have the right to decide whether they will negotiate at the regional or national level, the workers, whether negotiating at the regional or national level, should be entitled to choose the organization which shall represent them in the negotiations.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 607.)

829. Where the law of a country draws a distinction between the most representative trade union and other trade unions, such a system should not have the effect of preventing minority unions from functioning and at least having the right to make representations on behalf of their members and to represent them in cases of individual grievances.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 616.)

830. Where, under a system for nominating an exclusive bargaining agent, there is no union representing the required percentage to be so designated, collective bargaining rights should be granted to all the unions in this unit, at least on behalf of their own members.

(See 226th Report, Case No. 1158, para. 320.)

831. With regard to a provision that stipulates that a collective agreement may be negotiated only by a trade union representing an absolute majority of the workers in an enterprise, the Committee considered that the provision does not promote collective bargaining in the sense of Article 4 of Convention No. 98 and it invited the government to take steps, in consultation with the organizations concerned, to amend the provision in question, so as to ensure that when no trade union represents the absolute majority of the workers, the organizations may jointly negotiate a collective agreement applicable to the enterprise or the bargaining unit, or at least conclude a collective agreement on behalf of their members.

(See 298th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 20.)

832. A minimum membership requirement of 1,000 set out in the law for the granting of exclusive bargaining rights might be liable to deprive workers in small bargaining units or who are dispersed over wide geographical areas of the right to form organizations capable of fully exercising trade union activities, contrary to the principles of freedom of association.

(See 265th Report, Case No. 1385, para. 282(b).)

833. If there is no union covering more than 50 per cent of the workers in a unit, collective bargaining rights should nevertheless be granted to the unions in this unit, at least on behalf of their own members.

(See 260th Report, Cases Nos. 997, 999 and 1029, para. 37.)

834. It is not necessarily incompatible with the Convention to provide for the certification of the most representative union in a given unit as the exclusive bargaining agent for that unit. This is the case, however, only if a number of safeguards are provided. The Committee has pointed out that in several countries in which the procedure of certifying unions as exclusive bargaining agents has been established, it has been regarded as essential that such safeguards should include the following: (a) certification to be made by an independent body; (b) the representative organizations to be chosen by a majority vote of the employees in the unit concerned; (c) the right of an organization which fails to secure a sufficiently large number of votes to ask for a new election after a stipulated

period; (d) the right of an organization other than the certificated organizations to demand a new election after a fixed period, often 12 months, has elapsed since the previous election.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 237.)

835. The competent authorities should, in all cases, be able to proceed to an objective verification of any claim by a union that it represents the majority of the workers in an undertaking, provided that such a claim appears to be plausible.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 242.)

836. If there is a change in the relative strength of unions competing for a preferential right or the power to represent workers exclusively for collective bargaining purposes, then it is desirable that it should be possible to review the factual bases on which that right or power is granted. In the absence of such a possibility, a majority of the workers concerned might be represented by a union which, for an unduly long period, could be prevented - either in fact or in law - from organizing its administration and activities with a view to fully furthering and defending the interests of its members.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 241.)

837. Where the authorities have the power to hold polls for determining the majority union which is to represent the workers for the purposes of collective bargaining, such polls should always be held where there are doubts as to which union the workers wish to represent them.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 243.)

838. In order to encourage the harmonious development of collective bargaining and to avoid disputes, it should always be the practice to follow, where they exist, the procedures laid down for the designation of the most representative unions for collective bargaining purposes when it is not clear by which unions the workers wish to be represented. In the absence of such procedures, the authorities, where appropriate, should examine the possibility of laying down objective rules in this respect.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 244.)

839. In one case a Bill concerning negotiating committees for the public service provided for a count to be taken of the paid-up membership of the trade unions in order to determine their representative character, and for a verification of such representative character to be carried out by a board presided over by a magistrate (every six years or

at any time at the request of a union). The Committee considered that although, in general, a vote might be a desirable means of ascertaining how representative trade unions are, the inquiries provided for in the Bill seemed to offer strong guarantees of secrecy and impartiality which are indispensable in such an operation.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 245.)

840. The requirement established by law that a union has to establish its authority for all the workers it claims to represent in negotiations for a collective employment contract is excessive and in contradiction with freedom of association principles as it may be applied so as to constitute an impediment to the right of a workers' organization to represent its members.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 741(i).)

841. In so far as the persons who conclude collective agreements are trade union representatives, the requirement that they be approved by an absolute majority of the workers involved may constitute an obstacle to collective bargaining which is incompatible with the provisions of Article 4 of the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 585.)

842. The fact that a trade union organization is debarred from membership of joint committees does not necessarily imply infringement of the trade union rights of that organization. But for there to be no infringement, two conditions must be met: first, that the reason for which a union is debarred from participation in a joint committee must lie in its non-representative character, determined by objective criteria; second, that in spite of such non-participation, the other rights which it enjoys and the activities it can undertake in other fields must enable it effectively to further and defend the interests of its members within the meaning of Article 10 of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 612.)

843. In one case where the government, in the light of national conditions, had restricted the right to engage in collective bargaining to the two most representative national unions of workers in general, the Committee considered that this should not prevent a union representing the majority of workers of a certain category from furthering the interests of its members. The Committee recommended that the Government be requested to examine the measures that it might take under national conditions to afford this union the possibility of being associated with the collective bargaining process so as to permit it adequately to represent and defend the collective interests of its members.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 613.)

The principle of free and voluntary negotiation (Collective bargaining)

844. The voluntary negotiation of collective agreements, and therefore the autonomy of the bargaining partners, is a fundamental aspect of the principles of freedom of association.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1563, para. 372.)

845. Collective bargaining, if it is to be effective, must assume a voluntary character and not entail recourse to measures of compulsion which would alter the voluntary nature of such bargaining.

(See 211th Report, Cases Nos. 1035 and 1050, para. 110; and 238th Report, Case No. 1232, para. 46.)

846. Nothing in Article 4 of the Convention places a duty on the government to enforce collective bargaining by compulsory means with a given organization; such an intervention would clearly alter the nature of bargaining.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 614.)

847. The use of collective bargaining to settle problems of rationalization in undertakings and improve their efficiency may yield valuable results for both the workers and the undertakings. Nevertheless, if this type of collective bargaining has to follow a special pattern which imposes bargaining on the trade union organizations on those aspects determined by the labour authority and stipulates that the period of negotiation shall not exceed a specified time; and failing agreement between the parties, the points at issue shall be submitted to arbitration by the said authority, such a statutory system does not conform to the principle of voluntary negotiation which is the guiding principle of Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 594.)

848. A legal provision which allows the employer to modify unilaterally the content of signed collective agreements, or to require that they be renegotiated, is contrary to the principles of collective bargaining.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1731, para. 785.)

849. The opportunity which employers might have, according to the legislation, of

presenting proposals for the purposes of collective bargaining - provided these proposals are merely to serve as a basis for the voluntary negotiation to which Convention No. 98 refers - cannot be considered as a violation of the principles applicable in this matter.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 595.)

850. With regard to the ban on third party intervention in the settlement of disputes, the Committee is of the opinion that such an exclusion constitutes a serious restriction on the free functioning of trade unions, since it deprives them of assistance from advisers.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1629, para. 564.)

1. Level of bargaining

851. According to the principle of free and voluntary collective bargaining embodied in Article 4 of Convention No. 98, the determination of the bargaining level is essentially a matter to be left to the discretion of the parties and, consequently, the level of negotiation should not be imposed by law, by decision of the administrative authority or by the case-law of the administrative labour authority.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1450, para. 216.)

852. The determination of the bargaining level is essentially a matter to be left to the discretion of the parties. Thus, the Committee does not consider the refusal by employers to bargain at a particular level as an infringement of freedom of association.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 632.)

853. Legislation should not constitute an obstacle to collective bargaining at the industry level.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 633.)

854. The requirement of the majority of not only the number of workers, but also of enterprises, in order to be able to conclude a collective agreement on the branch or occupational level could raise problems with regard to the application of Convention No. 98.

(See 294th Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 22.)

855. The best procedure for safeguarding the independence of the parties involved in collective bargaining is to allow them to decide by mutual agreement the level at which bargaining should take place. Nevertheless, it appears that, in many countries, this question is determined by a body that is independent of the parties themselves. The Committee considers that in such cases the body concerned should be truly independent.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 634.)

856. Where a government seeks to alter bargaining structures in which it acts actually or indirectly as employer, it is particularly important to follow an adequate consultation process, whereby all objectives perceived as being in the overall national interest can be discussed by all parties concerned, in keeping with the principles established in the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113).

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1624, para. 227.)

857. Adequate consultation should be held prior to the introduction of legislation through which the government seeks to alter bargaining structures in which it acts actually or indirectly as employer.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1607, para. 594(c).)

2. Voluntary nature of procedures designed to facilitate bargaining

858. The bodies appointed for the settlement of disputes between the parties to collective bargaining should be independent, and recourse to these bodies should be on a voluntary basis.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 586.)

859. Certain rules and practices can facilitate negotiations and help to promote collective bargaining and various arrangements may facilitate the parties' access to certain information concerning, for example, the economic position of their bargaining unit, wages and working conditions in closely related units, or the general economic situation; however, all legislation establishing machinery and procedures for arbitration and conciliation designed to facilitate bargaining between both sides of industry must guarantee the autonomy of parties to collective bargaining. Consequently, instead of entrusting the public authorities with powers to assist actively, even to intervene, in order to put forward their point of view, it would be better to convince the parties to collective bargaining to have regard voluntarily in their negotiations to the major reasons put forward by the government for its economic and social policies of general interest.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1430, paras. 178 and 179.)

Restrictions on the principle of free and voluntary bargaining (Collective bargaining)

1. Compulsory arbitration

860. Recourse to compulsory arbitration in cases where the parties do not reach agreement through collective bargaining is permissible only in the context of essential services in the strict sense of the term (i.e. services the interruption of which would endanger the life, personal safety or health of the whole or part of the population).

(See 286th Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 461.)

861. The imposition of a compulsory arbitration procedure if the parties do not reach agreement on a draft collective agreement raises problems in relation to the application of Convention No. 98.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1617, para. 1006.)

862. Provisions which establish that, failing agreement between the parties, the points at issue in collective bargaining must be settled by the arbitration of the authority are not in conformity with the principle of voluntary negotiation contained in Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1450, para. 217.)

863. A provision which permits either party unilaterally to request the intervention of the labour authority for the settlement of the dispute may effectively undermine the right of workers to call a strike and does not promote voluntary collective bargaining.

(See 265th Report, Cases Nos. 1478 and 1484, para. 547.)

864. In one case, the Committee regretted that the government did not give priority to collective bargaining as a means of regulating the employment conditions in a non-essential service, but rather that it felt compelled to have had recourse to compulsory arbitration in the dispute in question.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1680, para. 157.)

865. The use of collective bargaining to settle problems of rationalization in undertakings

and improve their efficiency may yield valuable results for both the workers and the undertakings. Nevertheless, if this type of collective bargaining has to follow a special pattern which imposes bargaining on the trade union organizations on those aspects determined by the labour authority and stipulates that the period of negotiation shall not exceed a specified time; and failing agreement between the parties, the points at issue shall be submitted to arbitration by the said authority, such a statutory system does not conform to the principle of voluntary negotiation which is the guiding principle of Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 594.)

2. Intervention by the authorities in collective bargaining

(a) The drafting of collective agreements

866. The intervention by a representative of the public authorities in the drafting of collective agreements, unless it consists exclusively of technical aid, is inconsistent with the spirit of Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 592.)

867. Where intervention by the public authorities is essentially for the purpose of ensuring that the negotiating parties subordinate their interests to the national economic policy pursued by the government, irrespective of whether they agree with that policy or not, this is not compatible with the generally accepted principles that workers' and employers' organizations should enjoy the right freely to organize their activities and to formulate their programmes, that the public authorities should refrain from any interference which would restrict this right or impede the lawful exercise thereof, and that the law of the land should not be such as to impair or be so applied as to impair the enjoyment of such right.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 636.)

868. Legislation which permits the refusal to approve a collective agreement on grounds of errors of pure form is not in conflict with the principle of voluntary negotiation. If this legislation, however, implies that the filing of a collective agreement may be refused on grounds such as incompatibility with the general policy of the government, it would amount to a requirement that prior approval be obtained before a collective agreement can come into force.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 638.)

(b) Administrative approval of freely concluded collective agreements and the national economic policy

869. Legal provisions which make collective agreements subject to the approval of the ministry of labour for reasons of economic policy, so that employers' and workers' organizations are not able to fix wages freely, are not in conformity with Article 4 of Convention No. 98 respecting the promotion and full development of machinery for voluntary collective negotiations.

(See 258th Report, Cases Nos. 1129 and 1298, para. 51.)

870. The requirement of Cabinet approval for negotiated agreements and of conformity with the policy and guidelines unilaterally set for the public sector are not in full conformity with the principles of freedom of association, which apply to all workers covered by Convention No. 98.

(See 275th Report, Case No. 1505, para. 166(a).)

871. The requirement of previous approval by a government authority to make an agreement valid might discourage the use of voluntary collective bargaining between employers and workers for the settlement of conditions of employment. Even though a refusal by the authorities to give their approval may sometimes be the subject of an appeal to the courts, the system of previous administrative authorization in itself is contrary to the whole system of voluntary negotiation.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 635.)

872. Objections by the Committee to the requirement that prior approval of collective agreements be obtained from the government do not signify that ways could not be found of persuading the parties to collective bargaining to have regard voluntarily in their negotiations to considerations relating to economic or social policy of the government and the safeguarding of the general interest. But to achieve this it is necessary first of all that the objectives to be recognized as being in the general interest should have been widely discussed by all parties on a national scale through a consultative body in accordance with the principle laid down in the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113). It might also be possible to envisage a procedure whereby the attention of the parties could be drawn, in certain cases, to the considerations of general interest which might call for further examination of the terms of agreement on their part. However, in this connection, persuasion is always to be preferred to constraint. First, instead of making the validity of collective agreements subject to governmental approval, it might be provided that every collective agreement filed with the ministry of labour would normally come into force a reasonable length of

time after being filed; if the public authority considered that the terms of the proposed agreement were manifestly in conflict with the economic policy objectives recognized as being desirable in the general interest, the case could be submitted for advice and recommendation to an appropriate consultative body, it being understood, however, that the final decision in the matter rested with the parties to the agreement.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 644.)

873. The requirement of ministerial approval before a collective agreement can come into effect is not in full conformity with the principles of voluntary negotiation laid down in Convention No. 98. In cases where certain collective agreements contain terms which appear to conflict with considerations of general interest, it might be possible to envisage a procedure whereby the attention of the parties could be drawn to these considerations to enable them to examine the matter further, it being understood that the final decision thereon should rest with the parties. The setting up of a system of this kind would be in conformity with the principle that trade unions should enjoy the right to endeavour to improve, by means of collective bargaining, the conditions of living and of work of their members and that the authorities should abstain from any interference which might limit this right.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 643.)

874. A provision which establishes as a ground for refusing approval the existence in a collective agreement of a clause which interferes with "the right reserved to the State to coordinate and have the overall control of the economic life of the nation" involves the risk of seriously restricting the voluntary negotiation of collective agreements.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 637.)

(c) Administrative or legislative interventions which prevent compliance with currently applicable collective agreements or require the renegotiation of existing collective agreements

875. Repeated recourse to legislative restrictions on collective bargaining can only, in the long term, prejudice and destabilize the labour relations climate, if the legislator frequently intervenes to suspend or terminate the exercise of rights recognized for unions and their members. Moreover, this may have a detrimental effect on workers' interests in unionization, since members and potential members could consider useless joining an organization the main objective of which is to represent its members in collective bargaining, if the results of such bargaining are constantly cancelled by law.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1607, para. 589; and 284th Report, Case No. 1616, para.

637.)

876. The suspension or derogation by decree - without the agreement of the parties - of collective agreements freely entered into by the parties violates the principle of free and voluntary collective bargaining established in Article 4 of Convention No. 98. If a government wishes the clauses of a collective agreement to be brought into line with the economic policy of the country, it should attempt to persuade the parties to take account voluntarily of such considerations, without imposing on them the renegotiation of the collective agreements in force.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1684, paras. 127 and 128.)

877. While the Committee appreciates that the introduction of wage restraint measures must be timed in order to obtain the maximum impact on the economic situation, it nevertheless considers that the interruption of already negotiated contracts is not in conformity with the principles of free collective bargaining because such contracts should be respected.

(See 241st Report, Cases Nos. 1172, 1234, 1247 and 1260, para. 116.)

878. Legislation which obliges the parties to renegotiate acquired trade union rights is contrary to the principles of collective bargaining.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 462.)

879. In examining allegations of the annulment and forced renegotiation of collective agreements for reasons of economic crisis, the Committee was of the view that legislation which required the renegotiation of agreements in force was contrary to the principles of free and voluntary collective bargaining enshrined in Convention No. 98 and insisted that the government "should have endeavoured to ensure that the renegotiation of collective agreements in force resulted from an agreement reached between the parties concerned".

(See 281st Report, Case No. 1586, para. 434; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1684, para. 126.)

880. The harmonious development of labour relations would be facilitated if the public authorities, when dealing with the problems concerning the workers' loss of purchasing power, adopted solutions which did not involve modifications of agreements without the consent of both parties.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1502, para. 242.)

(d) Compulsory extension of the period for which collective agreements are in force

881. Referring to an Act on the extension of collective agreements which followed other government interventions in collective bargaining, the Committee pointed out that such action, involving as it did statutory intervention in the collective bargaining process, should only be taken in cases of emergency and for brief periods of time. The Committee hoped that in future no similar measures would be taken to interfere with free collective bargaining or to restrict the right of workers to defend their economic and social interests through industrial action.

(See 243rd Report, Case No. 1338, para. 267.)

(e) Restrictions imposed by the authorities on future collective bargaining

882. If, as part of its stabilization policy, a government considers that wage rates cannot be settled freely through collective bargaining, such a restriction should be imposed as an exceptional measure and only to the extent that is necessary, without exceeding a reasonable period, and it should be accompanied by adequate safeguards to protect workers' living standards.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 641.)

883. In a case in which, in the context of a stabilization policy, the provisions of collective agreements relating to remuneration were suspended (in the public and private sectors), the Committee emphasized that collective agreements which were in force should be applied fully (unless otherwise agreed by the parties). As for future negotiations, the only government interference acceptable must comply with the following principle: "if, as part of its stabilization policy, a government considers that wage rates cannot be settled freely through collective bargaining, such a restriction should be imposed as an exceptional measure and only to the extent that is necessary, without exceeding a reasonable period, and it should be accompanied by adequate safeguards to protect workers' living standards".

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 641.)

884. In any case, any limitation on collective bargaining on the part of the authorities should be preceded by consultations with the workers' and employers' organizations in an effort to obtain their agreement.

(See 277th Report, Case No. 1548, para. 128.)

885. In a case in which the government had, on many occasions over the past decade, resorted to statutory limitations on collective bargaining, the Committee pointed out that repeated recourse to statutory restrictions on collective bargaining could, in the long term, only prove harmful and destabilize labour relations, as it deprived workers of a fundamental right and means of defending and promoting their economic and social interests.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1758, para. 227.)

886. A three-year period of limited collective bargaining on remuneration within the context of a policy of economic stabilization constitutes a substantial restriction, and the legislation in question should cease producing effects at the latest, at the dates mentioned in the Act, or indeed, earlier if the fiscal and economic situation improves.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1722, para. 554(b).)

887. Restraints on collective bargaining for three years are too long.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1491, para. 74.)

888. Where wage restraint measures are taken by a government to impose financial controls, care should be taken to ensure that collective bargaining on non-monetary matters can be pursued and that unions and their members can fully exercise their normal trade union activity.

(See 241st Report, Cases Nos. 1172, 1234, 1247 and 1260, para. 117.)

889. The Committee is not mandated to decide on acceptable amounts of financial restraint, but where possible these measures should only extend to the sectors actually facing an emergency situation.

(See 272nd Report, Case No. 1491, para. 73.)

890. As regards the obligation for future collective agreements to respect productivity criteria, the Committee recalled that if, within the context of a stabilization policy, a government may consider for compelling reasons that wage rates cannot be fixed freely by collective bargaining (in the present case the fixing of wage scales excludes index-linking mechanisms and must be adjusted to increases in productivity), such a restriction should be imposed as an exceptional measure and only to the extent necessary, without exceeding a reasonable period and it should be accompanied by adequate safeguards to protect workers' living standards. This principle is all the more important because successive restrictions may lead to a prolonged suspension of wage negotiations, which

goes against the principle of encouraging voluntary collective negotiation.

(See 279th Report, Cases Nos. 1560 and 1567, para. 714; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1684, para. 129.)

(f) Restrictions on clauses to index wages to the cost of living

891. Legislative provisions prohibiting the negotiation of wage increases beyond the level of the increase in the cost of living are contrary to the principle of voluntary collective bargaining embodied in Convention No. 98; such a limitation would be admissible only if it remained within the context of an economic stabilization policy, and even then only as an exceptional measure and only to the extent necessary, without exceeding a reasonable period of time.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1540, para. 213; 283rd Report, Case No. 1614, paras. 62 and 63; and 286th Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 461.)

892. In a case where government measures had fixed the base reference for the indexation of wages, whereas the parties had fixed another indexation system, the Committee recalled that the intervention of a government in areas which traditionally have always been negotiated freely by the parties could call into question the principle of free collective bargaining recognized by Article 4 of Convention No. 98, if it is not accompanied by certain guarantees and in particular if its period of application is not limited in time.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 642.)

(g) Budgetary powers and collective bargaining

893. All public service workers other than those engaged in the administration of the State should enjoy collective bargaining rights and priority should be given to collective bargaining as the means to settle disputes arising in connection with the determination of terms and conditions of employment in the public service.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1557, paragraph 285(a).)

894. The reservation of budgetary powers to the legislative authority should not have the effect of preventing compliance with collective agreements entered into by, or on behalf of, that authority.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 604.)

895. The Committee has considered that the exercise of financial powers by the public authorities in a manner that prevents or limits compliance with collective agreements already entered into by public bodies is not consistent with the principle of free collective bargaining.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 640; 234th Report, Case No. 1173, para. 87; and 279th Report, Cases Nos. 1560 and 1567, para. 712; and 295th Report, Case No. 1775, para. 513.)

896. In so far as the income of public enterprises and bodies depends on state budgets, it would not be objectionable - after wide discussion and consultation between the concerned employers' and employees' organizations in a system having the confidence of the parties - for wage ceilings to be fixed in state budgetary laws, and neither would it be a matter for criticism that the Ministry of Finance prepare a report prior to the commencement of collective bargaining with a view to ensuring respect of such ceilings.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1617, para. 64; and 291st Report, Case No. 1694, para. 79.)

897. With regard to the requirement that draft collective agreements in the public sector must be accompanied by a preliminary opinion on their financial implications issued by the financial authorities, and not by the public body or enterprise concerned, the Committee noted that it was aware that collective bargaining in the public sector called for verification of the available resources in the various public bodies or undertakings, that such resources were dependent on state budgets and that the period of duration of collective agreements in the public sector did not always coincide with the duration of the State Budgetary Law - a situation which could give rise to difficulties. This body issuing the above opinion could also formulate recommendations in line with government economic policy or seek to ensure that the collective bargaining process did not give rise to any discrimination in the working conditions of the employees in different public institutions or undertakings. Provision should therefore be made for a mechanism which ensured that, in the collective bargaining process in the public sector, both trade union organizations and the employers and their associations were consulted and could express their points of view to the authority responsible for assessing the financial consequences of draft collective agreements. Nevertheless, notwithstanding any opinion submitted by the financial authorities, the parties to collective bargaining should be able to conclude an agreement freely.

(See 287th Report, Case No. 1617, paras. 63 to 65.)

898. The Committee is aware that collective bargaining in the public sector calls for verification of the available resources in the various public bodies or undertakings, that

such resources are dependent on state budgets and that the period of duration of collective agreements in the public sector does not always coincide with the duration of the State Budgetary Law - a situation which can give rise to difficulties. In so far as the income of public enterprises and bodies depends on state budgets, it would not be objectionable - after wide discussion and consultation between the concerned employers' and employees' organizations in a system having the confidence of the parties - for wage ceilings to be fixed in state budgetary laws, and neither would it be a matter for criticism that the Ministry of Finance prepare a report prior to the commencement of collective bargaining with a view to ensuring respect of such ceilings. Irrespective of any opinion expressed by the financial authorities, the bargaining parties should be free to reach an agreement of their own choosing; if this is not possible, any exercise by the public authorities of their prerogatives in financial matters which hampers the free negotiation of collective agreements is incompatible with the principle of freedom of collective bargaining. In the light of the above, provision should be made for a mechanism which ensures that, as regards the collective bargaining process in state-owned enterprises, both the trade union organizations and the employers are adequately consulted and may express their points of view to the financial authority responsible for the wage policy of state-owned enterprises.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1731, para. 778.)

899. The Committee has endorsed the point of view expressed by the Committee of Experts in its 1994 General Survey:

While the principle of autonomy of the parties to collective bargaining is valid as regards public servants covered by Convention No. 151, the special characteristics of the public service described above require some flexibility in its application. Thus, in the view of the Committee, legislative provisions which allow Parliament or the competent budgetary authority to set upper and lower limits for wage negotiations or to establish an overall "budgetary package" within which the parties may negotiate terms and conditions of employment clauses (for example: reduction of working hours or other arrangements, varying wage increases according to levels of remuneration, fixing a timetable for readjustment provisions), or those which give the financial authorities the right to participate in collective bargaining alongside the direct employer, are compatible with the Convention, provided they leave a significant role to collective bargaining. It is essential, however, that workers and their organizations be able to participate fully and meaningfully in designing this overall bargaining framework, which implies in particular that they must have access to all the financial, budgetary and other data enabling them to assess the situation on the basis of the facts.

This is not the case of legislative provisions which, on the grounds of the economic situation of a country, impose unilaterally, for example, a specific percentage increase and rule out any possibility of bargaining, in particular by prohibiting the exercise of

means of pressure subject to the application of severe sanctions. The Committee is aware that collective bargaining in the public sector "calls for verification of the available resources in the various public bodies or undertakings, that such resources are dependent on state budgets and that the period of duration of collective agreements in the public sector does not always coincide with the duration of budgetary laws - a situation which can give rise to difficulties". The Committee therefore takes full account of the serious financial and budgetary difficulties facing governments, particularly during periods of prolonged and widespread economic stagnation. However, it considers that the authorities should give preference as far as possible to collective bargaining in determining the conditions of employment of public servants; where the circumstances rule this out, measures of this kind should be limited in time and protect the standard of living of the workers who are the most affected. In other words, a fair and reasonable compromise should be sought between the need to preserve as far as possible the autonomy of the parties to bargaining, on the one hand, and measures which must be taken by governments to overcome their budgetary difficulties, on the other.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1758, para. 228; and 297th Report, Cases Nos. 1779 and 1801, para. 265.)

900. In contexts of economic stabilization, priority should be given to collective bargaining as a means of determining employment conditions of public servants, rather than adopting legislation to restrain wages in the public sector.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1606, para. 548(a); 284th Report, Cases Nos. 1605, para. 505(a), and 1616, para. 641(a); 292nd Report, Case No. 1722, para. 549; and 297th Report, Cases Nos. 1779 and 1801, para. 267.)

901. The Committee deplored that, despite its previous calls to the government to refrain from intervening in the collective bargaining process, it once again failed to give priority to collective bargaining as a means of negotiating a change in the employment conditions of public servants, and that the legislative authority felt compelled to adopt the Public Sector Reduced Work-week and Compensation Management Act, particularly in view of the fact that this Act followed immediately the previous legislative intervention which had frozen public sector wages for one year.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1715, para. 196(a).)

(h) Other forms of intervention by the authorities

902. In one case it was alleged that Article 4 of Convention No. 98 had been infringed because, when lengthy negotiations had reached a deadlock, the Government gave effect to the claims of the union by an enactment. The Committee pointed out that such

an argument would, if carried to its logical conclusion, mean that, in nearly every country where the workers were not sufficiently strongly organized to obtain a minimum wage, and that this standard was prescribed by law, Article 4 of Convention No. 98 would be infringed. Such an argument would clearly be untenable. If a government, however, adopted a systematic policy of granting by law what the unions could not obtain by negotiation, the situation might call for reappraisal.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 626.)

903. In a case in which general wage increases in the private sector were established by law, which were added to the increases agreed upon in collective agreements, the Committee drew to the Government's attention the fact that the harmonious development of industrial relations would be promoted if the public authorities, in tackling problems relating to the loss of the workers' purchasing power, were to adopt solutions which did not entail modifications of what had been agreed upon between workers' and employers' organizations without the consent of both parties.

(See 211th Report, Case No. 1052, para. 158.)

Time-limits for bargaining (Collective bargaining)

904. In one case where the legislation contained a provision whereby a time-limit of up to 105 days was fixed, within which employers had to reply to proposals by the workers, and a time-limit of six months fixed within which collective agreements had to be concluded (which could be prolonged, once, for a further six months), the Committee expressed the view that it would be desirable to reduce these periods in order to encourage and promote the development of voluntary negotiation, particularly in view of the fact that the workers in the country in question were unable to take strike action.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 587.)

Duration of collective agreements (Collective bargaining)

905. The Committee has considered that amendments removing the upper limit on the term of collective agreements, and their effect on the time periods for assessing representativity, collective bargaining, change of union allegiance and affiliation, do not constitute a violation of the principles of freedom of association. However, the Committee is aware that, at least potentially, the possibility of concluding collective agreements for a very long term entails a risk that a union with borderline representativity may be tempted to consolidate its position by accepting an agreement for a longer term to the detriment of the workers' genuine interests.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1743, para. 84.)

Extension of collective agreements (Collective bargaining)

906. In a case where the public authorities decreed the extension of collective agreements when current collective agreements had been concluded by minority organizations in the face of opposition by an organization which allegedly represented the large majority of workers in the sector, the Committee considered that the Government could have carried out an objective appraisal of representativity of the occupational associations in question since, in the absence of such appraisal, the extension of an agreement could be imposed on an entire sector of activity contrary to the views of the majority organization representing the workers in the category covered by the extended agreement, and thereby limiting the right of free collective bargaining of that majority organization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 609.)

907. Any extension of collective agreements should take place subject to tripartite analysis of the consequences it would have on the sector to which it is applied.

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 31.)

908. When the extension of the agreement applies to non-member workers of enterprises covered by the collective agreement, this situation in principle does not contradict the principles of freedom of association, in so far as under the law it is the most representative organization that negotiates on behalf of all workers, and the enterprises are not composed of several establishments (a situation in which the decision respecting extension should be left to the parties).

(See 290th Report, Case No. 1612, para. 30.)

909. The extension of an agreement to an entire sector of activity contrary to the views of the organization representing most of the workers in a category covered by the extended agreement is liable to limit the right of free collective bargaining of that majority organization. This system makes it possible to extend agreements containing provisions which might result in a worsening of the conditions of employment of the category of workers concerned.

(See, for example, 217th Report, Case No. 1087, para 223; 250th Report, Case No. 1364, para. 136; 254th Report, Case No. 1418, para. 225; and 292nd Report, Case No. 1725, para. 227.)

Relationship between individual employment contracts and collective agreements (Collective bargaining)

910. The relationship between individual employment contracts and collective agreements, and in particular the possibility that the former may override certain clauses in the latter under specific conditions, is dealt with differently in the various countries and under the various types of collective bargaining systems concerned. The basic task of the Committee is to decide whether the facts of the case are compatible with the Conventions and principles concerning freedom of association. In a case in which the relationship between individual contracts and the collective agreement seems to have been agreed between the employer and the trade union organizations, the Committee considered that the case did not call for further examination.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1472, para. 44.)

911. In one case, the Committee found it difficult to reconcile the equal status given in the law to individual and collective contracts with the ILO principles on collective bargaining, according to which the full development and utilization of machinery for voluntary negotiation between employers or employers' organizations and workers' organizations should be encouraged and promoted, with a view to the regulation of terms and conditions of employment by means of collective agreements. In effect, it seemed that the Act allowed collective bargaining by means of collective agreements, along with other alternatives, rather than promoting and encouraging it.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1698, para. 255.)

912. With regard to temporary job offers in the public sector to combat unemployment, in which the wages were not determined under the terms of the collective agreements governing remuneration of regular employees, the Committee expressed the hope that the Government would ensure that, in practice, the job offer remained of a limited duration and did not become an opportunity to fill permanent posts with unemployed persons, restricted in their right to bargain collectively as regards their remuneration.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1641, paras. 74 and 75.)

Incentives to workers to give up the right to collective bargaining (Collective bargaining)

913. When examining various cases in which workers who refused to give up the right to collective negotiation were denied a wage rise, the Committee considered that it raised significant problems of compatibility with the principles of freedom of association, in particular as regards Article 1(2)(b) of Convention No. 98. In addition, such a provision can hardly be said to constitute a measure to "encourage and promote the full development and utilization of machinery for voluntary negotiation ... with a view to the regulation of terms and conditions of employment by means of collective agreements", as provided in Article 4 of Convention No. 98.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1730, para. 202.)

Closure of the enterprise and application of the collective agreement (Collective bargaining)

914. The closing of an enterprise should not in itself result in the extinction of the obligations resulting from the collective agreement, in particular as regards compensation in the case of dismissal.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1673, para. 150.)

Relationship between ILO Conventions (Collective bargaining)

915. Convention No. 151, which was intended to complement the Right to Organize and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98), by laying down certain provisions concerning, in particular, protection against anti-union discrimination and the determination of terms and conditions of employment for the public service as a whole, does not in any way contradict or dilute the basic right of association guaranteed to all workers by virtue of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 209.)

916. The Committee has considered it useful to recall that, under the terms of the Labour Relations (Public Service) Convention, 1978 (No. 151) (Article 7) "measures appropriate to national conditions shall be taken, where necessary, to encourage and promote the full development and utilization of machinery for negotiation of terms and conditions of employment between the public authorities concerned and public employees' organizations, or of such other methods as will allow representatives of public employees to participate in the determination of these matters".

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 605; and 275th Report, Case No. 1493, para. 142.)

917. Referring to Article 8 of Convention No. 151 concerning the settlement of disputes, the Committee has recalled that, in view of the preparatory work which preceded the adoption of the Convention, this Article has been interpreted as giving a choice between negotiation or other procedures (such as mediation, conciliation and arbitration) in settling disputes. The Committee has stressed the importance of the principle contained in Article 8 of Convention No. 151.

(See 222nd Report, Case No. 1147, para. 120.)

918. The Committee has pointed out that Article 8 of Convention No. 151 allows a certain flexibility in the choice of procedures for the settlement of disputes concerning public servants on condition that the confidence of the parties involved is ensured. The Committee itself has stated in relation to grievances concerning anti-union practices in both the public and private sectors that such complaints should normally be examined by national machinery which, in addition to being speedy, should not only be impartial but should also be seen to be such by the parties concerned.

(See 226th Report, Case No. 1113, para. 203.)

919. The Committee cannot accept that Convention No. 151, which was intended to complement the Right to Organize and Collective Bargaining Convention, 1949 (No. 98), by laying down certain provisions concerning, in particular, protection against anti-union discrimination and the determination of terms and conditions of employment as these relate to the public service in general, in any way contradicts or dilutes the basic rights of association guaranteed to all workers by virtue of Convention No. 87.

(See 234th Report, Case No. 1391, para. 363.)

920. The Committee acknowledges that the special nature of the functions of public servants engaged in the administration of the State and, in particular, the fact that their terms and conditions of employment may be determined otherwise than by a free collective bargaining process, was recognized by Convention No. 98, and that Convention No. 151, which was intended to make more specific provision for that category of public servants who were excluded from the scope of Convention No. 98, recognized that certain categories of public servants (including those in highly confidential positions) may be excluded from the more general provisions guaranteeing to public servants protection against acts of anti-union discrimination or ensuring the existence of methods of participation in the determination of their conditions of employment. In the opinion of the Committee, however, the exclusion of certain categories of workers in Conventions Nos. 98 and 151 cannot be interpreted as affecting or minimizing in any way the basic right to organize of all workers guaranteed by Convention No. 87. Nothing in either Convention No. 98 or Convention No. 151 indicates an intention to limit the scope of Convention No. 87. On the contrary, both the terms of these Conventions and the preparatory work leading to the adoption of Convention No. 98 show a contrary intention.

(See 234th Report, Case No. 1391, para. 363.)

921. The Committee has drawn attention to the terms of Article 6 of Convention No. 98, which provide that "this Convention does not deal with the protection of public servants engaged in the administration of the State nor shall it be construed as prejudicing their rights or status in any way". Unlike Article 5 of the Convention (dealing with the armed forces and the police), Article 6, in providing that the Convention shall not be construed as in any way prejudicing the rights or the status of public servants, at the same time removed the possible conflict between the Convention and Convention No. 87 and expressly preserved the rights of public servants, including those guaranteed in Convention No. 87. The argument that the effect of the provisions of Convention No. 87 is limited if reference is made to Article 6 of Convention No. 98 conflicts with the express terms of that Article. Likewise, Article 1, paragraph 1, of Convention No. 151, provides that the Convention applies to all persons employed by the public authorities "to the extent that more favourable provisions in other international labour Conventions are not applicable to them". If, therefore, Convention No. 98 left intact the rights granted to

public servants by Convention No. 87, it follows that Convention No. 151 has not impaired them either.

(See 234th Report, Case No. 1261, paras. 365 and 366.)

922. Article 4 of Convention No. 98 offers more favourable provisions than Article 7 of Convention No. 151 in a branch of activity such as that of public education, where both Conventions are applicable, since it includes the concept of voluntary negotiation and the independence of the negotiating parties. In such cases, taking into account Article 1 of Convention No. 151, Article 4 of Convention No. 98 should be applicable in preference to Article 7 of Convention No. 151, which calls upon the public authorities to promote collective bargaining either by means of procedures that make such bargaining possible, or by such other methods as will allow public servants to participate in the determination of their terms and conditions of employment.

(See 256th Report, Case No. 1391, para. 85.)

923. The Committee acknowledges that Article 7 of Convention No. 151 allows a degree of flexibility in the choice of procedures to be used in the determination of the terms and conditions of employment.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 606.)

General principles (Consultation with the organizations of workers and employers)

924. The Committee has expressed the importance, for the preservation of a country's social harmony, of regular consultations with employers' and workers' representatives; such consultations should involve the whole trade union movement, irrespective of the philosophical or political beliefs of its leaders.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 653.)

925. The Committee has emphasized that the principle of consultation and cooperation between public authorities and employers' and workers' organizations at the industrial and national levels is one to which importance should be attached.

(See 292nd Report, Case No. 1698, para. 741(a).)

926. The Committee has emphasized the importance it attaches to the promotion of dialogue and consultations on matters of mutual interest between the public authorities and the most representative occupational organizations of the sector involved.

(See 254th Report, Case No. 1362, para. 162.)

927. The Committee has emphasized the importance that should be attached to full and frank consultation taking place on any questions or proposed legislation affecting trade union rights.

(See 241st Report, Cases Nos. 1172, 1234, 1247 and 1260, para. 144.)

928. The Committee has considered it useful to refer to the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113), Paragraph 1 of which provides that measures should be taken to promote effective consultation and cooperation between public authorities and employers' and workers' organizations without discrimination of any kind against these organizations. In accordance with Paragraph 5 of the Recommendation, such consultation should aim at ensuring that the public authorities seek the views, advice and assistance of these organizations, particularly in the preparation and implementation of laws and regulations affecting their interests.

(See 207th Report, Case No. 823, para. 162.)

Consultation during the preparation and formulation of legislation (Consultation with the organizations of workers and employers)

929. The Committee has emphasized the value of consulting organizations of employers and workers during the preparation and application of legislation which affects their interest.

(See 207th Report, Case No. 823, para. 197.)

930. The Committee has drawn the attention of governments to the importance of prior consultation of employers' and workers' organizations before the adoption of any legislation in the field of labour law.

(See 277nd Report, Case No. 1492, para. 99.)

931. It is essential that the introduction of draft legislation affecting collective bargaining or conditions of employment should be preceded by full and detailed consultations with the appropriate organizations of workers and employers.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1338, para. 71.)

932. Consultation should be held prior to the introduction of legislation through which the government seeks to alter bargaining structures in which it acts actually or indirectly as employer.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1607, para. 594(c).)

933. While the refusal to permit or encourage the participation of trade union organizations in the preparation of new legislation or regulations affecting their interests does not necessarily constitute an infringement of trade union rights, the principle of consultation and cooperation between public authorities and employers' and workers' organizations at the industrial and national levels is one to which importance should be attached. In this connection, the Committee has drawn attention to the provisions of the Consultation (Industrial and National Levels) Recommendation, 1960 (No. 113).

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 650.)

Consultation and employment flexibility (Consultation with the organizations of workers and employers)

934. A contraction of the public sector and/or greater employment flexibility (for example, the generalization of short-term contracts) do not in themselves constitute violations of the freedom to association. However, there is no doubt that these changes have significant consequences in the social and trade union spheres, particularly in view of the increased job insecurity to which they can give rise. Employers' and workers' organizations should therefore be consulted as to the scope and form of the measures adopted by the authorities.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1625, para. 395; and 292nd Report, Cases Nos. 1434 and 1477, para. 263.)

Consultation and processes of restructuring, rationalization and staff reduction (Consultation with the organizations of workers and employers)

935. The Committee can examine allegations concerning economic rationalization programmes and restructuring processes, whether or not they imply redundancies or the transfer of enterprises or services from the public to the private sector, only in so far as they might have given rise to acts of discrimination or interference against trade unions. In any case, the Committee can only regret that in the rationalization and staff-reduction process, the government did not consult or try to reach an agreement with the trade union organizations.

(See 291st Report, Case No. 1708, para. 189; see also 286th Report, Case No. 1609, para. 434; 292nd Report, Cases Nos. 1620 and 1702, para. 280; 294th Report, Case No. 1569, para. 16; and 297th Report, Case No. 1767, para. 302.)

936. Rationalization and staff reduction processes should involve consultations or attempts to reach agreement with the trade union organizations, without giving preference to proceeding by decree and ministerial decision.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1609, para. 435.)

937. The Committee has emphasized that it is important that governments consult with trade union organizations to discuss the consequences of restructuring programmes on the employment and working conditions of employees.

(See 286th Report, Case No. 1609, para. 437; and 292nd Report, Cases Nos. 1620 and 1702, para. 282.)

938. In a case concerning a rationalization and staff reduction process, the Committee regretted that the government had preferred to proceed unilaterally in this matter by decree.

(See 291st Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 471.)

939. With regard to the allegation concerning measures taken to induce workers in the public sector to give up their posts in the context of redundancy programmes in return for financial compensation, the Committee regretted that in the course of the staff reduction process there was no consultation and no attempt to come to an agreement with the trade union organizations.

(See 286th Report, Cases Nos. 1648 and 1650, para. 462.)

940. Although it is not within the Committee's competence to comment on economic measures which a government may take in difficult times or on the recommendation of the International Monetary Fund, the Committee nevertheless notes that decisions involving the dismissal of large numbers of workers should be discussed extensively with the trade union organizations concerned with a view to planning the occupational future of these workers in the light of the country's opportunities.

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1378, para. 139.)

Consultation and the modification of bargaining structures (Consultation with the organizations of workers and employers)

941. The Committee has stated that, in the same way as the Committee of Experts, where a government seeks to alter bargaining structures in which it acts actually or indirectly as employer, it is particularly important to follow an adequate consultation process, whereby all objectives perceived as being in the overall national interest can be discussed by all parties concerned. Such consultations imply that they be undertaken in good faith and that both partners have all the information necessary to make an informed decision.

(See 297th Report, Cases Nos. 1779 and 1801, para. 269.)

Participation of organizations of workers and employers on various bodies and in various procedures

942. The Committee considered that it was not called upon to express an opinion as to the right of a particular organization to be invited to take part in joint or consultative bodies unless its exclusion constituted a clear case of discrimination affecting the principle of freedom of association. This was a matter to be determined by the Committee in the light of the facts of each given case.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 646.)

943. Any decisions concerning the participation of workers' organizations in a tripartite body should be taken in full consultation with the trade unions whose representativity has been objectively proved.

(See 239th Report, Case No. 1314, para. 187.)

944. When setting up joint committees dealing with matters affecting the interests of workers, governments should make appropriate provision for the representation of different sections of the trade union movement having a substantial interest in the questions at issue.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 645.)

945. The Committee has accepted that, under certain conditions, it is not contrary to the principles of freedom of association that a minority organization may not under the law be entitled to be represented on consultative bodies.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 647.)

946. The fact that a trade union organization is debarred from membership of joint committees does not necessarily imply infringement of the trade union rights of that organization. But for there to be no infringement, two conditions must be met: first, that the reason for which a union is debarred from participation in a joint committee must lie in its non-representative character, determined by objective criteria; second, that in spite of such non-participation, the other rights which it enjoys and the activities it can undertake in other fields must enable it effectively to further and defend the interests of its members within the meaning of Article 10 of Convention No. 87.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 612.)

947. In determining whether an organization is representative for the purpose of participation in the membership of arbitration tribunals, it is important that the State should not intervene other than to give formal recognition to situations of fact, and it is indispensable that any decision should be based on objective criteria laid down in advance by an independent body.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 649.)

948. The establishment of a tripartite group to examine the question of wages and the anti-inflationary measures that should be taken is in accordance with the provision in Recommendation No. 113 which provides that consultation and cooperation should be promoted between public authorities and employers' and workers' organizations with the general objective of achieving mutual understanding and good relations between them with a view to developing the economy as a whole or individual branches thereof, improving conditions of work and raising standards of living. In particular, the authorities should seek the views, advice and assistance of employers' and workers' organizations in an appropriate manner in respect of such matters as the preparation and implementation of laws and regulations affecting their interests.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 651.)

949. In view of the implications for the standard of living of the workers of the fixing of wages by the government, by-passing the collective bargaining process, and of the government's wage policy in general, the Committee has pointed out the importance it attaches to the effective promotion of consultation and cooperation between public authorities and workers' organizations in this respect, in accordance with the principles laid down in Recommendation No. 113, for the purpose of considering jointly matters of mutual concern with a view to arriving, to the fullest possible extent, at agreed solutions.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 652.)

General principles (Facilities for workers' representatives)

950. Convention No. 135 calls on ratifying member States to supply such facilities in the undertaking as may be appropriate in order to enable workers' representatives to carry out their functions promptly and efficiently, and in a manner as not to impair the efficient operation of the undertaking concerned.

(See 279th Report, Case No. 1565, para. 398.)

951. The Workers' Representatives Convention, 1971 (No. 135), and the Collective Bargaining Convention, 1981 (No. 154), contain explicit provisions guaranteeing that, where there exist in the same undertaking both trade union representatives and elected representatives, appropriate measures are to be taken to ensure that the existence of elected representatives in an enterprise is not used to undermine the position of the trade unions concerned.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1459, para. 305.)

Representation functions (Facilities for workers' representatives)

952. When examining an allegation concerning the denial of time off to participate in trade union meetings, the Committee recalled that, while account should be taken of the characteristics of the industrial relations system of the country, and while the granting of such facilities should not impair the efficient operation of the undertaking concerned, Paragraph 10, subparagraph 1, of the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), provides that workers' representatives in the undertaking should be afforded the necessary time off from work, without loss of pay or social and fringe benefits, for carrying out their representation functions. Subparagraph 2 of Paragraph 10 also specifies that, while workers' representatives may be required to obtain permission from the management before taking time off, such permission should not be unreasonably withheld.

(See 218th Report, Case No. 1107, para. 188.)

Collection of dues (Facilities for workers' representatives)

953. The Committee has drawn attention to the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), concerning Protection and Facilities to be Afforded to Workers' Representatives in the Undertaking, 1971, which provides that, in the absence of other arrangements for the collection of trade union dues, workers' representatives authorized to do so by the trade union should be permitted to collect such dues regularly on the premises of the undertaking.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 326.)

Access to the workplace (Facilities for workers' representatives)

954. Governments should guarantee access of trade union representatives to workplaces, with due respect for the rights of property and management, so that trade unions can communicate with workers, in order to apprise them of the potential advantages of unionization.

(See 284th Report, Case No. 1523, para. 195.)

955. The denial of access to trade union leaders to the premises of enterprises on the grounds that a list of dispute grievances had been presented constitutes a serious violation of the right of organizations to carry out their activities freely, which includes the presentation of grievances even by a trade union other than that which concluded the collective agreement in force.

(See 297th Report, Case No. 1685, para. 445.)

956. The necessary measures should be taken to ensure that access is granted freely to farmworkers, domestic workers and workers in the mining industry by trade unions and their officials for the purpose of carrying out normal union activities although on the premises of employers.

(See 293rd Report (Measures taken by the Government of the Republic of South Africa to implement the recommendations of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association), para. 60(b)(vii).)

957. In a case concerning the right of trade union leaders to enter an industrial free trade zone, the Committee drew the government's attention to the principle that workers' representatives should enjoy such facilities as may be necessary for the proper exercise of their functions, including access to workplaces.

(See 234th Report, Case No. 1221, para. 114.)

Facilities on plantations (Facilities for workers' representatives)

958. The Committee has recognized that plantations are private property on which the workers not only work but also live. It is therefore only by having access to plantations that trade union officials can carry out normal trade union activities among the workers. For this reason, it is of special importance that the entry of trade union officials into plantations for the purpose of carrying out lawful trade union activities should be readily permitted, provided that there is no interference with the carrying on of the work during working hours and subject to any appropriate precautions being taken for the protection of the property. In this connection, the Committee has also drawn attention to the resolution adopted by the Plantations Committee at its First Session in 1950, which provides that employers should remove existing hindrances, if any, in the way of the organization of free, independent and democratically controlled trade unions by plantation workers and they should provide such unions with facilities for the conduct of their normal activities, including free office accommodation, freedom to hold meetings and freedom of entry.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 220.)

959. With regard to an allegation of the prevention of the freedom of movement of trade union leaders on the premises of a plantation, the Committee drew the government's attention to the principle according to which governments must guarantee access of trade union representatives to workplaces, with due respect for the rights of property and management, so that trade unions can communicate with workers, in order to apprise them of the potential advantages of unionization. The Committee requested the government to take the measures necessary to ensure that trade union representatives are given free access to workplaces and are able to move about within them.

(See 295th Report, Case No. 1751, para. 369.)

Facilities in the event of staff reductions (Facilities for workers' representatives)

960. In cases of staff reductions, the Committee has drawn attention to the principle contained in the Workers' Representatives Recommendation, 1971 (No. 143), which mentions amongst the measures to be taken to ensure effective protection to these workers, that recognition of a priority should be given to workers' representatives with regard to their retention in employment in case of reduction of the workforce (Article 6(2) (f)).

(See 246th Report, Case No. 1339, para. 89; 259th Report, Cases Nos. 1429, 1434, 1436, 1457 and 1465, para. 669; and 270th Report, Case No. 1498, para. 178.)

961. In a case in which the government considered the dismissal of nine trade union leaders to be part of restructuring plans, the Committee emphasized the advisability of giving priority to workers' representatives with regard to their retention in employment in case of reduction of the workforce, to ensure their effective protection.

(See 294th Report, Case No. 1706, para. 331.)

Conflicts within the trade union movement

962. A matter involving no dispute between the government and the trade unions, but which involves a conflict within the trade union movement itself, is the sole responsibility of the parties themselves.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 665.)

963. The Committee is not competent to make recommendations on internal dissensions within a trade union organization, so long as the government does not intervene in a manner which might affect the exercise of trade union rights and the normal functioning of an organization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 666.)

964. While the Committee has no competence to examine the merits of disputes within the various tendencies of a trade union movement, a complaint against another organization, if couched in sufficiently precise terms to be capable of examination on its merits, may bring the government of the country concerned into question - for example, if the acts of the organization complained against are wrongfully supported by the government or are of a nature which the government is under a duty to prevent by virtue of its having ratified an international labour Convention.

(See 73rd Report, Case No. 332, para. 11; 234th Report, Case No. 1226, para. 60; and 262nd Report, Case No. 1428, para. 200.)

965. The Committee is not competent to make recommendations on internal dissensions within a trade union organization, so long as the government did not intervene in a manner which might affect the exercise of trade union rights and the normal functioning of an organization. In cases of this nature when there have been internal dissensions, the Committee has also pointed out that judicial intervention would permit a clarification of the situation from the legal point of view for the purpose of settling the question of the leadership and representation of the organization concerned.

(See 259th Report, Case No. 1423, para. 125.)

966. In the case of internal dissension within one and the same trade union federation, by virtue of Article 3 of Convention No. 87, the only obligation of the government is to refrain from any interference which would restrict the right of the workers' and employers' organizations to draw up their constitutions and rules, to elect their

representatives in full freedom, to organize their administration and activities and to formulate their programmes, and to refrain from any interference which would impede the lawful exercise of that right.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 668; and 268th Report, Case No. 1462, para. 124.)

967. Article 2 of Convention No. 98 is designed to protect workers' organizations against employers' organizations or their agents or members and not against other workers' organizations or the agents or members thereof. Inter-union rivalry is outside the scope of the Convention.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 669.)

968. With regard to the existence of two executive committees within the trade union, one of which is allegedly manipulated by the employer, the Committee recalled the need to lay down explicitly in legislation remedies and penalties for acts of anti-union discrimination and acts of interference by employers in workers' organizations in order to ensure the effective application of Article 2 of Convention No. 98.

(See 268th Report, Case No. 1435, para. 391.)

969. In cases of internal dissention, the Committee has invited the government to persevere with its efforts, in consultation with the organizations concerned, to put in place as soon as possible impartial procedures to enable the workers concerned freely to choose their representatives.

(See 270th Report, Case No. 1513, para. 438.)

970. When two executive committees each proclaim themselves to be the legitimate one, the dispute should be settled by the judicial authority or an independent arbitrator and not by the administrative authority.

(See 256th Report, Cases Nos. 1435 and 1440, para. 415.)

971. When internal disputes arise in a trade union organization they should be resolved by the persons concerned (for example, by a vote), by appointing an independent mediator with the agreement of the parties concerned, or by intervention of the judicial authorities.

(See 241st Report, Cases Nos. 1204, 1275, 1301, 1328 and 1341, para. 547; and 265th Report, Case No. 1463, para. 150.)

972. Conflicts within a trade union lie outside the competence of the Committee and should be resolved by the parties themselves or by recourse to the judicial authority or an independent arbitrator.

(See 277th Report, Case No. 1522, para. 32.)

973. In cases of internal conflict, the Committee has pointed out that judicial intervention would permit a clarification of the situation from the legal point of view for the purpose of settling questions concerning the management and representation of the trade union federation concerned. Another possible means of settlement would be to appoint an independent arbitrator to be agreed on by the parties concerned, to seek a joint solution to existing problems and, if necessary, to hold new elections. In either case, the government should recognize the leaders designated as the legitimate representatives of the organization.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 671.)

974. Violence resulting from inter-union rivalry might constitute an attempt to impede the free exercise of trade union rights. If this were the case and if the acts in question were sufficiently serious, it appears that the intervention of the authorities, in particular the police, would be called for in order to provide adequate protection of those rights. The question of infringement of trade union rights by the government would only arise to the extent that it may have acted improperly with regard to the alleged violence.

(See the Digest of 1985, para. 670.)

Annex I: Procedures of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission and the Committee on Freedom of Association for the examination of complaints alleging violations of freedom of association

The outline given below of the current procedure for the examination of complaints alleging infringements of trade union rights is based on the provisions adopted by common consent by the Governing Body of the International Labour Office and the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations in January and February 1950, and also on the decisions taken by the Governing Body at its 117th Session (November 1951), its 123rd Session (November 1953), its 132nd Session (June 1956), its 140th Session (November 1958), its 144th Session (March 1960), its 175th Session (May 1969), its 184th Session (November 1971), its 202nd Session (March 1977) and its 209th Session (May-June 1979) with respect to the internal procedure for the preliminary examination of complaints, and lastly on certain decisions adopted by the Committee on Freedom of Association itself.

Background

1. In January 1950 the Governing Body, following negotiations with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations, decided to set up a Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association and defined the terms of reference of the Commission, the general lines of its procedure and criteria for its composition, it also decided to communicate to the Economic and Social Council a certain number of suggestions with a view to formulating a procedure for making the services of the Commission available to the United Nations.

2. The Economic and Social Council, at its Tenth Session, on 17 February 1950, noted the decision of the Governing Body and adopted a resolution in which it formally approved this decision, considering that it corresponded to the intent of the Council's resolution of 2 August 1949 and that it was likely to prove a most effective way of safeguarding trade union rights. It decided to accept, on behalf of the United Nations, the services of the ILO and the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission and laid down a procedure, which was supplemented in 1953, under which it would refer to the ILO complaints received by the United Nations concerning Members of the United Nations which are also Members of the ILO.

Forwarding of complaints

3. All allegations regarding infringements of trade union rights received by the United Nations from governments or trade union or employers' organizations against ILO

member States will be forwarded by the Economic and Social Council to the Governing Body of the International Labour Office, which will consider the question of their referral to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission. (Note 1)

4. Similar allegations received by the United Nations regarding any Member of the United Nations which is not a Member of the ILO will be transmitted to the Commission through the Governing Body of the ILO when the Secretary-General of the United Nations, acting on behalf of the Economic and Social Council, has received the consent of the government concerned, and if the Economic and Social Council considers these allegations suitable for transmission. If the government's consent is not forthcoming, the Economic and Social Council will give consideration to the position created by such refusal, with a view to taking any appropriate alternative action calculated to safeguard the rights relating to freedom of association involved in the case, if the Governing Body has before it allegations regarding infringements of trade union rights that are brought against a Member of the United Nations which is not a Member of the ILO, it will refer such allegations in the first instance to the Economic and Social Council.

5. The procedure for the examination of complaints of alleged infringements of the exercise of trade union rights, as it has been established, provides for the examination of complaints presented against member States of the ILO. Evidently, it is possible for the consequences of events which gave rise to the presentation of the initial complaint to continue after the setting up of a new State which has become a Member of the ILO, but if such a case should arise, the complainants would be able to have recourse, in respect of the new State, to the procedure established for the examination of complaints relating to infringements of the exercise of trade union rights.

6. The Committee, when examining allegations concerning the infringement of trade union rights by one government, indicated that there existed a link of continuity between successive governments of the same State and, while a government cannot be held responsible for events which took place under a former government, it is clearly responsible for any continuing consequences which these events may have had since its accession to power.

7. Where a change of regime has taken place in a country, the new government should take all necessary steps to remedy any continuing effects which the events on which the complaint is based may have had since its accession to power, even though those events took place under its predecessor.

8. In accordance with a decision originally taken by the Governing Body, complaints against member States of the ILO were submitted in the first instance to the Officers of the Governing Body for preliminary examination. Following discussions at its 116th and 117th Sessions, the Governing Body decided to set up a Committee on Freedom of

Association to carry out this preliminary examination.

9. At the present time, therefore, there are three bodies which are competent to hear complaints alleging infringements of trade union rights that are lodged with the ILO, viz. the Committee on Freedom of Association set up by the Governing Body, the Governing Body itself, and the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission on Freedom of Association. In view of the overall purpose of this Digest the rules applied by the Committee on Freedom of Association are outlined below.

Composition and functioning of the Committee

10. This body is a Governing Body organ reflecting the ILO's own tripartite character. Since its creation in 1951, it has been composed of nine regular members representing in equal proportion the government, employer and worker groups of the Governing Body; each member participates in a personal capacity. Substitute members, also appointed by the Governing Body, were originally called upon to participate in the meetings only if, for one reason or another, regular members were not present, so as to maintain the initial composition.

11. While following this rule, the present practice adopted by the Committee in February 1958 - allows substitute members who have so requested to participate in the discussion of the cases before the Committee whether or not all the regular members are present, if the chairman so agrees. They must respect the same rules as regular members.

12. No representative or national of the State against which a complaint has been made, or person occupying an official position in the national organization of employers or workers which has made the complaint may participate in the Committee's deliberations or even be present during the hearing of the complaint in question.

13. The Committee always endeavours to reach unanimous decisions. In the event of a vote, substitutes do not vote with the regular members. In the event of a regular Government member being absent or disqualified in respect of a particular case under consideration (see paragraph 12 above), the Government member appointed by the Governing Body as the particular substitute for that regular member replaces him. The right to record an abstention is exercised on the same conditions as the right to record an affirmative or negative vote.

14. If both a regular Government member and his appointed substitute are not available when the Committee is considering a particular case, the Committee calls upon one of the remaining substitute members to complete the quorum of three; in selecting such substitute member, the Committee has regard to seniority and also to the rule referred to

in paragraph 12 above.

Mandate and responsibility of the Committee

15. The responsibility of the Committee is essentially to consider, with a view to making a recommendation to the Governing Body, whether cases are worthy of examination by the Governing Body.

16. The Committee (after a preliminary examination, and taking account of any observations made by the governments concerned, if received within a reasonable period of time) reports to the next session of the Governing Body that a case does not call for further examination if it finds, for example, that the alleged facts, if proved, would not constitute an infringement of the exercise of trade union rights, or that the allegations made are so purely political in character that it is undesirable to pursue the matter further, or that the allegations made are too vague to permit a consideration of the case on its merits, or that the complainant has not offered sufficient evidence to justify reference of the matter to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission.

17. The Committee may recommend the Governing Body to communicate the conclusions of the Committee to the governments concerned, drawing their attention to the anomalies which it has observed and inviting them to take appropriate measures to remedy the situation.

18. In all cases where it suggests that the Governing Body should make recommendations to a government, the Committee adds to its conclusions on such cases a paragraph proposing that the government concerned be invited to state, after a reasonable period has elapsed and taking account of the circumstances of the case, what action it has been able to take on the recommendations made to it.

19. A distinction is made between countries which have ratified one or more Conventions on freedom of association and those which have not.

20. In the first case (ratified Conventions) examination of the action taken on the recommendations of the Governing Body is normally entrusted to the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations, whose attention is specifically drawn in the concluding paragraph of the Committee's reports to discrepancies between national laws and practice and the terms of the Conventions, or to the incompatibility of a given situation with the provisions of these instruments. Clearly, this possibility is not such as to hinder the Committee from examining, through the procedure outlined below, the effect given to certain recommendations made by it; this can be of use taking into account the nature or urgency of certain questions.

21. In the second case (non-ratified Conventions), if there is no reply, or if the reply given is partly or entirely unsatisfactory, the matter may be followed up periodically, the Committee instructing the Director-General at suitable intervals, according to the nature of each case, to remind the government concerned of the matter and to request it to supply information as to the action taken on the recommendations approved by the Governing Body. The Committee itself, from time to time, reports on the situation.

22. The Committee may recommend the Governing Body to attempt to secure the consent of the government concerned to the reference of the case to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission. The Committee submits to each session of the Governing Body a progress report on all cases which the Governing Body has determined warrant further examination. In every case in which the government against which the complaint is made has refused to consent to referral to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission or has not within four months replied to a request for such consent, the Committee may include in its report to the Governing Body recommendations as to the "appropriate alternative action" which, in the opinion of the Committee, the Governing Body might take. In certain cases, the Governing Body itself has discussed the measures to be taken where a government has not consented to a referral to the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Commission.

23. The Committee has emphasized that the function of the International Labour organization in regard to trade union rights is to contribute to the effectiveness of the general principle of freedom of association and to protect individuals as one of the primary safeguards of peace and social justice. Its function is to secure and promote the right of association of workers and employers, it does not level charges at, or condemn, governments. In fulfilling its task the Committee takes the utmost care, through the procedures it has developed over many years, to avoid dealing with matters which do not fall within its specific competence.

24. With a view to avoiding the possibility of misunderstanding or misinterpretation the Committee considers it necessary to make it clear that its task is limited to examining the allegations submitted to it. Its function is not to formulate general conclusions concerning the trade union situation in particular countries on the basis of vague general statements, but simply to evaluate specific allegations.

25. The usual practice of the Committee has been not to make any distinction between allegations levelled against governments and those levelled against persons accused of infringing freedom of association, but to consider whether or not, in each particular case a government has ensured within its territory the free exercise of trade union rights.

The Committee's competence to examine complaints

26. The Committee has considered that it is not within its competence to reach a decision on violations of ILO Conventions on working conditions since such allegations do not concern freedom of association.

27. The Committee has recalled that questions concerning social security legislation fall outside its competence.

27bis. When considering a preliminary draft of a law on professional activities, having analysed its provisions, the Committee considered that the preliminary draft regulated questions which lay outside the scope of the Conventions on freedom of association, as it confined itself to regulating access to the various occupations listed, the exercise of these occupations and the organizations and bodies competent in these matters. (See 218th Report, Case No. 1007, para. 464.)

28. The questions raised related to landownership and tenure governed by specific national legislation have nothing to do with the problems of the exercise of trade union rights.

28bis. It is not within the Committee's terms of reference to give an opinion on the type or characteristics - including the degree of legislative regulation by the industrial relations system in any particular country. (See 287th Report, Case No. 1627, para. 32.)

29. In a number of cases the Committee has recalled that it has formulated, in its First Report, (Note 2) certain principles for the examination of complaints where the government concerned considers that the questions raised are purely political in character. It has decided that, even though cases may be political in origin or present certain political aspects, they should be examined in substance if they raise questions directly concerning the exercise of trade union rights.

29bis. The question of whether issues raised in a complaint concern penal law or the exercise of trade union rights cannot be decided unilaterally by the government against which a complaint is made. It is for the Committee to rule on the matter after examining all the available information. (See 268th Report, Case No. 1500, para. 693.)

30. When the Committee has had to deal with precise and detailed allegations regarding draft legislation, it has taken the view that the fact that such allegations relate to a text that does not have the force of law should not in itself prevent the Committee from expressing its opinion on the merits of the allegations made. The Committee has considered it desirable that, in such cases, the government and the complainant should be made aware of the Committee's point of view with regard to the proposed bill before it is enacted, since it is open to the government, on whose initiative such a matter depends, to make any amendments thereto.

31. Where national legislation provides for appeal procedures before the courts or independent tribunals, and these procedures have not been used for the matters on which the complaint is based, the Committee has considered that it should take this into account when examining the complaint.

32. When a case is being examined by an independent national jurisdiction whose procedures offer appropriate guarantees, and the Committee considers that the decision to be taken could provide additional information, it will suspend its examination of the case for a reasonable time to await this decision, provided that the delay thus encountered does not risk prejudicing the party whose rights have allegedly been infringed.

33. Although the use of internal legal procedures, whatever the outcome, is undoubtedly a factor to be taken into consideration, the Committee has always considered that, in view of its responsibilities, its competence to examine allegations is not subject to the exhaustion of national procedures.

Receivability of complaints

34. Complaints lodged with the ILO, either directly or through the United Nations, must come either from organizations of workers or employers or from governments. Allegations are receivable only if they are submitted by a national organization directly interested in the matter, by international organizations of employers or workers having consultative status with the ILO, or other international organizations of employers or workers where the allegations relate to matters directly affecting their affiliated organizations. Such complaints may be presented whether or not the country concerned has ratified the freedom of association Conventions. The Committee has full freedom to decide whether an organization may be deemed to be an employers' or workers' organization, within the meaning of the ILO Constitution, and it does not consider itself bound by any national definition of the term. Furthermore, it does not consider as irreceivable complaints emanating from trade union organizations in exile or from organizations which have been dissolved.

- Receivability as regards the complainant organization

35. At its first meeting in January 1952 (First Report, General observations, para. 28), the Committee adopted the principle that it has full freedom to decide whether an organization may be deemed to be an employers' or workers' organization within the meaning of the ILO Constitution, and it does not consider itself bound by any national definition of the term.

36. The Committee has not regarded, any complaint as being irreceivable simply

because the government in question had dissolved, or proposed to dissolve the organization on behalf of which the complaint was made, or because the person or persons making the complaint had taken refuge abroad.

37. The fact that a trade union has not deposited its by-laws, as may be required by national laws, is not sufficient to make its complaint irreceivable since the principles of freedom of association provide precisely that the workers shall be able, without previous authorization, to establish organizations of their own choosing.

38. The fact that an organization has not been officially recognized does not justify the rejection of allegations when it is clear from the complaints that this organization has at least a de facto existence.

39. In cases in which the Committee is called upon to examine complaints presented by an organization concerning which no precise information is available, the Director-General is authorized to request the organization to furnish information on the size of its membership, its statutes, its national or international affiliations and, in general, any other information calculated, in any examination of the receivability of the complaint, to lead to a better appreciation of the precise nature of the complainant organization.

40. The Committee will only take cognizance of complaints presented by persons who, through fear of reprisals, request that their names or the origin of the complaints should not be disclosed, if the Director-General, after examining the complaint in question, informs the Committee that it contains allegations of some degree of gravity which have not previously been examined by the Committee. The Committee can then decide what action, if any, should be taken with regard to such complaints.

- Repetitive nature of complaints

41. In any case in which a complaint concerns exactly the same infringements as those on which the Committee has already given a decision, the Director-General may, in the first instance, refer the complaint to the Committee which will decide whether it is appropriate to take action on it.

42. In a number of cases the Committee has taken the view that it could only reopen a case which it had already examined in substance and in which it had submitted final recommendations to the Governing Body if new evidence was adduced and brought to its notice.

42bis. The Committee does not re-examine allegations on which it has already given an opinion: for example, when a complaint refers to a law that it has already examined and, as such, does not contain new elements. (See 297th Report, para. 13.)

- Form of the complaint

43. Complaints must be presented in writing, duly signed by a representative of a body entitled to present them and they must be as fully supported as possible by evidence of specific infringements of trade union rights.

44. When the Committee receives, either directly or through the United Nations, mere copies of communications sent by organizations to third parties, it has hitherto taken the view that such communications did not constitute formal complaints and did not call for action on its part.

45. Complaints originating from assemblies or gatherings which are not bodies having a permanent existence or even bodies organized as definite entities and with which it is impossible to correspond, either because they have only a temporary existence or because the complaints do not contain any addresses of the complainants, are not receivable.

Rules concerning relations with complainants

46. Complaints which do not relate to specific infringements of trade union rights are referred by the Director-General to the Committee on Freedom of Association for opinion, and the Committee decides whether or not any action should be taken on them. In cases of this kind, the Director-General is not bound to wait until the Committee meets, but may contact the complainant organization directly to inform it that the Committee's mandate only permits it to deal with questions concerning freedom of association and to ask it to specify, in this connection, the particular points that it wishes to have examined by the Committee.

47. The Director-General, on receiving a new complaint concerning specific cases of infringement of freedom of association, either directly from the complainant organization or through the United Nations, informs the complainant that any information he may wish to furnish in substantiation of the complaint should be communicated to him within a period of one month. In the event that supporting information is sent to the ILO after the expiry of the one-month period provided for in the procedures it will be for the Committee to determine whether this information constitutes new evidence which the complainant would not have been in a position to adduce within the appointed period; in the event that the Committee considers that this is not the case, the information in question is regarded as irreceivable. On the other hand, if the complainant does not furnish the necessary information in substantiation of a complaint (where it does not appear to be sufficiently substantiated) within a period of one month from the date of the Director-General's acknowledgement of receipt of the complaint, it is for the Committee

to decide whether any further action in the matter is appropriate.

48. In cases in which a considerable number of copies of an identical complaint are received from separate organizations, the Director-General is not required to request each separate complainant to furnish further information; it is normally sufficient for the Director-General to address the request to the central organization in the country to which the bodies presenting the copies of the identical complaint belong or, where the circumstances make this impracticable, to the authors of the first copy received, it being understood that this does not preclude the Director-General from communicating with more than one of the said bodies if this appears to be warranted by any special circumstances of the particular case. The Director-General will transmit to the government concerned the first copy received, but will also inform the government of the names of the other complainants presenting the copies of the identical complaints.

49. When a complaint has been communicated to the government concerned (see paragraphs 53 to 65 below) and the latter has presented its observations thereon, and when the statements contained in the complaint and the government's observations merely cancel one another out but do not contain any valid evidence, thereby making it impossible for the Committee to reach an informed opinion, the Committee is authorized to seek further information in writing from the complainant in regard to questions concerning the terms of the complaint requiring further elucidation. In such cases, it has been understood that, on the one hand, the government concerned, as defendant, would have an opportunity to reply in its turn to any additional comments the complainants may make, and, on the other hand, that this method would not be followed automatically in all cases but only in cases where it appears that such a request to the complainants would be helpful in establishing the facts.

50. Subject to the two conditions mentioned in the preceding paragraph, the Committee may, moreover, inform the complainants, in appropriate cases, of the substance of the government's observations and invite them to submit their comments thereon within a given period of time. In addition, the Director-General may ascertain whether, in the light of the observations sent by the government concerned, further information or comments from the complainants are necessary on matters relating to the complaint and, if so, may write directly to the complainants, in the name of the Committee and without waiting for its next session, requesting the desired information or the comments on the government's observations by a given date, the government's right to reply being respected as is pointed out in the preceding paragraph.

51. In order to keep the complainant regularly informed of the principal stages in the procedure, the complainant is notified, after each session of the Committee, that the complaint has been put before the Committee and, if the Committee has not reached a conclusion appearing in its report, that - as appropriate - examination of the case has been adjourned in the absence of a reply from the government or the Committee has

asked the government for certain additional information.

Requests for the postponement of the examination of cases

51bis. With regard to requests for the postponement of the examination of cases by the complainant organization or the government concerned, the practice followed by the Committee consists of deciding the question in full freedom when the reasons given for the request have been evaluated and taking into account the circumstances of the case. (See 274th Report, Cases Nos. 1455, 1456, 1696 and 1515, para. 10.)

Withdrawal of complaints

52. When the Committee has been confronted with a request submitted to it for the withdrawal of a complaint, it has always considered that the desire expressed by an organization which has submitted a complaint to withdraw this complaint constitutes an element of which full account should be taken, but it is not sufficient in itself for the Committee to automatically cease to proceed further with the case. In such cases, the Committee has decided that it alone is competent to evaluate in full freedom the reasons put forward to explain the withdrawal of a complaint and to endeavour to establish whether these appear to be sufficiently plausible so that it may be concluded that the withdrawal is being made in full independence. In this connection, the Committee has noted that there might be cases in which the withdrawal of a complaint by the organization presenting it was the result not of the fact that the complaint had become without purpose but of pressure exercised by the government against the complainants, the latter being threatened with an aggravation of the situation if they did not consent to this withdrawal.

Rules for relations with the governments concerned

53. By membership of the International Labour Organization, each member State is bound to respect a certain number of principles, including the principles of freedom of association which have become customary rules above the Conventions. (Note 3) As the Committee on Freedom of Association indicated in its First Report, paragraph 32, in connection with trade union rights, "the function of the International Labour Organization in regard to trade union rights is to contribute to the effectiveness of the general principle of freedom of association as one of the primary safeguards of peace and social justice". The Committee further indicated that, in fulfilling its responsibility in the matter, it must not hesitate to discuss in an international form cases which are of such a character as to affect substantially the attainment of the aims and purposes of the ILO as set forth in the Constitution of the Organization, the Declaration of Philadelphia and the various Conventions concerning freedom of association.

54. If the original complaint or any further information received in response to the acknowledgement of the complaint is sufficiently substantiated, the complaint and any such further information are communicated by the Director-General to the government concerned as quickly as possible; at the same time the government is requested to forward to the Director-General, before a given date, fixed in advance with due regard to the date of the next meeting of the Committee, any observations which it may care to make. When communicating allegations to governments, the Director-General draws their attention to the importance which the Governing Body attaches to receiving the governments replies within the specified period, in order that the Committee may be in a position to examine cases as soon as possible after the occurrence of the events to which the allegations relate. If the Director-General has any difficulty in deciding whether a particular complaint can be regarded as sufficiently substantiated to justify him in communicating it to the government concerned for its observations, it is open to him to consult the Committee before taking a decision on the matter (see paragraph 46 above).

55. A distinction is drawn between urgent and less urgent cases. Matters involving human life or personal freedom, or new or changing conditions affecting the freedom of action of a trade union movement as a whole, and cases arising out of a continuing state of emergency and cases involving the dissolution of an organization are treated as cases of urgency. Priority of treatment is also given to cases on which a report has already been submitted to the Governing Body.

56. In the past, the Committee's report on urgent cases was immediately submitted to the Governing Body and the reports on less urgent cases were held over until the following session of the Governing Body. Since 1977 all cases examined - whether in the "urgent" or "non-urgent" category - are included in the Committee's report which is immediately submitted to the Governing Body. This procedure was adopted because the majority of cases were of an urgent nature and, in the Committee's opinion, the examination of the small number of non-urgent cases which used to be postponed would not impede the Governing Body in immediately examining the urgent cases before it.

57. In all cases, if the first reply from the government in question is of too general a character, the Committee requests the Director-General to obtain all necessary additional information from the government, on as many occasions as it judges appropriate.

58. The Director-General is further empowered to ascertain without, however, making any appreciation of the substance of a case - whether the observations of governments, the subject-matter of a complaint or governments' replies to requests for further information are sufficient to permit the Committee to examine the complaint and, if not, to write directly to the government concerned, in the name of the Committee and without, waiting for its next session, to inform it that it would be desirable if it were to

furnish more precise information on the points raised by the Committee or the complainant.

59. The purpose of the whole procedure set up in the ILO for the examination of allegations of violations of freedom of association is to promote respect for trade union rights in law and in fact. If the procedure protects governments against unreasonable accusations, governments on their side should recognize the importance for their own reputation of formulating, so as to allow objective examination, detailed replies to the allegations brought against them. The Committee wishes to stress that, in all the cases presented to it since it was first set up, it has always considered that the replies from governments against whom complaints are made should not be limited to general observations (see First Report of the Committee, para. 31).

60. In cases where governments delay in forwarding their observations on the complaints communicated to them, or the further information requested of them, the Committee mentions these governments in a special introductory paragraph to its reports after the lapse of a reasonable time, which varies according to the nature of the case and the degree of urgency of the questions involved. This paragraph contains an urgent appeal to the governments concerned and, as soon as possible afterwards, special communications are sent to these governments by the Director-General on behalf of the Committee.

61. Once the procedure established in the preceding paragraphs has been exhausted, cases in respect of which governments continue in their failure to supply, within a reasonable time, the information or observations requested of them, are mentioned in a special paragraph of the introduction to the report established by the Committee at its session in May-June. The governments concerned are then immediately informed that the chairman of the Committee will, on behalf of the Committee, make contact with their representatives attending the session of the International Labour Conference, during the latter part of the Conference, in order to draw their attention to the particular cases involved and to discuss with them the reasons for the delay in transmitting the observations requested by the Committee. The chairman then reports to the Committee on the results of such contacts.

62. At a subsequent stage, if certain governments still fail to reply, they are warned, in a special introductory paragraph to the Committee's reports - and by an express communication from the Director-General - that at its following session the Committee may submit a report on the substance of the matter, even if the information awaited from the governments in question has still not been received.

63. In appropriate cases, where replies are not forthcoming, ILO external offices may approach governments in order to elicit the information requested of them, either during

the examination of the case or in connection with the action to be taken on the Committee's recommendations, approved by the Governing Body. With this end in view the ILO external offices are - sent detailed information with regard to complaints concerning their particular area and are requested to approach governments which delay in transmitting their replies, in order to draw their attention to the importance of supplying the observations or information requested of them.

64. In cases where the governments implicated are obviously unwilling to cooperate, the Committee may recommend, as an exceptional measure, that wider publicity be given to the allegations, to the recommendations of the Governing Body and to the negative attitude of the governments concerned.

65. At various stages in the procedure, recourse may be had to the "direct contact" method whereby an ILO representative is sent to the country concerned with a view to seeking a solution to the difficulties encountered, either during the examination of the case or at the stage of the action to be taken on the recommendations of the Governing Body. Such contacts, however, can only be established at the invitation of the governments concerned or at least with their consent. In addition, upon the receipt of a complaint containing allegations of a particularly serious nature, and after having received the prior approval of the chairman of the Committee the Director-General may appoint a representative whose mandate would be to carry out preliminary contacts for the following purposes, viz: to transmit to the competent authorities in the country the concern to which the events described in the complaint have given rise; to explain to these authorities the principles of freedom of association involved; to obtain from the authorities their initial reaction, as well as any comments and information with regard to the matters raised in the complaint; to explain to the authorities the special procedure in cases of alleged infringements of trade union rights, and in particular, the direct contacts method which may subsequently be requested by the government in order to facilitate a full appraisal of the situation by the Committee and the Governing Body; to request and encourage the authorities to communicate as soon as possible a detailed reply containing the observations of the government on the complaint. The report of the representative of the Director-General is submitted to the Committee at its next meeting for consideration together with all the other information made available. The ILO representative can be an ILO official or an independent person appointed by the Director-General. It goes without saying, however, that the mission of the ILO representative is above all to ascertain the facts and to seek possible solutions on the spot. The Committee and the Governing Body remain fully competent to appraise the situation at the outcome of these direct contacts.

65bis. The Committee has considered that the representative of the Director-General charged with an on-the-spot mission will not be able to perform his task properly and therefore be fully and objectively informed on all aspects of the case if he is not able to meet freely with all the parties involved. (See 229th Report, Case No. 1097, para. 51.)

Hearing of the parties

66. The Committee will decide, in the appropriate instances and taking into account all the circumstances of the case, whether it should hear the parties, or one of them, during its sessions so as to obtain more complete information on the matter. It may do this especially (a) in appropriate cases where the complainants and the governments have submitted contradictory statements on the substance of the matters at issue, and where the Committee might consider it useful for the representatives of the parties to furnish orally more detailed information as requested by the Committee; (b) in cases in which the Committee might consider it useful to have an exchange of views with the governments in question, on the one hand, and with the complainants, on the other, on certain important matters in order to appreciate more fully the factual situation and the eventual developments in the situation which might lead to a solution of the problems involved, and to seek to conciliate on the basis of the principles of freedom of association; (c) in other cases where particular difficulties have arisen in the examination of the questions involved or in the implementation of its recommendations, and where the Committee might consider it appropriate to discuss the matters with the representative of the government concerned.

Prescription

67. The Committee considers that, while no formal rules fixing any particular period of prescription are embodied in the procedure for the examination of complaints, it may be difficult - if not impossible - for a government to reply in detail to allegations regarding matters which occurred a long time ago.

Notes

Note 1

The procedural rules referred to in this chapter are under the heading "procedural questions" in the following documents: First Committee report, paras. 6 to 32, in the Sixth Report of the International Labour Organization to the United Nations (Geneva, ILO, 1952), Appendix V; the 6th report in Seventh Report of the International Labour Organization to the United Nations (Geneva, ILO, 1953), Appendix V, paras. 4 to 21; the 9th report in Eighth Report of the International Labour Organization to the United Nations (Geneva, ILO, 1954), Appendix II, paras. 2 to 40; the 29th and 43rd reports in the Official Bulletin, Vol. XLIII, 1960, No. 3; and the 111th report, *ibid.*, Vol. LII, 199 No. 4, paras. 7 to 20; the 127th report, *ibid.*, Vol. LX, 1977, No. 2, paras. 19 to 28; and the

193rd report; *ibid.*, Vol. LXII, 1979, No. 1.

Note 2

See First Report, para. 29.

Note 3

Report of the Fact-Finding and Conciliation Committee on Freedom of Association concerning the situation in Chile, 1975, p. 466.

Annex II: Chronological index of cases

Case No.

1 Peru

2 Venezuela

3 Dominican Republic

4 Egypt

5 India

6 Iran

7 Italy

8 Israel

9 Netherlands

10 Chile

11 Brazil

12 Argentina

13 Bolivia

14 Czechoslovakia

15 France

16 France/Morocco

17 France/Tunisia

18 Greece

- 19 Hungary
- 20 Lebanon
- 21 New Zealand
- 22 Philippines
- 23 United Kingdom/Anglo-Egyptian Sudan
- 24 United Kingdom/Cyprus
- 25 United Kingdom/Gold Coast
- 26 United Kingdom/Grenada
- 27 United Kingdom/Hong Kong
- 28 United Kingdom/Jamaica
- 29 United Kingdom/Kenya
- 30 United Kingdom/Malaysia
- 31 United Kingdom/Nigeria
- 32 United Kingdom/Uganda
- 33 United States
- 34 Ceylon
- 35 Hungary
- 36 Saudi Arabia
- 37 United Kingdom/British Honduras
- 38 United Kingdom/Cyprus

39 Bolivia and Peru

40 France/Tunisia

41 United Kingdom/British Guyana

42 United States/Panama Canal one

43 Chile

44 Colombia

45 United States and Greece

46 United States

47 India

48 Japan

49 Pakistan

50 Turkey

51 Saar

52 Trieste

53 Spain

54 Argentina

55 Greece

56 Uruguay

57 United Kingdom/British Guyana

58 Poland

- 59 United Kingdom/Cyprus
- 60 Japan
- 61 France/Tunisia
- 62 Netherlands
- 63 Union of South Africa
- 64 Italy
- 65 Cuba
- 66 Greece
- 67 Egypt
- 68 Colombia
- 69 France
- 70 United States and Greece
- 71 United States
- 72 Venezuela
- 73 United Kingdom/British Honduras
- 74 Burma
- 75 France/Madagascar
- 76 Costa Rica
- 77 France/various African territories
- 78 Switzerland
- 79 Belgium

80 Federal Republic of Germany

81 Chile

82 Lebanon

83 Brazil

84 Mexico

85 Austria

86 Italy

87 India

88 France/Sudan

89 United States

90 France

91 United Kingdom/British Guyana

92 Peru

93 Iran

94 Cuba

95 United States

96 United Kingdom

97 India

98 France/Tunisia

99 France

100 El Salvador

101 United Kingdom/British Guyana

102 Union of South Africa

103 United Kingdom/Southern Rhodesia

104 Iran

105 Greece

106 Argentina

107 Burma

108 Costa Rica

109 Guatemala

110 Pakistan

111 USSR

112 Greece

113 France/Morocco

114 United States

115 Greece

116 Iran

117 Argentina

118 France

119 Union of South Africa

120 France

121 Greece

122 Venezuela

123 German Democratic Republic

124 Colombia

125 Brazil

126 Costa Rica

127 Guatemala

128 Netherlands

129 Peru

130 Switzerland

131 Guatemala

132 Greece

133 Netherlands/Netherlands Antilles

134 Chile

135 Burma

136 United Kingdom/Cyprus

137 Brazil

138 United States and Greece

139 Austria

140 Argentina

141 Chile

142 Honduras

143 Spain

144 Guatemala

145 Union of South Africa

146 Colombia

147 Union of South Africa

148 Poland

149 India

150 United Kingdom/Jamaica

151 Dominican Republic

152 United Kingdom/Northern Rhodesia

153 Chile

154 Chile

155 USSR

156 France/Algeria

157 Greece

158 Hungary

159 Cuba

160 Hungary

161 France/Cameroon

162 United Kingdom

163 Burma

164 United States and Greece

165 Argentina

166 Greece

167 Jordan

168 Paraguay

169 Turkey

170 France/Madagascar

171 Canada

172 Argentina

173 United States and Greece

174 Greece

175 Yugoslavia

176 Greece

177 Honduras

178 United Kingdom/Aden

179 Japan

180 United Kingdom/Singapore

- 181 Ecuador
- 182 United Kingdom
- 183 Union of South Africa
- 184 Haiti
- 185 Greece
- 186 Bolivia
- 187 United Kingdom/Northern Rhodesia
- 188 Denmark
- 189 Honduras
- 190 Argentina
- 191 Sudan
- 192 Argentina
- 193 Burma
- 194 United Kingdom/Singapore
- 195 France
- 196 Greece
- 197 Pakistan
- 198 Greece
- 199 Argentina
- 200 Union of South Africa
- 201 Greece

202 Thailand

203 Hungary

204 India

205 Brazil

206 Uruguay

207 Greece

208 France/Ivory Coast

209 United Arab Republic

210 Haiti

211 Canada

212 United States

213 Federal Republic of Germany

214 Guinea

215 Greece

216 Argentina

217 Paraguay

218 France/Cameroon

219 Iran

220 Argentina

221 United Kingdom/Aden

222 Greece

223 Morocco

224 Greece

225 Mexico

226 Haiti, Nicaragua and Paraguay

227 Chile

228 Greece

229 Union of South Africa

230 Paraguay

231 Argentina

232 Morocco

233 France/Congo

234 Greece

235 Cameroon

236 Iran

237 Morocco

238 Greece

239 Costa Rica

240 Greece

241 France

242 Morocco

243 Burma

244 Belgium

245 Greece

246 Cuba

247 Greece

248 Senegal

249 Greece

250 Belgium

251 United Kingdom/Southern Rhodesia

252 United Kingdom/Gambia

253 Cuba

254 Congo (Leopoldville)

255 Morocco

256 Greece

257 France/French Somaliland

258 Argentina

259 Argentina

260 Iran

261 Union of South Africa

262 Cameroon

263 Greece

264 Uruguay

265 Iran

266 Portugal

267 Argentina

268 Argentina

269 Burma

270 Chile

271 Chile

272 Republic of South Africa

273 Argentina

274 Libya

275 United Kingdom/Aden

276 Jordan

277 Senegal

278 Republic of South Africa

279 United Kingdom

280 France

281 Belgium

282 Belgium/Burundi

283 Cuba

284 Republic of South Africa

285 Peru

286 Portugal

287 India

288 Republic of South Africa

289 Senegal

290 Congo (Leopoldville)

291 United Kingdom/Aden

292 United Kingdom

293 Federal Republic of Germany

294 Spain295 Greece

296 Pakistan

297 USSR

298 United Kingdom/Southern Rhodesia

299 Greece

300 Republic of South Africa

301 Liberia

302 Morocco

303 Ghana

304 Spain, Portugal, Republic of South Africa, Iran and Greece

305 Chile

306 Syrian Arab Republic

307 Somalia

308 Argentina

309 Greece

310 Japan

311 Republic of South Africa

312 Dominican Republic

313 Dahomey

314 Republic of South Africa

315 United Kingdom/Aden

316 Ecuador

317 Norway

318 Morocco

319 El Salvador

320 Pakistan

321 Republic of South Africa

322 Sierra Leone

323 Peru

324 Italy

325 United Kingdom/Singapore

326 Upper Volta

327 Congo (Leopoldville)

328 Finland

329 Cuba

330 Iraq

331 Peru

332 Brazil

333 Greece

334 Argentina

335 Peru

336 Dahomey

337 France/French Somaliland

338 Cameroon

339 Morocco

340 Republic of South Africa

341 Greece

342 Iraq

343 Ceylon

344 Mali

345 United Kingdom/Swaziland

346 Argentina

347 Venezuela

348 Honduras

349 Panama

350 Dominican Republic

351 Spain

352 Guatemala

353 Greece

354 Chile

355 Jamaica

356 Spain

357 Congo (Leopoldville)

358 Mexico

359 Morocco

360 Dominican Republic

361 Morocco

362 Morocco

363 Colombia

364 Ecuador

365 Congo (Leopoldville)

366 United Kingdom/British Guyana

367 Congo (Leopoldville)

368 Austria

369 Argentina

370 Portugal

371 Federal Republic of Germany

372 Congo (Leopoldville)

373 Haiti

374 Costa Rica

375 Cyprus

376 Belgium

377 Congo (Leopoldville)

378 Honduras

379 Costa Rica

380 United Kingdom/Southern Rhodesia

381 Honduras

382 Greece

383 Spain

384 Ecuador

385 Brazil

386 India

387 Viet Nam

388 Costa Rica

389 Cameroon

390 Venezuela

391 Ecuador

392 Congo (Leopoldville)

393 Syrian Arab Republic

394 Mexico

395 Colombia

396 Guatemala

397 Spain

398 Japan

399 Argentina

400 Spain

401 Burundi

402 Congo (Leopoldville)

403 Upper Volta

404 Republic of South Africa

405 Peru

406 United Kingdom/British Guyana

407 Pakistan

408 Honduras

409 Bolivia

410 Paraguay

411 Dominican Republic

412 Netherlands/Netherlands Antilles

413 Greece

414 United Kingdom/Southern Rhodesia

415 United Kingdom/Saint Vincent

416 Pakistan

417 Viet Nam

418 Cameroon

419 Congo (Brazzaville)

420 India

421 United Kingdom/Aden

422 Ecuador

423 Honduras

424 India

425 Cuba

426 Greece

427 Congo (Leopoldville)

428 Dominican Republic

429 Spain

430 United States/Puerto Rico

431 Malta

432 Portugal

433 Ecuador

434 Colombia

435 Bahrain

436 India

437 Congo (Leopoldville)

438 Greece

439 Paraguay

440 United States/Panama Canal Zone

441 Paraguay

442 Guatemala

443 Bolivia

444 Costa Rica

445 Morocco

446 Panama

447 Dominican Republic

448 Uganda

449 United Kingdom/Saint Kitts

450 El Salvador

451 Bolivia

452 Colombia

453 Greece

454 Honduras

455 Ireland

456 Bolivia

457 Mexico

458 Cuba

459 Uruguay

460 Mexico

461 Spain

462 Venezuela

463 Congo (Leopoldville)

464 Greece

465 United Kingdom/Aden

466 Panama

467 Dominican Republic

468 Congo (Leopoldville)

469 Cuba

470 Greece

471 Italy

472 Republic of South Africa

473 Ecuador

474 Ecuador

475 Chile

476 Peru

477 Ecuador

478 United Kingdom/Aden

479 Nicaragua

480 Tunisia

481 Greece

482 Cyprus

483 Viet Nam

484 India

485 Venezuela

486 Morocco

487 Spain

488 Belgium

489 Greece

490 Colombia

491 Ceylon

492 Mexico

493 India

494 Sudan

495 France/New Caledonia

496 Honduras

497 Spain

498 Greece

499 France/French Somaliland

500 Congo (Kinshasa)

501 Indonesia

502 Jordan

503 Argentina

504 Spain

505 Morocco

506 Liberia

507 Spain

508 Greece

509 Spain

510 Paraguay

511 Nicaragua

512 Cyprus

513 Morocco

514 Colombia

515 France/French Somaliland

516 Peru

517 Greece

518 Colombia

519 Greece

520 Spain

521 United Kingdom/Saint Vincent

522 Dominican Republic

523 Canada

524 Morocco

525 United Kingdom/Bermuda

526 Bolivia

527 Colombia

528 Morocco

529 Peru

530 Uruguay

531 Panama

532 Peru

533 India

534 Colombia

535 Venezuela

536 Gabon

537 Indonesia

538 India

539 El Salvador

540 Spain

541 Argentina

542 Dahomey

543 Turkey

544 Dominican Republic

545 Viet Nam

546 Colombia

547 Peru

548 Haiti

549 Chile

550 Guatemala

551 Cuba

552 Argentina

553 Argentina

554 Brazil

555 Libya

556 Morocco

557 Dominican Republic

558 Brazil

559 Trinidad and Tobago

560 Morocco

561 Uruguay

562 Dominican Republic

563 Costa Rica

564 Nicaragua

565 France

566 Dominican Republic

567 Israel

568 Morocco

569 Chad

570 Nicaragua

571 Bolivia

572 Panama

573 Bolivia

574 Argentina

575 India

576 Argentina

577 Morocco

578 Ghana

579 Guatemala

580 United States

581 Panama

582 Brazil

583 Argentina

584 Nicaragua

585 Pakistan

586 Panama

587 Costa Rica

588 Argentina

589 India

590 Luxembourg

591 Senegal

592 Jamaica

593 Argentina

594 India

595 Brazil

596 Panama

597 Togo

598 Ecuador

599 Netherlands/Netherlands Antilles

600 Yemen

601 Colombia

602 Guyana

603 Mexico

604 Uruguay

605 Jamaica

606 Paraguay

607 Uruguay

608 India

609 Guatemala, Argentina and Uruguay

610 Panama

611 Costa Rica

612 Spain

613 Mauritius

614 Peru

615 Dominican Republic

616 Brazil

617 Venezuela

618 Malaysia

619 Honduras

620 Panama

621 Sweden

622 Spain

623 Brazil

624 United Kingdom/British Honduras

625 Venezuela

626 Guatemala

627 United States

628 Venezuela

629 Nicaragua

630 Spain

631 Turkey

632 Brazil

633 Argentina

634 Italy

635 Costa Rica

636 Argentina

637 Spain

638 Lesotho

639 United States

640 India

641 Colombia

642 United Kingdom/British Honduras

643 Colombia

644 Mali

645 Ecuador

646 Costa Rica

647 Portugal

648 United Kingdom/Saint Vincent

649 El Salvador

650 El Salvador

651 Argentina

652 Philippines

653 Argentina

654 Portugal

655 Belgium

656 Argentina

657 Spain

658 Spain

659 Guatemala

660 Mauritania

661 Spain

662 Nicaragua

663 Paraguay

664 Colombia

665 Costa Rica

666 Portugal

667 Spain

668 Jordan

669 Argentina

670 Cyprus

671 Bolivia

672 Dominican Republic

673 Madagascar

674 Indonesia

675 Colombia

676 Nicaragua

677 Sudan

678 Spain

679 Spain

680 United Kingdom

681 Central African Republic

682 Costa Rica

683 Ecuador

684 Spain

685 Bolivia

686 Japan

687 Colombia

688 Chile

689 Mauritius

690 United Kingdom/British Honduras

691 Argentina

692 Brazil

693 Uruguay

694 Honduras

695 India

696 Mexico

697 Spain

698 Senegal

699 Canada

700 Guyana

701 Colombia

702 Costa Rica

703 Chile

704 Spain

705 United States

706 Uruguay

707 Argentina

708 Bulgaria

709 Mauritius

710 Argentina

711 Morocco

712 Guatemala

713 Peru

714 Ecuador

715 Nicaragua

716 United Kingdom/Saint Vincent

717 Costa Rica

718 Dominican Republic

719 Colombia

720 India

721 India

722 Spain

723 Colombia

724 Philippines

725 Japan

726 Uruguay

727 Nigeria

728 Jamaica

729 Bangladesh

730 Jordan

731 Argentina

732 Togo

733 Guatemala

734 Colombia

735 Spain

736 Spain

737 Japan

738 Japan

739 Japan

740 Japan

741 Japan

742 Japan

743 Japan

744 Japan

745 Japan

746 Canada

747 Guatemala

748 Brazil

749 Senegal

750 Spain

751 Viet Nam

752 El Salvador

753 Japan

754 Jamaica

755 Japan

756 India

757 Australia

758 Costa Rica

759 United Kingdom/British Honduras

760 Spain

761 Mauritius

762 Peru

763 Uruguay

764 Colombia

765 Chile

766 Yemen

767 Republic of South Africa

768 Dominican Republic

769 Nicaragua

770 Greece

771 Uruguay

772 Israel

773 Mexico

774 Central African Republic

775 Uganda

776 Jamaica

777 India

778 France

779 Argentina

780 Spain

781 Bolivia

782 Liberia

783 Costa Rica

784 Greece

785 Colombia

786 Uruguay

787 Brazil

788 Peru

789 Guatemala

790 Jamaica

791 Israel

792 Japan

793 India

794 Greece

795 Liberia

796 Bahamas

797 Jordan

798 Cyprus

799 Turkey

800 Brazil

801 Uruguay

802 Dominican Republic

803 Spain

804 Pakistan

805 Malta

806 Bolivia

807 United States/Puerto Rico

808 Ivory Coast

809 Argentina

810 France/Guyana

811 Jordan

812 Spain

813 Colombia

814 Bolivia

815 Ethiopia

816 Bangladesh

817 France/Territory of the Afars and the Issas

818 Canada

819 Dominican Republic

820 Honduras

821 Costa Rica

822 Dominican Republic

823 Chile

824 Dahomey

825 Nicaragua

826 Costa Rica

827 Mexico

828 India

829 Italy

830 Brazil

831 Mexico

832 India

833 India

834 Greece

835 Spain

836 Argentina

837 India

838 Spain

839 Jordan

840 Sudan

841 Canada

842 Argentina

843 India

844 El Salvador

845 Canada

846 Australia

847 Dominican Republic

848 Spain

849 Nicaragua

850 Colombia

851 Greece

852 Republic of South Africa

853 Chad

854 Paraguay

855 Honduras

856 Guatemala

857 United Kingdom/Antigua

858 Ecuador

859 Costa Rica

860 United Kingdom/Saint Vincent

861 Bangladesh

862 India

863 Turkey

864 Spain

865 Ecuador

866 France

867 United Kingdom/Belize

868 Peru

869 India

870 Peru

871 Colombia

872 Greece

873 El Salvador

874 Spain

875 Costa Rica

876 Greece

877 Greece

878 Nigeria

879 Malaysia

880 Madagascar

881 India

882 United Kingdom/Saint Vincent

883 United Kingdom/Belize

884 Peru

885 Ecuador

886 Canada

887 Ethiopia

888 Ecuador

889 Colombia

890 Guyana

891 Guatemala

892 Fiji

893 Canada

894 Ecuador

895 Morocco

896 Honduras

897 Paraguay

898 United States/Puerto Rico

899 Tunisia

900 Spain

901 Nicaragua

902 Australia

903 Canada

904 El Salvador

905 USSR

906 Peru

907 Colombia

908 Morocco

909 Poland

910 Greece

911 Malaysia

912 Peru

913 Sri Lanka

914 Nicaragua

915 Spain

916 Peru

917 Costa Rica

918 Belgium

919 Colombia

920 United Kingdom/Antigua

921 Greece

922 India

923 Spain

924 Guatemala

925 Yemen

926 Italy

927 Brazil

928 Malaysia

929 Honduras

930 Turkey

931 Canada

932 Greece

933 Peru

934 Morocco

935 Greece

936 New Zealand

937 Spain

938 Honduras

939 Greece

940 Sudan

941 Guyana

942 India

943 Dominican Republic

944 Egypt

945 Argentina

946 Paraguay

947 Greece

948 Colombia

949 Malta

950 Dominican Republic

951 Peru

952 Spain

953 El Salvador

954 Guatemala

955 Bangladesh

956 New Zealand

957 Guatemala

958 Brazil

959 Honduras

960 Peru

961 Greece

962 Turkey

963 Grenada

964 Canada

965 Malaysia

966 Portugal

967 Peru

968 Greece

969 Peru

970 Greece

971 Dominican Republic

972 Peru

973 El Salvador

974 Peru

975 Guatemala

976 Greece

977 Colombia

978 Guatemala

979 Spain

980 Costa Rica

981 Belgium

982 Costa Rica

983 Bolivia

984 Kenya

985 Turkey

986 Dominican Republic

987 El Salvador

988 Sri Lanka

989 Greece

990 Sri Lanka

991 Costa Rica

992 Morocco

993 Morocco

994 Colombia

995 India

996 Greece

997 Turkey

998 Greece

999 Turkey

1000 El Salvador

1001 Spain

1002 Brazil

1003 Sri Lanka

1004 Haiti

1005 United Kingdom (Hong Kong)

1006 Greece

1007 Nicaragua

1008 Greece

1009 Colombia

1010 Spain

1011 Senegal

1012 Ecuador

1013 Upper Volta

1014 Dominican Republic

1015 Thailand

1016 El Salvador

1017 Morocco

1018 Morocco

1019 Greece

1020 Mali

1021 Greece

1022 Malaysia

1023 Colombia

1024 India

1025 Haiti

1026 Guatemala

1027 Paraguay

1028 Chile

1029 Turkey

1030 France/Guyana and Martinique

1031 Nicaragua

1032 Ecuador

1033 Jamaica

1034 Brazil

1035 India

1036 Colombia

1037 Sudan

1038 United Kingdom

1039 Spain

1040 Central African Republic

1041 Brazil

1042 Portugal

1043 Bahrain

1044 Dominican Republic

1045 Portugal

1046 Chile

1047 Nicaragua

1048 Pakistan

1049 Peru

1050 India

1051 Chile

1052 Panama

1053 Dominican Republic

1054 Morocco

1055 Canada

1056 Honduras

1057 Greece

1058 Greece

1059 Dominican Republic

1060 Argentina

1061 Spain

1062 Greece

1063 Costa Rica

1064 Uruguay

1065 Colombia

1066 Romania

1067 Argentina

1068 Greece

1069 India

1070 Canada (Nova Scotia)

1071 Canada (Ontario)

1072 Colombia

1073 Colombia

1074 United States

1075 Pakistan

1076 Bolivia

1077 Morocco

1078 Spain

1079 Colombia

1080 Zambia

1081 Peru

1082 Greece

1083 Colombia

1084 Nicaragua

1085 Colombia

1086 Greece

1087 Portugal

1088 Mauritania

1089 Upper Volta

1090 Spain

1091 India

1092 Uruguay

1093 Bolivia

1094 Chile

1095 Chile

1096 Chile

1097 Poland

1098 Uruguay

1099 Norway

1100 India

1101 Colombia

1102 Panama

1103 Nicaragua

1104 Bolivia

1105 Colombia

1106 Dominican Republic

1107 India

1108 Costa Rica

1109 Chile

1110 Thailand

1111 India

1112 Bolivia

1113 India

1114 Nicaragua

1115 Morocco

1116 Morocco

1117 Chile

1118 Dominican Republic

1119 Argentina

1120 Spain

1121 Sierra Leone

1122 Costa Rica

1123 Nicaragua

1124 Bolivia

1125 Argentina

1126 Chile

1127 Colombia

1128 Bolivia

1129 Nicaragua

1130 United States

1131 Upper Volta

1132 Uruguay

1133 Nicaragua

1134 Cyprus

1135 Ghana

1136 Chile

1137 Chile

1138 Peru

1139 Jordan

1140 Colombia

1141 Venezuela

1142 Thailand

1143 United States

1144 Chile

1145 Honduras

1146 Iraq

1147 Canada

1148 Nicaragua

1149 Honduras

1150 El Salvador

1151 Japan

1152 Chile

1153 Uruguay

1154 Cameroon

1155 Colombia

1156 Chile

1157 Philippines

1158 Jamaica

1159 Nicaragua

1160 Suriname

1161 Bolivia

1162 Chile

1163 Cyprus

1164 Malta

1165 Japan

1166 Honduras

1167 Greece

1168 El Salvador

1169 Nicaragua

1170 Chile

1171 Canada (Quebec)

1172 Canada (Ontario)

1173 Canada (British Columbia)

1174 Portugal

1175 Pakistan

1176 Guatemala

1177 Dominican Republic

1178 Israel

1179 Dominican Republic

1180 Australia

1181 Peru

1182 Belgium

1183 Chile

1184 Chile

1185 Nicaragua

1186 Chile

1187 Islamic Republic of Iran

1188 Dominican Republic

1189 Kenya

1190 Peru

1191 Chile

1192 Philippines

1193 Greece

1194 Chile

1195 Guatemala

1196 Morocco

1197 Jordan

1198 Cuba

1199 Peru

1200 Chile

1201 Morocco

1202 Greece

1203 Spain

1204 Paraguay

1205 Chile

1206 Peru

1207 Uruguay

1208 Nicaragua

1209 Uruguay

1210 Colombia

1211 Bahrain

1212 Chile

1213 Greece

1214 Bangladesh

1215 Guatemala

1216 Honduras

1217 Chile

1218 Costa Rica

1219 Liberia

1220 Argentina

1221 Dominican Republic

1222 Bahamas

1223 Djibouti

1224 Greece

1225 Brazil

1226 Canada

1227 India

1228 Peru

1229 Chile

1230 Ecuador

1231 Peru

1232 India

1233 El Salvador

1234 Canada

1235 Canada (British Columbia)

1236 Uruguay

1237 Brazil

1238 Greece

1239 Colombia

1240 Colombia

1241 Australia (Northern Territory)

1242 Costa Rica

1243 Grenada

1244 Spain

1245 Cyprus

1246 Bangladesh

1247 Canada (Alberta)

1248 Colombia

1249 Spain

1250 Belgium

1251 Portugal

1252 Colombia

1253 Morocco

1254 Uruguay

1255 Norway

1256 Portugal

1257 Uruguay

1258 El Salvador

1259 Bangladesh

1260 Canada (Newfoundland)

1261 United Kingdom

1262 Guatemala

1263 Japan

1264 Barbados

1265 United States

1266 Upper Volta

1267 Papua New Guinea

1268 Honduras

1269 El Salvador

1270 Brazil

1271 Honduras

1272 Chile

1273 El Salvador

1274 Uruguay

1275 Paraguay

1276 Chile

1277 Dominican Republic

1278 Chile

1279 Portugal

1280 Chile

1281 El Salvador

1282 Morocco

1283 Nicaragua

1284 Grenada

1285 Chile

1286 El Salvador

1287 Costa Rica

1288 Dominican Republic

1289 Peru

1290 Uruguay

1291 Colombia

1292 Spain

1293 Dominican Republic

1294 Brazil

1295 United Kingdom/Montserrat

1296 Antigua

1297 Chile

1298 Nicaragua

1299 Uruguay

1300 Costa Rica

1301 Paraguay

1302 Colombia

1303 Portugal

1304 Costa Rica

1305 Costa Rica

1306 Mauritania

1307 Honduras

1308 Grenada

1309 Chile

1310 Costa Rica

1311 Guatemala

1312 Greece

1313 Brazil

1314 Portugal

1315 Portugal

1316 Uruguay

1317 Nicaragua

1318 Federal Republic of Germany

1319 Ecuador

1320 Spain

1321 Peru

1322 Dominican Republic

1323 Philippines

1324 Australia

1325 Sudan

1326 Bangladesh

1327 Tunisia

1328 Paraguay

1329 Canada (British Columbia)

1330 Guyana

1331 Brazil

1332 Pakistan

1333 Jordan

1334 New Zealand

1335 Malta

1336 Mauritius

1337 Nepal

1338 Denmark

1339 Dominican Republic

1340 Morocco

1341 Paraguay

1342 Spain

1343 Colombia

1344 Nicaragua

1345 Australia

1346 India

1347 Bolivia

1348 Ecuador

1349 Malta

1350 Canada (British Columbia)

1351 Nicaragua

1352 Israel

1353 Philippines

1354 Greece

1355 Senegal

1356 Canada (Quebec)

1357 Greece

1358 Spain

1359 Pakistan

1360 Dominican Republic

1361 Nicaragua

1362 Spain

1363 Peru

1364 France

1365 Portugal

1366 Spain

1367 Peru

1368 Paraguay

1369 Honduras

1370 Portugal

1371 Australia

1372 Nicaragua

1373 Belgium

1374 Spain

1375 Spain

1376 Colombia

1377 Brazil

1378 Bolivia

1379 Fiji

1380 Malaysia

1381 Ecuador

1382 Portugal

1383 Pakistan

1384 Greece

1385 New Zealand

1386 Peru

1387 Ireland

1388 Morocco

1389 Norway

1390 Israel

1391 United Kingdom

1392 Venezuela

1393 Dominican Republic

1394 Canada

1395 Costa Rica

1396 Haiti

1397 Argentina

1398 Honduras

1399 Spain

1400 Ecuador

1401 United States

1402 Czechoslovakia

1403 Uruguay

1404 Uruguay

1405 Burkina Faso

1406 Zambia

1407 Mexico

1408 Venezuela

1409 Argentina

1410 Liberia

1411 Ecuador

1412 Venezuela

1413 Bahrain

1414 Israel

1415 Australia

1416 United States

1417 Brazil

1418 Denmark

1419 Panama

1420 United States (Puerto Rico)

1421 Denmark

1422 Colombia

1423 Côte d'Ivoire

1424 Portugal

1425 Fiji

1426 Philippines

1427 Brazil

1428 India

1429 Colombia

1430 Canada

1431 Indonesia

1432 Peru

1433 Spain

1434 Colombia

1435 Paraguay

1436 Colombia

1437 United States

1438 Canada

1439 United Kingdom

1440 Paraguay

1441 El Salvador

1442 Nicaragua

1443 Denmark

1444 Philippines

1445 Peru

1446 Paraguay

1447 Saint Lucia

1448 Norway

1449 Mali

1450 Peru

1451 Canada

1452 Ecuador

1453 Venezuela

1454 Nicaragua

1455 Argentina

1456 Argentina

1457 Colombia

1458 Iceland

1459 Guatemala

1460 Uruguay

1461 Brazil

1462 Burkina Faso

1463 Liberia

1464 Honduras

1465 Colombia

1466 Spain

1467 United States

1468 India

1469 Netherlands

1470 Denmark

1471 India

1472 Spain

1473 Morocco

1474 Spain

1475 Panama

1476 Panama

1477 Colombia

1478 Peru

1479 India

1480 Malaysia

1481 Brazil

1482 Paraguay

1483 Costa Rica

1484 Peru

1485 Venezuela

1486 Portugal

1487 Brazil

1488 Guatemala

1489 Cyprus

1490 Morocco

1491 Trinidad and Tobago

1492 Romania

1493 Cyprus

1494 El Salvador

1495 Philippines

1496 Argentina

1497 Portugal

1498 Ecuador

1499 Morocco

1500 China

1501 Venezuela

1502 Peru

1503 Peru

1504 Dominican Republic

1505 Barbados

1506 El Salvador

1507 Turkey

1508 Sudan

1509 Brazil

1510 Paraguay

1511 Australia

1512 Guatemala

1513 Malta

1514 India

1515 Argentina

1516 Bolivia

1517 India

1518 United Kingdom

1519 Paraguay

1520 Haiti

1521 Turkey

1522 Colombia

1523 United States

1524 El Salvador

1525 Pakistan

1526 Canada

1527 Peru

1528 Federal Republic of Germany

1529 Philippines

1530 Nigeria

1531 Panama

1532 Argentina

1533 Venezuela

1534 Pakistan

1535 Venezuela

1536 Spain

1537 Niger

1538 Honduras

1539 Guatemala

1540 United Kingdom

1541 Peru

1542 Malaysia

1543 United States

1544 Ecuador

1545 Poland

1546 Paraguay

1547 Canada

1548 Peru

1549 Dominican Republic

1550 India

1551 Argentina

1552 Malaysia

1553 United Kingdom (Hong Kong)

1554 Honduras

1555 Colombia

1556 Iraq

1557 United States

1558 Ecuador

1559 Australia

1560 Argentina

1561 Spain

1562 Colombia

1563 Iceland

1564 Sierra Leone

1565 Greece
1566 Peru
1567 Argentina
1568 Honduras
1569 Panama
1570 Philippines
1571 Romania
1572 Philippines
1573 Paraguay
1574 Morocco
1575 Zambia
1576 Norway
1577 Turkey
1578 Venezuela
1579 Peru
1580 Panama
1581 Thailand
1582 Turkey
1583 Turkey
1584 Greece

1585 Philippines

1586 Nicaragua

1587 Canada (British Columbia)

1588 Guatemala

1589 Morocco

1590 Lesotho

1591 India

1592 Chad

1593 Central African Republic

1594 Côte d'Ivoire

1595 Guatemala

1596 Uruguay

1597 Mauritania

1598 Peru

1599 Gabon

1600 Czechoslovakia

1601 Canada (Quebec)

1602 Spain

1603 Canada (British Columbia)

1604 Canada (Manitoba)

1605 Canada (New Brunswick)

1606 Canada (Nova Scotia)

1607 Canada (Newfoundland)

1608 Lebanon

1609 Peru

1610 Philippines

1611 Venezuela

1612 Venezuela

1613 Spain

1614 Peru

1615 Philippines

1616 Canada

1617 Ecuador

1618 United Kingdom

1619 United Kingdom

1620 Colombia

1621 Sri Lanka

1622 Fiji

1623 Bulgaria

1624 Canada (Nova Scotia)

1625 Colombia

1626 Venezuela

1627 Uruguay

1628 Cuba

1629 Korea

1630 Malta

1631 Colombia

1632 Greece

1633 United Kingdom (Isle of Man)

1634 Russian Federation

1635 Portugal

1636 Venezuela

1637 Togo

1638 Malawi

1639 Argentina

1640 Morocco

1641 Denmark

1642 Peru

1643 Morocco

1644 Poland

1645 Central African Republic

1646 Morocco

1647 Côte d'Ivoire

1648 Peru

1649 Nicaragua

1650 Peru

1651 India

1652 China

1653 Argentina

1654 Paraguay

1655 Nicaragua

1656 Paraguay

1657 Portugal

1658 Dominican Republic

1659 El Salvador

1660 Argentina

1661 Peru

1662 Argentina

1663 Peru

1664 Ecuador

1665 Ecuador

1666 Guatemala

1667 Ecuador

1668 Cyprus

1669 Chad

1670 Canada

1671 Morocco

1672 Venezuela

1673 Nicaragua

1674 Denmark

1675 Senegal

1676 Venezuela

1677 Poland

1678 Costa Rica

1679 Argentina

1680 Norway

1681 Canada

1682 Haiti

1683 Russian Federation

1684 Argentina

1685 Venezuela

1686 Colombia

1687 Morocco

1688 Sudan

1689 Côte d'Ivoire

1690 Peru

1691 Morocco

1692 Germany

1693 El Salvador

1694 Portugal

1695 Costa Rica

1696 Pakistan

1697 Turkey

1698 New Zealand

1699 Cameroon

1700 Nicaragua

1701 Egypt

1702 Colombia

1703 Guinea

1704 Lebanon

1705 Paraguay

1706 Peru

1707 Malta

1708 Peru

1709 Morocco

1710 Chile

1711 Haiti

1712 Morocco

1713 Kenya

1714 Morocco

1715 Canada

1716 Haiti

1717 Cape Verde

1718 Philippines

1719 Nicaragua

1720 Brazil

1721 Colombia

1722 Canada (Ontario)

1723 Argentina

1724 Morocco

1725 Denmark

1726 Pakistan

1727 Turkey

1728 Argentina

1729 Ecuador

1730 United Kingdom

1731 Peru

1732 Dominican Republic

1733 Canada (Quebec)

1734 Guatemala

1735 Canada (Ontario)

1736 Argentina

1737 Canada

1738 Canada (Newfoundland)

1739 Venezuela

1740 Guatemala

1741 Argentina

1742 Hungary

1743 Canada (Quebec)

1744 Argentina

1745 Argentina

1746 Ecuador

1747 Canada

1748 Canada (Quebec)

1749 Canada (Quebec)

1750 Canada (Quebec)

1751 Dominican Republic

1752 Myanmar

1753 Burundi

1754 El Salvador

1755 Turkey

1756 Indonesia

1757 El Salvador

1758 Canada

1759 Peru

1760 Sweden

1761 Colombia

1762 Czech Republic

1763 Norway

1764 Nicaragua

1765 Bulgaria

1766 Portugal

1767 Ecuador

1768 Iceland

1769 Russian Federation

1770 Costa Rica

1771 Pakistan

1772 Cameroon

1773 Indonesia

1774 Australia

1775 Belize

1776 Nicaragua

1777 Argentina

1778 Guatemala

1779 Canada (Prince Edward Island)

1780 Costa Rica

1781 Costa Rica

1782 Portugal

1783 Paraguay

1784 Peru

1785 Poland

1786 Guatemala

1787 Colombia

1788 Romania

1789 Republic of Korea

1790 Paraguay

1791 Chad

1792 Kenya

1793 Nigeria

1794 Peru

1795 Honduras

1796 Peru

1797 Venezuela

1798 Spain

1799 Kazakhstan

1800 Canada (Federal)

1801 Canada (Prince Edward Island)

1802 Canada (Nova Scotia)

1803 Djibouti

1804 Peru

1805 Cuba

1806 Canada (Yukon)

1807 Ukraine

1808 Costa Rica

1809 Kenya

1810 Turkey

1811 Paraguay

1812 Venezuela

1813 Peru

1814 Ecuador

1815 Spain

1816 Paraguay

1817 India

1818 Zaire

1819 China

1820 Germany

1821 Ethiopia

1822 Venezuela

1823 Guatemala

1824 El Salvador

1825 Morocco

1826 Philippines

1827 Venezuela

1828 Venezuela

1829 Chile

1830 Turkey

1831 Bolivia